

Discussion Points

Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence Kits

1a. If a victim of a sexual assault consents to a physical examination, a sexual assault kit (Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence kit), commonly referred to as a rape kit, is created during the exam to collect physical evidence left on a victim's body after the assault. Once a sexual assault kit is compiled, it is then sent to law enforcement to be tested for DNA, which can then be used to prosecute the attacker and identify potential serial offenders.

In 2019, the Office of the State Auditor in the Office of the Legislative Services completed an audit of the Sexual Assault Examination Process in the Department of Law and Public Safety. The OLS Auditor stated:

“According to the Crime in the United States Report published annually by the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s (FBI) Uniform Crime Reporting Program, there were 5,135 sexual assaults reported to law enforcement agencies in New Jersey during calendar years 2014-2017. Per the New Jersey County Prosecutors’ Offices, there were 5,931 SAFE kits collected during the period from July 10, 2014 to August 31, 2018....many kits at the State, county, and local law enforcement agencies were not submitted to the State forensic labs for processing. In making these determinations, we found the deficiencies concerning the tracking of SAFE kits, as well inconsistent policies and procedures used by the various law enforcement agencies.”

The Rape Kit Backlog Act of 2023 introduced by U.S. Representatives Nancy Mace and Barbara Lee in September of 2023, encourages state and local governments to identify untested kits or potentially lose funds. Until recently, New Jersey was one of only a few states not tracking sexual assault kits. However, in March of 2023, the Attorney General issued Attorney General Law Enforcement Directive 2023-1, which among other provisions contained requirements for a county based Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence kit tracking system to be established. In November of 2023, the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance awarded the New Jersey Department of Law and Public Safety a \$2 million grant to establish a sexual assault kit tracking system.

- **Questions: What precipitated the decision to issue Directive 2023-1? What factors informed the decision?**

Attorney General Platkin issued Law Enforcement Directive No. 2023-1 to ensure that the work of our office supports victims/survivors of crime. The Directive implemented standards consistent with national best practices in the collection, storage, and retention of sexual assault kits, in addition to standardizing the information recorded on these kits. It requires that survivor-centered, trauma-informed principles are being universally applied to our work in supporting survivors of sexual assault. To that end, the Directive also standardizes processing and handling of sexual assault cases throughout New Jersey, creating clearer guidelines and procedures. Additionally, Directive 2023-1 requires retention of SAFE kits and other evidence for a minimum of

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

20 years, whether the incident is reported to law enforcement or remains unreported at the victim's request, bringing New Jersey in line with nationally recognized best-practice standards.

Prior to the issuance of the Directive, information on sexual assault kits collected, tested, and untested in New Jersey resided within individual law enforcement agencies and the relevant County Prosecutor's Office, and the methods for data collection varied by agency. After OLS completed the audit of Sexual Assault Examination Process referenced above, a law passed in 2019, codified at N.J.S.A. 52:17B-245, which requires that the Attorney General, in consultation with the New Jersey Coalition Against Sexual Assault, develop a survey concerning sexual assault examination kits in the possession of law enforcement agencies in this State that had not been tested.

The Division of Criminal Justice designed a survey to answer the questions specified in the statute, distributed it to law enforcement agencies across New Jersey, and collected each agency's response. And on March 13, 2023, the Office of the Attorney General issued the New Jersey Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) Kit Survey Results. Because sexual assault kit information is recorded in separate individual agency systems, the SAFE Kit Survey involved considerable outreach and follow-up. Further, the disparate capabilities of each agency's case tracking systems allowed some agencies to quickly report case information and required that other agencies manually count kits and cases. The challenges encountered in the SAFE Kit Survey highlighted a need for standardized tracking and data collection on sexual assault kits.

The SAFE Kit Survey also requested information on specific agency level policies and procedures. Results identified a lack of cohesive procedures at the local level for storing, tracking and submitting kits, and a lack of clear guidance regarding the best practices for the submission of SAFE kits in furtherance of the investigation of a reported sexual assault.

The Directive addresses these issues. It mandates that kits be submitted to labs within 10 days of collection unless the agency receives written approval from the County Prosecutor or Director of the Division of Criminal Justice, or their designee, detailing the reason for the delay. Further, a prosecutor can only decline to submit a kit for lab testing if the testing results will have no bearing on the outcome of the case or the victim withdraws consent for kit testing. Importantly, the Directive specifically bars declining to test a kit for the following reasons:

1. An investigator or prosecutor believed the sexual act/contact was consensual;
 2. An investigator or prosecutor had no suspects; or
 3. The victim filed a complaint against a spouse/partner, or former spouse/partner.
- **What is the vision for the sexual assault tracking system and ideally how will it work? How will the system be coordinated statewide?**

The statewide sexual assault kit tracking system is anticipated to have three major components: 1) an internal law enforcement (and prosecutor) module; 2) the forensic nurse examiner module; and 3) a victim access point. Together, these three modules will capture the information below for all cases. Additional fields and information may be added after consultation and guidance from an established working group and as the system is reviewed for gaps in coverage.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

- The Law Enforcement & Prosecutorial Internal portion of the statewide sexual assault tracking system will allow law enforcement agencies to track the collection, handling, processing, and storage of sexual assault kits. All 21 county prosecutor's offices and 536 law enforcement agencies in the State, including the New Jersey State Police, will have access to record their case information in the statewide system. Additionally, law enforcement agencies will only have access to information on cases where the kit has been released to law enforcement. For example, kits in a "Hold" status (those remaining in the possession of the Forensic Nurse Examiner at the victim's request) with the Forensic Nurse Examiner will not be viewable by law enforcement users.
- The Forensic Nurse Examiner portion of the statewide sexual assault tracking system will provide information on sexual assault kits collected and exams performed. Information on unreported "Hold" Kits will only be available to Forensic Nurse Examiner users. The total number of "Hold" Kits and the age of those kits will be available at an aggregated count level to the county prosecutor and the Office of the Attorney General. Specific case-level information will not be viewable outside of the Forensic Nurse Examiner role.
- Finally, victims and survivors of sexual assault will be able to track the progress of their cases in a specific module of the statewide tracking system. At their discretion, victims/survivors will be able to create an account to receive notifications on their case progress via this module. They will also be able to log into the module, when they choose, to view their status. The specific information available to their view is still to be determined. However, we look to the implementation of the Division on Civil Rights' New Jersey Bias Investigation Access System portal for guidance, which permits complainants to track their own case progress and to access official correspondence.

During this project, we anticipate also exploring an additional module to this system to connect DNA labs to the statewide sexual assault kit tracking system. This connection would streamline notifications on kit test results and outcomes, prompting notifications to law enforcement and prosecutors on a specific case. This portion of the project will be explored once the above modules are complete. Should funding not allow this lab connection, law enforcement will be required to enter sexual assault kit testing results manually into this system.

The above information will be shared based on roles and permissions ensuring that only those users with a "right to know" information will be able to access the appropriate modules. The statewide sexual assault kit tracking system will share information from individual agencies to county prosecutors and up to the Office of the Attorney General. Information pertaining to the identity of the victim will remain only with those agencies active in the case and/or investigation. Each agency will only have access to its own cases and kits.

In addition, we anticipate that the data contained in the statewide sexual assault kit tracking system will be exportable in several formats including pre-determined reports to quickly and easily respond to requests pertaining to case volume and statuses and performance measure reports.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

- **What are the total costs to develop the system and what are all of the funding sources needed to accomplish it? How will the system be coordinated statewide?**

At present, the total cost of the proposed sexual assault kit tracking system is not finalized. Based on implementation costs in previous statewide systems, the budget anticipates at least \$1 million to support the implementation of the statewide sexual assault kit tracking system in the federal Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI) grant. We are currently working with the program’s Training and Technical Assistant to coordinate conversations with other SAKI sites to discuss challenges and lessons learned from their own statewide tracking system implementation.

- **Please describe the plan to use the \$2 million in federal funding to develop and launch the system to enter and track every sexual assault kit and to expand long-term storage capacity for these kits.**

Provisions on the SAKI grant require certain portions of the budget to be allocated to specific purposes. For New Jersey, the approved budget allocations are reflected in the following chart.

<i>Purpose</i>	<i>Approximate Total Amounts</i>
<i>Evidence Facility Upgrades</i>	\$200,000
<i>DNA Testing</i>	\$300,000
<i>Statewide tracking system</i>	\$1,492,000
<i>Other</i>	\$8,000

1b. Since FY 2020, the Department of Law and Public Safety has received \$5.8 million in federal funds to support the investigation and prosecution of cold case sexual assaults and funds toward a comprehensive approach to unsubmitted Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence kits. Another \$500,000 was provided to Ocean County to process Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence kits in the effort to resolve cold cases.

New Jersey Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence Kit Related Federal Funds (FY 2020 to FY 2023)*

YEAR	AMOUNT	Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence Kit Purpose Area
FY2020	\$ 918,058	Investigation and Prosecution of Cold Case Sexual Assaults
FY2022	\$1,500,000	Investigation and Prosecution of Cold Case Sexual Assaults
FY2023	\$2,000,000	Comprehensive Approach to Unsubmitted Sexual Assault Kits
FY2023	\$1,400,000	National Cold Case Initiative
TOTAL	\$5,818,058	Total Federal Funds Awarded to the Department of Law and Public Safety
FY2023	\$ 500,000	National Cold Case Initiative, Ocean County
TOTAL	\$6,318,058	Total Federal Funds Awarded Statewide

*Sexual Assault Kit Initiative, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

- **Questions: What has the department been able to achieve by leveraging federal grant funds that it would not have been able to achieve without the assistance? What progress has been made in solving cold cases and have these advances led to the focus on establishing a system to track these cases with the goals of reducing the accumulation of cold cases and keeping pace with new cases?**

As discussed above, the Division of Criminal Justice received a \$2 million SAKI grant to allow the Department to track information so that problems in processing SAFE kits can be quickly identified and corrected; to allow for transparency, accountability and efficiency of the process; and to protect survivors' peace of mind that their SAFE Kits will be handled responsibly. Furthermore, the New Jersey State Police Cold Case Unit created the Garden State Cold Case Network to address cold cases throughout the State of New Jersey by providing funding and investigative assistance to agencies.

The award of Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI) funding has allowed the Department to submit evidence from over 40 cases for advanced forensic testing that is not available through the New Jersey State Police Office of Forensic Sciences (NJSP OFS), specifically, extraction methods which are used to obtain an optimal amount of DNA from items of evidence. Utilizing these advanced testing methods would not have been possible without grant funding.

To date, SAKI grant funding has contributed to five cold cases being solved, for a combined total of 150 years of cases in cold case status. In addition, over 40 cases are pending testing while also pursuing other investigative means. The goal remains to resolve as many cold cases as possible and prevent an accumulation of the same.

- **What State funds were appropriated and what other funds were secured supplementing the federal grant funding to overcome the problem? What is the total size of the program in terms of funding, staff, and workload? Is this initiative successful as measured by the number of arrests made as a result of the efforts? Please discuss.**

No State funds were appropriated to supplement the federal grant funding. The Cold Case Unit was awarded funding under Purpose Area 4, the Investigation and Prosecution of Cold Case Sexual Assaults, for FY20 and FY22. New to the SAKI program for FY23, the National Cold Case Initiative was created to support the investigations of all violent crime cold cases that do not have a sexual nexus. The department was awarded this supplemental funding to downstream the remaining cases in the Cold Case Unit repository.

Since being awarded initially during the 2020 fiscal year, the Cold Case Unit has expanded, more grant funding was obtained during the 2022 and 2023 fiscal years, and the implementation of the Garden State Cold Case Network allows for collaboration with all 21 counties in identifying investigations that qualify for grant funding.

The Cold Case Unit includes six law enforcement officers (detectives) and three civilians (two investigators and one grant coordinator). The caseload is broken down into regions: North, Central, and South. These regions are overseen by a Unit Head and Sergeant; assigned detectives assist counties within each region. Funding is available once a thorough case assessment and case review is conducted.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Detectives review case files, interview and re-interview witnesses, and pursue new leads and forensic analysis. Civilian investigators and support staff play a crucial role in the unit as well: civilian investigators assist in reviewing cold case files, identifying potential leads and evidence, maintaining the integrity of investigations, and complying with grant funding requirements.

The success of the grant funding is best measured by the advancements made through specialized testing methods for items of evidence, utilizing the most up-to-date testing methods to optimize the successfulness of testing procedures and extracting the most DNA possible to link a suspect to a case. In addition, success is also measured by bringing closure to families, making identifications of John and Jane Doe victims, and testing results for items of evidence to make potential identifications of suspects.

Mental Health Diversion Program

2a. The FY 2025 Governor's Budget reflects the transfer of the Mental Health Diversion Program established under P.L.2023, c.188, which is recommended to be shifted from the Administrative Office of the Courts to the Office of the Attorney General and recommends an appropriation of \$5 million.

P.L.2023, c.188, establishes a Mental Health Diversion Program to divert eligible persons with serious mental disorders who have committed certain offenses away from the criminal justice system and into appropriate managed mental health services involving the Judiciary, the Department of Law and Public Safety, the Department of Human Services, and the Office of the Public Defender. Over the past several years, the State through the Judiciary has coordinated several intervention and diversion programs such as the Veterans Diversion Program and the Gun Violence Diversion Program. The Administrative Office of the Courts was only beginning to implement the Mental Health Diversion Pilot Program prior to the transfer to the Department of Law and Public Safety. The Statewide Mental Health Diversion Program is required initially to be established in no less than three judicial vicinages, with at least one program operating in each of the northern, central, and southern regions of the State. Once up and running, the program is intended to eventually expand to a statewide mental health diversion program in all vicinages.

- **Questions: Why was the decision made to transfer the Mental Health Diversion Program from the Judiciary to the Department of Law and Public Safety? What operational responsibilities and resources does the Department of Law and Public Safety have that make it a logical choice to budget the program in the department and not the Judiciary? Does the process itself, meaning incident, arrest, prosecution, adjudication indicate the most logical placement for the program? Please explain.**

The decision to amend the enabling legislation was made by the bill's sponsors, and not the Department of Law and Public Safety.

However, as noted in Attorney General Platkin's Directive that established the Office of Alternative and Community Responses (OACR), Attorney General Administrative Executive Directive No. 2024-01, we must prioritize alternative public health and safety responses and look to therapeutic

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

treatment, recovery, and supportive services as alternatives to criminal justice involvement and punishment when they are available and appropriate. OACR is uniquely situated to oversee not only this diversion program, but also the related law enforcement initiatives and community partnerships that will direct individuals into diversion programs.

The Diversionary Policy Bureau within OACR will oversee initiatives and programming including the Mental Health Diversion programs, Recovery Courts, Veteran's Diversionary Program, and municipal court diversion programs. OACR has already met with the Administrative Offices of the Courts and the Department of Human Services to discuss their existing and planned mental health diversion pilot programs, and will continue to meet with Prosecutor's Offices with established mental health diversion programs to learn best practices and determine areas where utilization of existing resources, systems, and partnerships will enable an efficient expansion of the pilot programs, while also identifying potential gaps and barriers that need to be addressed so that we can ensure success of the programs and an appropriate funding request.

And before the passage of the bill, LPS, through the Statewide Mental Health and Special Needs Working Group, convened a group made up of assistant prosecutors, as well as representatives from the Division of Criminal Justice, the Office of the Public Defender, and the Center for Justice Innovation to learn best practices and to identify gaps or barriers, so that we can ensure success of the pilot programs and maximize the funding. We are working to convene a similar group with the addition of the AOC and DHS to ensure their expertise and input is included as we craft a Notice of Available Funds and throughout the pilot phase of the programs.

- **Will the department coordinate the Mental Health Diversion Program with the ARRIVE Together program, which pairs police officers with mental health professionals and if so, how? What are the expectations of doing so?**

Under the leadership of Governor Murphy and with the strong support of the Legislature, New Jersey has made historic investments in supporting and expanding a multi-disciplinary public safety ecosystem. The ARRIVE Together program—along with other community-based strategies like opioid response and our community violence intervention work—is part of this ecosystem that will deflect away from the criminal justice system or appropriately divert and connect those who are in the system.

What we have learned is that building a strong infrastructure where public safety is a shared strategy cannot be one-size-fits-all and must be responsive to the varying needs of each community. It must also be coordinated and integrated so that the connections and partnerships exist throughout the process, whether it be an encounter with law enforcement or involvement in the criminal justice system. We expect that the resources and linkages utilized by law enforcement officers in the ARRIVE Together program will often be the same agencies and supports that are part of the Mental Health Diversion program.

ARRIVE Together is an additional tool available to law enforcement officers, and our goal is to train, empower, and provide resources to officers to allow them to deflect individuals they encounter away from the criminal justice system to appropriate services. This requires a shift in thinking throughout our communities, including in the law enforcement, mental health, substance use, and health spaces.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

If ARRIVE trends remain consistent, we expect to see very few individuals assisted by ARRIVE who will reach a point where Mental Health Diversion will be necessary. Should there come a time when cases reach the point of arrest, the early identification of law enforcement and the strong support of ARRIVE by prosecutors' offices throughout the state, will not only encourage the utilization of all appropriate diversionary programs but can assist in appropriate service and more seamless treatment connection earlier in the process.

- **Which vicinages were chosen to participate first in the program and why were they chosen?**

Decisions about participation in the program have not been made yet. The legislation goes into effect in July 2024, and the choice of pilots must be made by July 2025.

However, we are not waiting to begin the work. We have already begun to meet with the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Department of Human Services to discuss their existing and planned mental health diversion pilot programs. And as mentioned above, before passage of the bill, we began work through the Statewide Mental Health and Special Needs Working Group to address these issues.

Liaisons to the Statewide Mental Health and Special Needs Working Group from all 21 counties meet regularly. They have already been notified that a Notice of Available Funds consistent with the legislation is forthcoming. This additional time will allow them to conduct preliminary needs assessments, identify potential partners, and work with stakeholders in their counties. We believe this preliminary work at the county and state level will lead to robust high-quality applications and strong pilots.

We look forward to continuing our multi-agency, multi-jurisdictional collaboration and will be prepared to announce the pilots on or before the statutorily set due date.

- **What does the establishment of a mental health diversion program in a vicinage entail and what are the associated costs? How will the \$5 million appropriation be allocated and is it sufficient?**

At this time, we are not able to provide details on the precise use of the funds based on the effective date of the legislation as referenced above. Through the forthcoming Notice of Available Funds, we will solicit proposals from applicants who will identify appropriate usage of the funding after determining resource availability and need in their vicinage.

This allocation of funding is intended to support three pilot programs, and we anticipate determining through this process what resources and linkages already exist and what must be built out. Coordination by OACR will enable a coordination of existing resources and systems and identification of efficiencies so that at a future date we can make appropriate recommendations regarding funding and support for the expansion of the pilot programs into other vicinages.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

- **What is the preliminary estimate of the percentage of arrests that will be diverted to the program? What are the greatest challenges and benefits the department foresees in operating this program?**

We are not in a position to speculate on the number of arrests that will be diverted, as there are numerous factors related to eligibility and participation is voluntary. The existence of diversionary programs provides options for all parties. A primary option is to provide and receive treatment and support services to promote long-term public safety and health outcomes rather than continued involvement with the criminal justice system. It is the Department's goal to encourage and provide those options to county prosecutor's offices, law enforcement, and the community. Programs like mental health diversion, ARRIVE Together, and Operation Helping Hand provide additional opportunities to increase resource usage and collaboration, shift mindsets, reduce stigma, and encourage the use of discretion to choose the potential of life-changing help over incarceration when appropriate in our communities and institutions.

As previously mentioned, we have already begun to meet with the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Department of Human Services to discuss their existing and planned mental health diversion pilot programs and learn from their experiences. And as mentioned above, before passage of the bill, we began work through the Statewide Mental Health and Special Needs Working Group to address these issues, to ensure the success of the pilot programs, and maximize the use of the available funding.

Potential challenges that have been identified in looking at existing and similar programs include: differing mental health resources available in different regions of the State, insufficient staff, and finding ways to encourage applications by appropriate candidates. Another "challenge" of our own creation is that programs like ARRIVE Together, Operation Helping Hand and other prosecutor-, law enforcement-, and community-led programs across the state are reaching individuals before they enter or immediately upon entry into the criminal justice system. These programs are deflecting and/or diverting individuals to appropriate services before an application to a diversionary program can be made.

2b. The FY 2025 Governor's Budget includes language that allocates up to \$1 million of the funds deposited into the Mental Health Diversion Support Fund to Essex County to support the existing program. The Mental Health Diversion Initiative in Essex was created in 2012 to identify non-violent offenders with a history of diagnosed mental illnesses and to divert these defendants to treatment programs as an alternative to incarceration or in conjunction with incarceration.

- **Questions: What experience has Essex County been able to share with regard to the successes and challenges of its program and has its program been able to reduce the likelihood of these individuals' offenses from escalating to more serious offenses, and has the program been able to reduce recidivism and keep the community safer? What is the trend in recidivism rates for the Essex program? How will the recommended FY 2025 grant support the Essex county program?**

The Department is not in a position to comment on programs or practices in Essex County specifically, but as the mental health diversion programs roll out, the Department will continue to look to the best practices of already existing programs. These programs report that the majority

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

of those who are accepted successfully complete the programs while receiving the necessary treatment and treatment resources as identified by health care professionals. We are aware of feedback from county prosecutor’s offices that successful participants have a very low recidivism rate.

The Department anticipates that the team in Essex County will identify their unique resource and programmatic needs, and their application will be consistent with the legislation and the requirements set forth in the Notice of Available Funds. As mentioned, Essex has been doing work in the diversion space since 2012, so it is expected that they, along with other long-standing programs in counties like Union, Ocean, Warren, and Atlantic Counties will continue to be resources and models for the pilots and the State.

- **What are the best practices of the existing county Mental Health Diversion programs? Please identify all of the counties with existing programs and how long they have been in effect.**

Please see the below chart for information about counties and Mental Health Diversion program status.

County	Prosecutor-led Mental Health Diversion Program and year began (if applicable)	AOC/DHS Mental Health Diversion Program Pilot and year began (if applicable)
Atlantic	Yes – 2023	Not yet operational
Bergen	Not yet operational	No
Burlington	No	No
Camden	No	Yes
Cape May	No	No
Cumberland	Not yet operational	Not yet operational
Essex	Yes – 2013	Yes
Gloucester	No	No
Hudson	No	No
Hunterdon	No	No
Mercer	No	No
Middlesex	No	Yes
Monmouth	Yes – 2023	Not yet operational
Morris	Yes – 2010	Yes
Ocean	Yes – 2014	No
Passaic	No	No
Salem	No	No
Somerset	Yes – 2023	No
Sussex	Yes – 2009	Yes
Union	Yes – 2004	Not yet operational
Warren	Yes – 2009	No


By way of example, the Union County Prosecutor’s Office has operated a mental health diversion program for nearly 20 years. The UCPO created a Special Offenders Unit in 2004 that would handle

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

all cases in which a defendant suffers from a mental illness, which includes operating the Jail Diversion Program, which diverts defendants from state prison, county jail, or conviction. Other counties with mental health diversion programs operate similarly, in that they partner with local behavioral health providers who conduct evaluations and local mental health agencies who provide case management and ongoing services.

ARRIVE Together Program

3. According to the department in calendar year 2020, 66 percent of instances in which law enforcement used force and more than 50 percent of instances of fatal police officer encounters involved a civilian suffering from mental illness or substance abuse issues. In FY 2022, the State established the Alternative Response to Reduce Instances of Violence & Escalation Program, known as the ARRIVE Together Program. The program pairs a New Jersey State Trooper or municipal law enforcement officer, trained in crisis intervention and de-escalation techniques, with a mental health professional, to respond to 911 calls involving individuals experiencing a mental health crisis. According to the department there have been almost 2,711 ARRIVE Together interactions over the two-year time frame that the program has been in operation.



March 20, 2024 Office of the Attorney General ARRIVE Together Dashboard.

The Governor recommends total program funding of \$19.8 million in State-Aid appropriations in FY 2025, representing a \$18.2 million increase to the ARRIVE Together Program over two years, \$9.5 million requested in FY 2025 and \$8.7 million in FY 2024. These increases fund the expansion of the program in those years.

According to the department, the program utilizes different models tailored to each community's needs. The first three programs established were located in Cumberland County and Union County (Elizabeth and Linden).

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Findings from a study conducted by the Brookings Institute reported that 97 percent of 342 police calls they investigated involving ARRIVE Together teams did not use force and 98 percent of cases did not result in arrests.

- **Questions: What are the department's findings with regard to the program's performance and how do they compare with the study from the Brookings Institute? What percentage and number of 911 calls are designated as mental health related issues leading to the dispatch of an ARRIVE Together team? Has the department found through its analysis of the program that law enforcement teams paired with mental health professionals lead to lower rates of arrests and use of force? Are the programs finding a higher utilization of mental health services and what are the percentages of encounters that end in arrest, mental health referrals, or hospital admissions?**

As a State, there is not a centralized system that tracks all arrests. We know from the ARRIVE Together program that it is often the officer on location, rather than the dispatcher, who is provided with the most complete information about the situation that led to the call or recognized the need for mental health resources. Many police reporting and dispatch systems do not permit a change in the initial categorization of the call or the use of more than one field for call type. This makes comparative data and percentages difficult to provide with accuracy. In addition, while ARRIVE is in every county, it is not yet in every municipality or available 24/7. The Department will continue to work toward that goal and is pleased that 50% of the state's residents are served by at least one ARRIVE model.

Our Office of Justice Data continues to work with our ARRIVE teams to collect, report, and analyze ARRIVE data. As part of the Department's commitment to transparency, we recently launched the ARRIVE dashboard, which will allow the public to see the positive difference ARRIVE is having on communities and residents.

Locations participating in ARRIVE report that they are seeing an increase in utilization of mental health and medical services when needed; a reduction in repeat calls to law enforcement; a reduction in racial disparities across outcomes; increased collaboration between law enforcement, mental health providers, and community stakeholders; increased collaboration between health care providers and law enforcement; and improved trust between the community and law enforcement. They are also seeing an increase in the number of individuals who can remain and receive services in the community without the need for involuntary transport. Last year's Brookings Report confirmed these findings.

ARRIVE Together teams have engaged in almost 3,000 calls for service or proactive outreaches across the State since the program's inception, which includes co-response, follow-ups, telehealth responses, and affirmative outreach. During those interactions, there have been no injuries and no response by an ARRIVE team has escalated into an arrest. Responding officers have only used force to effectuate an involuntary transport of individuals ordered by the mental health professional who co-responded.

In 2020, across New Jersey, our data showed that two out of every three uses of force by law enforcement involved a civilian suffering from mental health or substance abuse related issues, and over half of all fatal police encounters occurred in similar circumstances. We are confident that

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

with this shift in our process and protocol as well as the shift in our training and thinking, these numbers will look dramatically different in the future.

- **Based on the performance findings, which program models seem to be the most effective? What are some of the determining factors in a community for choosing one program model over another? If possible, for context please describe a successful and less successful ARRIVE Together encounter.**

The various ARRIVE Together models are based upon the make-up of individual communities, considering the available resources and the community's needs. We believe that any linkage to community support is beneficial to residents, and our ARRIVE encounters vary from mental health workers providing information regarding services available to families that have been exposed to trauma (follow-up model) to mental health workers working alongside law enforcement officers when a person is in crisis (co-responder model). But certainly, there are encounters that stand out as anomalous, in that they would not have happened but for ARRIVE and having a mental health professional immediately available to recognize and respond.

The most effective models are those that are selected and accepted by the communities and partners and directly address the specific needs of each community. Ownership in the model creates buy-in and will lead to sustainability. Communities with strong follow-up models have built the trust, relationships, and processes that have allowed them to expand their programs to arrive on scene in real time when possible. Programs that are primarily co-response are not only following up on previous ARRIVE calls, but are also following up on calls identified by non-ARRIVE officers or during non-ARRIVE shifts that have been flagged as likely to benefit from a mental health response. Almost all models have incorporated some form of proactive outreach, and several counties utilize more than one model with more than one mental health partner, maximizing resource availability while being cognizant of staffing limitations.

By way of example, one instance involved multiple responses to an individual suffering from suboxone withdrawal symptoms requiring medical intervention. In each of these events, the responding mental health professional recognized what a law enforcement officer would not have been trained to identify, and once the individual was safely stabilized, they agreed to begin outpatient therapy – something they were not open to prior to the ARRIVE interaction.

- **On what basis are the State-Aid grants allocated to each county? What were the allocations in FY 2024 and what are the planned allocations of the requested FY 2025 appropriation? Are there any county funding requirements? Is the State-Aid grant funding one-time funding or is it anticipated that it will be an annual State-Aid ARRIVE Together grant?**

Each county identifies the model, hours, and needs based on data collected from law enforcement agencies, mental health screening services, and other community partners. Based on the data, agencies that have already existing contracts with DHS and that can dedicate resources to the program, request additional funding that is dedicated to the program based on the community's identified need. Most of the agencies have hired or have dedicated current staff to the ARRIVE Together program beginning with the hours identified in the data analysis and resource availability. This dramatically changes traditional law enforcement responses by giving officers

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

valuable tools in the form of a trusted expert while getting mental health resources to individuals faster. No state budget ARRIVE funding goes to a police partner agency.

The below chart shows the funding allocated to support active ARRIVE programs. The remaining appropriated funding will be allocated before the end of the fiscal year.

ARRIVE Funding Allocations	
County	Allocation
Atlantic	\$400,000
Bergen*	\$400,000
Burlington	\$325,000
Camden	\$200,000
Cape May	\$150,000
County Prosecutor's Offices	\$3,150,000
Cumberland	\$281,785
Essex	\$125,000
Gloucester	\$475,000
Hudson	\$125,000
Hunterdon	\$275,000
Mercer	\$100,000
Middlesex	\$550,000
Monmouth	\$375,000
Morris	\$400,000
Newark	\$275,000
Ocean	\$600,000
Passaic	\$100,000
Salem	\$275,000
Somerset	\$275,000
Sussex	\$125,000
Union	\$325,000
Warren	\$150,000
Total FY 2024 Allocation:	\$9,456,785

*Per FY 2024 Budget Language, Bergen is to receive no less than \$500,000. There are contracts executed for ARRIVE in Bergen County for a total of \$400,000. An additional \$100,000 will be allocated before the end of the fiscal year.

We are not in a position at this time to delineate the specific allocations that will result from the requested FY 2025 budget allocation.

The proposed budget allocation will support the current ARRIVE program, expansion of the ARRIVE Together program to additional municipalities within all 21 counties, increase the number of hours served in already-existing programs, increase statewide infrastructure, and ensure the

Discussion Points (Cont'd)


continuation, expansion, and growth of the program. Thanks to the support of the Governor and Legislature, ARRIVE grew at a pace we could not have imagined in December of 2021. We need to ensure that the Department is able to support, monitor, and sustain this valuable program, which is not only helping people throughout the State, but is also the catalyst for parallel meaningful and innovative work being done in many communities that will keep New Jerseyans safe and healthy.

There are no county funding requirements, but counties and municipalities have filled gaps that ARRIVE funding does not address. For example, county and municipal leadership purchase unmarked vehicles and casual uniforms for officers participating in ARRIVE, host community engagement events, and absorb any officer related overtime to ensure the coverage of shifts or for training. County Prosecutor’s Offices have similarly absorbed the costs related to launching and supporting the ARRIVE program in their county without State funding. We are not in a position to comment on the specific funding levels in out-years, but it is something we are monitoring as the program continues to grow and mature.

We hope that the success of ARRIVE proves that it should be a permanent part of the fabric of law enforcement and how we address public safety in New Jersey. We believe that with earlier interventions, fewer arrests, and fewer uses of force the State will see reductions in downstream costs like incarceration and commitment to State-funded psychiatric hospitals.

Division of State Police: Recruit Class

4. The FY 2025 Budget in Brief indicates that the budget year will conclude with 3,260 troopers, 65 more troopers than anticipated to end in FY 2024. The Division of State Police graduated the 164th State Trooper class on February 24, 2023 with 103 troopers (99 men and four women). The 165th class graduated on August 31, 2023 with 149 troopers (141 men and eight women). The 166th class began January 29, 2024.



Source: The State of New Jersey FY 2025 Budget in Brief

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

- **Questions:** Please provide the following statistics on the 166th, 165th, and 164rd recruit classes:

- Number of applicants,
- Minority and gender representation in terms of number and percentage,
- Enrollment target per class, and
- Graduation rate.

Number of Applicants:

- 163rd Class selection process was used for the 163rd and 164th recruit classes – 3,677 total applicants
- 165th Class selection process – 3,820 total applicants
- 166th Class selection process – 3,056 total applicants

163rd Class and 164th Class

	Overall							
Race	Total		Male		Female		Missing	
White (Not Hispanic or Latino)	1547	42%	1367	45%	177	31%	3	7%
Hispanic or Latino	1015	28%	829	27%	180	32%	6	14%
African American or Black (Not Hispanic or Latino)	762	21%	575	19%	173	30%	14	32%
Asian (Not Hispanic or Latino)	172	5%	160	5%	10	2%	2	5%
Native American or Alaskan Native (Not Hispanic or Latino)	7	0%	7	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (Not Hispanic or Latino)	7	0%	6	0%	0	0%	1	2%
Two or More Races (Not Hispanic or Latino)	75	2%	59	2%	13	2%	3	7%
Choose Not to Answer/Missing	92	3%	61	2%	16	3%	15	34%
TOTAL	3677	100%	3064	100%	569	100%	44	100%

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

165th class

	Overall									
Race	Total		Male		Female		Non-Binary		Missing	
White (Not Hispanic or Latino)	1486	39%	1310	43%	152	24%	1	20%	23	16%
Hispanic or Latino	1086	28%	828	27%	226	36%	2	40%	30	21%
African American or Black (Not Hispanic or Latino)	840	22%	592	19%	186	30%	1	20%	61	44%
Asian (Not Hispanic or Latino)	176	5%	156	5%	16	3%	1	20%	3	2%
Native American or Alaskan Native (Not Hispanic or Latino)	6	0%	3	0%	2	0%	0	0%	1	1%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (Not Hispanic or Latino)	8	0%	6	0%	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Two or More Races (Not Hispanic or Latino)	106	3%	82	3%	21	3%	0	0%	3	2%
Choose Not to Answer/Missing	112	3%	73	2%	20	3%	0	0%	19	14%
TOTAL	3820	100%	3050	100%	625	100%	5	100%	140	100%

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

166th class

Race	Overall									
	Total		Male		Female		Non-Binary		Missing	
White (Not Hispanic or Latino)	1184	39%	1054	44%	122	23%	1	17%	7	6%
Hispanic or Latino	909	30%	673	28%	211	39%	1	17%	24	20%
African American or Black (Not Hispanic or Latino)	675	22%	445	19%	167	31%	2	33%	61	51%
Asian (Not Hispanic or Latino)	131	4%	119	5%	7	1%	0	0%	5	4%
Native American or Alaskan Native (Not Hispanic or Latino)	8	0%	6	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	1%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (Not Hispanic or Latino)	6	0%	5	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Two or More Races (Not Hispanic or Latino)	80	3%	62	3%	14	3%	1	17%	3	3%
Choose Not to Answer/Missing	63	2%	30	1%	13	2%	1	17%	19	16%
TOTAL	3056	100%	2394	100%	536	100%	6	100%	120	100%

The enrollment target per class is 215 applicants, which is the maximum number the current Academy can hold. Please note that the 164th class was filled with residual applicants from the 163rd class selection process, and the Academy started with 152 recruits.

Graduation rate:

- 163rd Class: Day 1: 216 / Graduated: 163 = 75%
- 164th Class: Day 1: 152 / Graduated: 103 = 68%
- 165th Class: Day 1: 215 / Graduated: 149 = 69%
- 166th Class: In progress / 216 recruits

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

- **Were there sufficient applicants to fill each recruit class? How many qualified applicants resigned their commission due to the lapse in time between applying and the class commencing? Please provide statistics as to the reasons why qualified applicants resigned their commission prior to class commencement.**

There were sufficient applicants to fill each recruit class. Applicants withdraw from the process for various reasons such as accepting another position, changing their mind, personal reasons, and finances. State Police does not require documentation from applicants regarding the reason or timeframe for withdrawal.

Below is a chart of the funds expended on training costs as provided by the Department of Law and Public Safety during the FY 2024 budget process:

	Salary	Non-Salary	Total
163 rd	\$2,492,384	\$2,275,053	\$4,767,437
164 th	\$1,797,294	\$2,423,923	\$4,221,218
165 th (proj.)	\$2,700,000	\$2,734,600	\$5,434,600
166 th (proj.)	\$2,700,000	\$2,734,600	\$5,434,600

Training costs incurred by fiscal year:

FY21 (ARP funds) - \$3,995,426
 FY22 - \$4,472,287
 FY23 - \$5,955,342
 FY24 - \$5,434,600

*As provided by the Department of Law and Public Safety Budget in Brief FY 2025

- **Please provide budget information in chart format for the 167th, 166th, 165th, and 164rd recruit classes, respectively. Please include the training costs to be incurred in each of FY 2025, 2024, and FY 2023.**

	Salary	Non-Salary	Total
164 th	\$1,797,294	\$2,423,923	\$4,767,437
165 th	\$2,268,647	\$2,090,664	\$4,359,311
166 th (Active)	\$799,301*	\$2,426,709	\$3,226,010
167 th (Proj.)	\$2,700,000	\$2,850,000	\$5,550,000

*Recruit Salary as of FY24 PP07

Training costs incurred by fiscal year:

FY23: \$5,955,342
 FY24: \$5,607,380
 FY25: \$5,150,000

Discussion Points (Cont'd)


Division of State Police: Funding for New Vehicle Purchases

5. Language in the FY 2025 Governor’s Budget provides up to \$5 million from the New Jersey Emergency Medical Service Helicopter Response Act for the Division of State Police to purchase new vehicles.

According to the FY 2024 responses to the Department of Law and Public Safety Discussion Points, “the Division of State Police Fleet Management Office has a replacement model that calls for replacing every marked station patrol vehicle at three years and everything else at five years. Therefore, stable funding at a level that allows for the targeted number of vehicles each year to meet the replacement model. Presently, an uplifted Ford Police Interceptor costs \$56,400, replacing 200 vehicles each fiscal year as planned requires \$11.3 million just for that segment of the fleet.”


According to the Department of Law and Public Safety, vehicles are retired when there is funding available for replacements on a worst-first basis. Others are removed from service when there is a safety issue that cannot be remedied such as rust. In those situations, the age of the vehicle is not the sole determination, as parts could be obsolete or the vehicle has high mileage making expensive repairs fiscally or operationally imprudent. Marked patrol vehicles may accrue as much as 90,000 miles in a year. According to the responses to the FY 2024 Discussion Points (Page 21), per Treasury circular 17-06 ADM, “any trade in must have at least 125,000 miles.”

Purchases of State Police Vehicles increased from FY 2021 through FY 2023 as Cares Act funding and American Rescue Plan Act State Fiscal Recovery Fund allocations allowed the department to reach its authorized vehicle strength in FY 2023. From FY 2019 through FY 2023 the department used lines of credit, CARES ACT funding, and American Rescue Plan Act State Fiscal Recovery Fund allocations as additional funding sources for vehicle purchases:



Source: Department of Law and Public Safety

Discussion Points (Cont'd)



Source: Department of Law and Public Safety

Sources of Funding for Division of State Police Vehicle Purchases
FY 2019 – FY 2023

- FY 2019 \$11M line of credit, 271 vehicles (\$40,560 per vehicle)
- FY 2020 \$11M line of credit, 230 vehicles (\$47,826 per vehicle)
- FY 2021 \$17M Cares Act Funding, 389 vehicles (\$43,701 per vehicle)
- FY 2022 \$521,783 American Rescue Plan Act, 8 vehicles (\$65,222.87 per vehicle)
- FY 2023 \$6.25M American Rescue Plan Act, 143 Vehicles (\$43,706 per vehicle)

Source: Department of Law and Public Safety.

- **Questions:** Why doesn't New Jersey have a stable funding source for the purchase of State Police vehicles and how is safety affected? What solutions is the department considering to allow the targeted number of vehicles each year to meet the replacement model?

The Department is grateful for the support of the Administration and Legislature in the funding made available for vehicle purchases over the years, including opportunities using non-State dollars. The Department will be prepared to purchase vehicles to meet the needs of the State Police based on available funding. Safety of Troopers is always a paramount consideration for the Department and State Police regarding assignment and use of vehicles.

- **What is the plan for the purchase of vehicles in FY 2024 and FY 2025 in terms of the amount, funding sources, number of vehicles, and type of vehicle to be purchased? Will this allow for the targeted number of vehicles to meet the replacement model in FY 2024 and in FY 2025 and how will the fleet inventory compare to the authorized strength after the purchases in both years?**

For FY 2024, the combined funding of \$23.95 million enabled the Division to purchase:

- 230 Ford Police Interceptor Utilities (Explorer) for the patrol stations

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

- 25 Dodge Durango AWD Pursuit for the patrol stations
- 37 Unmarked Admin Ford Police Interceptor Utilities
- 2 Ford F450 Cab & Chassis
- 4 Ford Expedition Max EL
- 1 Chevrolet Suburban
- 50 Chevrolet Tahoe SSV
- 2 Chevrolet Van
- 3 Ford High Top Transit Vans
- 16 Chevrolet Malibu Sedans
- 10 Ford Edge

The Division currently has 631 vehicles over 150,000 miles. Accounting for the 380 replacement vehicles, older high-mileage vehicles will be removed from service due to safety issues (for example, compromised structure issues due to rust/corrosion) and a lack of available parts. The Division and its Fleet Management Office are committed to ensuring the safety of its Enlisted members by red-lining vehicles that would be deemed not viable to perform emergency response.

Division of State Police: Emergency Management Assistance Compact

6. The Department of Law and Public Safety has a long history of providing mutual aid to jurisdictions outside of New Jersey. The requests are through the Emergency Management Assistance Compact, a mutual aid agreement allowing states and territories to share resources in response to natural and man-made disasters.

a. Emergency Management Assistance Compact Deployments

1. FY 2022 – No Emergency Management Assistance Compact deployments
2. FY 2023 - Eight Emergency Management Assistance Compact responses that included New Jersey Division of State Police personnel deployments.

- | | |
|--|------------------------------|
| • New Mexico 2022 Wildfires | (Mission 2357-RSA-11371-0-1) |
| • New Mexico 2022 Wildfires | (Mission 2357-RSA-11391-0-1) |
| • Florida 2022 Hurricane Ian | (Mission 2458-RSA-11762-2-1) |
| • Florida 2022 Hurricane Ian | (Mission 2458-RSA-11730-1-1) |
| • Hurricane Fiona- Puerto Rico 9/17/2022 | (Mission 2454-RSA-11541-0-1) |
| • NY Snowstorm in Buffalo | (Mission 2556-RSA-11908-0-1) |
| • California Winter Storms | (Mission 2564-RSA-11976-0-1) |
| • California Winter Storms | (Mission 2564-RSA-11984-0-1) |

b. Special Federal Emergency Management Agency Deployment of the New Jersey All Hazards Incident Management Team to Puerto Rico in response to Hurricane Fiona, DR-4671-PR.

- **Questions:** What special training is needed to prepare the Urban Search and Rescue New Jersey All Hazards Incident Management Team for emergency responses and are these skill transferrable to other high-risk and not high-risk State Police assignments? Please discuss. What are the mission, vision, and objectives of this program?

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Urban Search and Rescue (US&R).

The special training needed to prepare a US&R team for responses is complex. There are currently 21 different specialized position descriptions for a US&R team. Many positions require years of training in various disciplines to achieve the specialized designation. An example is a Rescue Specialist. A Rescue Specialist would need Rope Rescue (Technician or Level 2), Confined Space Rescue (Technician or Level 2), Trench Rescue (Technician or Level 2), and Vehicle and Machinery Rescue (Technician or Level 2) as prerequisites to qualify to take the FEMA US&R Structural Collapse Specialist course before the specialist could be considered deployable. This process is repeated for most of the other positions, with some including advanced items such as Licensed Physician, Licensed Paramedic, and Licensed Professional Engineer.

As designed in the Task Force concept, each position is staffed during a response. The positions include Task Force Leader, Safety Officer, Search Team Manager, Canine Search Specialist, Technical Search Specialist, Rescue Team Manager, Rescue Squad Officer, Rescue Specialist, Heavy Rigging Specialist, HazMat Team Manager, HazMat Specialist, Medical Team Manager, Medical Specialist, Logistics Team Manager, Logistics Specialist, Communications Specialist, Planning Team Manager, Structural Specialist, and Technical Information Specialist.

Due to the amount and specialized nature of the training and possibly needed licenses, these positions are challenging to transfer to others without the specialized prerequisites to qualify for the specific position required training. However, these skills are transferable to other State Police assignments. High-risk assignments may prove difficult in an investigation or security function because many Task Force members are civilians; however, the technical skill sets and training are transferable to low-risk assignments. By way of example, certain Structural Specialists worked with the Infrastructure Protection Unit to complete a MetLife walkover bridge risk assessment and proffered solutions.

It is the primary mission of New Jersey Task Force One (NJ-TF1) to provide advanced technical search and rescue capabilities to victims trapped or entombed in structurally collapsed buildings. To this endeavor, NJ-TF1 members pledge to the State of New Jersey citizens to provide efficient and effective rescue technologies in a planned and measured response system that mirrors the Federal Emergency Management Agency's guidelines on urban search and rescue and the appropriate National Fire Protection Association Standards. The members of NJ-TF1 further pledge to conduct all search and rescue operations in a professional, ethical, and understanding manner to protect the dignity of any victims and the local response communities that we may serve during such missions. The members of NJ-TF1 shall maintain their skills and abilities in technical rescue training consummate to any missions that may be established now or in the future requiring deployments to natural or man-made disasters, hurricanes, floods, conflagrations, explosions, earthquakes, or weapons of mass destruction incidents that are beyond the capability of local emergency services.

New Jersey All Hazards Incident Management Team (NJ – AHIMT).

The NJ-AHIMT requires all its members to complete the required NIMS "Baseline" ICS courses, including IS-100, IS-200, IS-300, IS-700, and IS-800. Additional training is required for a member to be considered deployable, which includes ICS-400 (most current version), USFA Type 3 All-Hazard

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Incident Management Team course (O-305), Emergency Operations Center/Incident Command System Interface (G-191), Hazardous Materials - Awareness, Critical Incident Stress Management, and at least one All-Hazards Position Specific course.

Position-specific training courses are required to prepare candidates for IMT positions sufficiently. Some of the training courses listed in the Incident Command Position Description Qualifications Tables (ICPDQT) are the most common or well-known courses, but equivalent training options are available. The NJ-AHIMT utilizes the Interstate Incident Management Qualifications System Guide (IIMQS) and FEMA National Qualification System (NQS) to credential and qualify individuals through their respective Position Task Book performance.

The team increases New Jersey's ability to achieve the National Preparedness Goal core capability of Operational Coordination: establishing and maintaining a unified and coordinated operational structure and process that appropriately integrates all critical stakeholders and supports the execution of core capabilities. To achieve this, the team maintains itself as a Type 3 Incident Management Team per FEMA's resource typing definitions. The team is also trained, exercised, and competent to perform as an EOC Management Support Team.

The NJ-AHIMT provides incident management or support during incidents or events that exceed a jurisdiction's or agency's capability or capacity. Likewise, this ability is transferable to support other high-risk and non-high-risk New Jersey State Police assignments, as it has historically conducted with missions that include, but are not limited to, the PGA Championship at Baltusrol, National Governor's Association Conference, Lt. Governor Sheila Oliver's Funeral, and the upcoming 2026 FIFA World Cup. The team includes members of various local, county, and state agencies, as well as nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) and private sector organizations. It is a statewide resource administered and managed by the New Jersey Office of Emergency Management (NJOEM).

- **How many rescue missions by the New Jersey Urban Search and Rescue Team occurred in FY 2023 and current to date in FY 2024? For each mission, please provide a date, short description, and the reimbursable cost.**

EMAC: NJ-TF1 was activated as a state resource for an EMAC request (2556-RSA-11908-0-1) on December 26 to the Buffalo, NY Region to perform search & rescue due to a Lake Effect Snow Event. NJ-TF1 was utilized to access heavily impacted areas with NJNG & Buffalo PD units for welfare checks and provided follow-up on 911 calls received during the height of the storm. NJ-TF1 was demobilized on December 30 and safely returned to its home station early on the 31st. The reimbursable cost was \$184,174.30.

FEMA: NJ-TF1 was activated as a FEMA US&R resource for a Type 3 request (45 members) on September 30, 2022, for Tropical Cyclone Ian. NJ-TF1 was staged in Fort Myers, FL, and was assigned to Pine Island and Sanibel Island to conduct wide-area search operations. Operations consisted of hasty and primary searches of designated areas, reporting on damage, human interactions, and providing aid to those in need. The team was demobilized and returned home on October 12, 2022. The estimated reimbursable cost is \$7,445,099.25.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

NJ-TF1 was activated as a FEMA US&R resource for a Type 3 request (45 members) on August 28, 2023, for Tropical Cyclone Idalia. NJ-TF1 was staged in Columbia, SC, until it was demobilized and returned home on September 2, 2023. The estimated reimbursable cost is \$1,001,500.00.

NJ-TF1 was activated as a FEMA US&R resource for a Type 3 request (45 members) on September 13, 2023, for Tropical Cyclone Lee. NJ-TF1 was staged in Warwick, RI, until demobilized and returned home on September 17, 2023. The estimated reimbursable cost is \$1,984,500.00.

Division of State Police: Capital Construction

7a. The Governor's FY 2025 Budget in Brief indicated a commitment of an additional \$120 million to complete the financing of the Division of State Police Training Center which began last year. In addition, it indicated that this capital investment will again be requested to be allocated from the Debt Defeasance and Prevention Fund. PL.2023, c.68 appropriated \$120 million from the New Jersey Debt Defeasance and Prevention Fund to the Department of Law and Public Safety for the design and construction of the first phase of the new Division of State Police Training Center. The total cost of the project is estimated at \$240 million to be completed over three to four years, dependent on when the critical components of the project begin.

- **Questions: What are the critical components of the project?**

The critical components of the project are the Programming & Feasibility Study, Planning/ Design, Procurement, Pre-Construction, Demolition, Construction, Commissioning, and Project Closeout.

According to the responses to the FY 2024 Discussions Points, the department indicated that specific modernization projects at the new center include a defensive driving training pad, an aquatics center, and a firearms range. The department also expanded the project to include a unified emergency management training center at a dedicated location to serve all 21 counties and storage facilities, including expanded morgue space.

- **Questions: Why was the decision to expand the project to include a unified emergency management training center made and how will it be used?**

A new, state-of-the-art emergency management center will yield advances in training, emergency response, coordination of resources, preparedness, and mitigation. We believe that that emergency management agencies across the state will benefit from these resources, and through service from those agencies, residents throughout New Jersey.

Furthermore, the department indicated that during the first phase of the project, the following will be built: a multi-purpose housing facility, cafeteria, indoor training facility, aquatic center, automotive garage, and radio repair facility. During the second phase of the project, the following will be built: firearms range, outdoor physical training facility, defensive driving training pad, State emergency response training center, and multi-purpose emergency and storage facility.

In November of 2023, the department received a federal supplemental appropriation of \$1.37 million under the federal fiscal year 2023 Emergency Operations Center Grant Program which provides funding to equip, upgrade, or construct emergency operations centers to provide fully

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

capable facilities to ensure continuity of operations and continuity of government in major disasters or emergencies.

- **Questions:** How have public safety services provided by the Department of Law and Public Safety evolved over time? How will the new facilities, especially the emergency response training center address this evolution and how does the department foresee that this improvement and investment will enhance State services to the residents of New Jersey? What portion of the emergency operations center does the federal supplemental appropriation cover? Is this grant opportunity available in subsequent years?

As technology expands, new methods, tactics, and practices are constantly being developed. The primary goal is to save property and lives, and when the most effective tools and training centers are made available, this goal will constantly be within reach. A modern and state-of-the-art training facility will allow those new methods to be relayed to future generations of State Police Troopers, and enhance the overall recruitment and retention for them.

The State Emergency Operations Center is 100% dependent on the EMPG funds to support operations. The EMPG program is administered annually by FEMA, although precise funding is dependent on the availability and appropriation of federal funds.

- **What is the status of the project and when does the department anticipate that the second phase will commence? Will the facility be occupied once phase one is completed or is occupancy dependent on the completion of both phases?**

The project's Programming and Feasibility Study portion will take 12-18 months to complete, after which the Planning/Design, Procurement, Demolition, Pre-Construction, and Construction Phases will occur. Total occupancy is not expected until all phases essential to the academy function and training requirements are completed. Partial occupancy may occur if the opportunity is available based on the construction schedule and the Programming and Feasibility Study results.

7b. The Governor's FY 2025 Budget in Brief indicated a commitment of approximately \$18 million to for a new State Police Southern Regional Laboratory. The Governor's FY 2025 Budget in Brief indicated that this capital investment be requested to be allocated from the Debt Defeasance and Prevention Fund.

According to the Division of State Police website, the State Police operate four physical laboratory locations in New Jersey:

- Central Regional Laboratory, (Drugs, Toxicology, Fire Debris Analysis, Trace Evidence, Forensic Serology) in Hamilton, New Jersey;
- DNA Laboratory, (Nuclear DNA Analysis, CODIS) in Hamilton, New Jersey;
- South Regional Laboratory, (Drugs, Toxicology) in Hammonton, New Jersey;
- East Regional Laboratory, (Drugs, Toxicology, Fire Debris Analysis) in Sea Girt, New Jersey; and
- North Regional Laboratory, (Drugs, Toxicology) in East Hanover, New Jersey.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

- **Questions:** For what reasons does the department intend to construct a new State Police Southern Regional Laboratory? Where is the new laboratory intended to be built? Please provide the total estimated cost of this project. What is the estimated completion date? How many phases of the project are anticipated?

The existing South Regional Laboratory is 55 years old and located at 3434 S White Horse Pike in Mullica Twp., Atlantic County, on 21 acres of State-owned property. The new State Police Southern Regional Laboratory will be constructed on the same property as the existing lab. It is expected to be completed within 30 months of receiving funding and approval. The project is expected to be completed in one phase due to the crucial need to maintain operations. The existing lab will remain functional while the new lab is constructed.

The Southern Regional Laboratory is one of the State's four nationally accredited regional forensic crime laboratories. It is responsible for providing forensic services, including scientific analysis of physical evidence, evaluation of analytical results, the provision of expert testimony in court proceedings, assistance at crime scenes, and the provision of training to law enforcement officers on the identification, documentation, collection, and preservation of physical evidence. The Southern Regional Laboratory also provides controlled dangerous substance analysis, fire debris analysis, and toxicological analysis of biological fluids to Camden, Atlantic, Southern Burlington, Southern Ocean, Cape May, Gloucester, Salem, and Cumberland Counties.

The existing lab building is grossly undersized, providing insufficient space to conduct proper lab testing, and the building infrastructure has reached the end of life for laboratory activities. All mechanical HVAC systems, fume hoods, water line, sewer lines, and other laboratory systems have reached their end of life and are failing after 55 years of use and repair. Additionally, the lab has two large modular trailers connected but separate from the main building that houses the break area/conference room, offices, and file storage. The combined trailers are over 25 years old and intended to be a temporary expansion. The trailer walls and floors are rotten beyond repair. Wildlife continually access the underside of the trailer, eat through the floor to enter the trailer, and make nests in the walls despite continued efforts to close the skirting. In one particular example, snakes have been found on numerous occasions inside the trailer and building. The well water on the property is undrinkable without installing proper purification systems, which are not currently available at the building.

The lab and trailer structures are too small, grossly outdated, need significant repairs, and do not meet the 21st-century forensic needs to be used as the State's only southern regional laboratory. There must be a law enforcement-only reception area for evidence drop-off and pick-up, a modern, secure evidence vault, blast-proof storage areas for instrumentation compressed gas, acids, and flammables accessible from the interior and exterior, separate break areas and conference rooms for meeting and training. Laboratory activities carried out in the space that requires special consideration include laboratory analysis, biohazard and chemical fume hoods, gas burners, proper ventilation, nonpermeable workspaces & seating in the laboratory areas, separate scientist workspace apart from the laboratory space, and an emergency standby generator to power the entire facility. There is insufficient space in the current facility to store necessary laboratory supplies, toiletries/janitorial supplies, office supplies, mechanical and HVAC supplies, laboratory equipment filters, and maintenance items.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

A new modern lab is needed to service the law enforcement needs of all southern counties of the state, as well as basic renovation to an existing cinderblock structure for use as a vehicle evidence storage location. The existing site, which is State-owned, allows the new building to be built without interruption to the lab's daily function.

The current estimated cost to build the new Southern Regional Laboratory is \$ 18,000,000.

Division of State Police: Meadowlands Study


8. The FY 2024 Appropriations Act included a Legislative Resolution appropriating \$1 million for a Meadowlands study. According to the Department of the Treasury, the objective of the Scope of Work is to conduct a Programming and Feasibility Study, including an environmental assessment of the site, for the construction of a new Public Safety Complex on the grounds of the Meadowlands Sports and Entertainment Complex in Bergen County, New Jersey. The Public Safety Complex will house the operations, equipment, and personnel for a New Jersey Division of State Police Station, Fire Station, and Emergency Medical Services facility, which will serve the Complex.

- **Question:** What is the status of the study and if completed, what are the recommendations? Please discuss.

The Study for the Meadowlands Public Safety Complex is nearly complete.

Vehicle Theft

9a. The Council on Criminal Justice indicated that nationwide there were 29 percent more motor vehicle thefts in calendar year 2023 than in calendar year 2022 reflected across major cities.




Rate per 100,000 people per year.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Source: Crime Data Explorer, Federal Bureau of Investigation. CY2023 data not available.

Although the 2023 New Jersey rates of vehicle theft vary, the Office of the Attorney General shared with New Jersey 101.5 in January 2024 that New Jersey's preliminary count of vehicle thefts were 16,605 in 2023. That represents an increase of 955 vehicle thefts, or a 6.1 percent increase, from the 2022 reported rate of 15,650 vehicle thefts by the Office of the Attorney General. The department reports that from September through December 2022 car thefts were down 13 percent from the same four months of 2021.



*Source: NJ Office of the Attorney General, March 2023; **New Jersey 101.5 January 2024.

To counter the growing auto theft activity, laws were enacted which established crimes, expanded crimes, extended sentences, and created a third degree crime for participation in an auto theft trafficking network. In addition, the Division of State Police and the Division of Criminal Justice expanded the auto theft task force, allocated up to \$125,000 in federal Justice Assistance Grant funding to pay for overtime reimbursements and equipment costs, and received \$11.9 million from federal American Rescue Plan Act State Fiscal Recovery funds to expand the use of license plate recognition technology.

In April of 2023, the Office of the Attorney General released the following list of automated license plate recognition technology grant recipients who were awarded grants to purchase and expand existing high-speed and automated camera systems to capture and store computer-readable images of license plates in a centralized database.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Automated License Plate Reader Technology Local Awards

COUNTY	DEPARTMENT	AWARD	<i>Award Continued</i>		
Atlantic	City of Brigantine	\$31,842	COUNTY	DEPARTMENT	AWARD
Atlantic	Galloway Township Police Department	\$60,325	Hunterdon	County of Hunterdon	\$184,200
Bergen	Bergen County Sheriff's Office	\$400,368	Mercer	Mercer County Prosecutor's Office	\$396,000
Bergen	Borough of Wallington	\$86,400	Middlesex	East Brunswick Township Police Department	\$88,777
Burlington	Burlington County Prosecutor's Office	\$200,000	Monmouth	Monmouth County Sheriff's Office	\$325,920
Burlington	Burlington Township Police Department	\$60,212	Morris	Chatham Township Police Department	\$69,194
Burlington	Willingboro Township Police Department	\$144,000	Morris	Long Hill Police Department	\$72,000
Camden	Camden County Police Department	\$220,067	Morris	Morris County Prosecutor's Office	\$372,000
Cape May	Cape May County Prosecutor's Office	\$389,527	Morris	Town of Dover Police Department	\$48,000
Cumberland	Cumberland County	\$402,039	Ocean	County of Ocean	\$346,758
Essex	Bloomfield Police Department	\$226,065	Passaic	City of Passaic	\$148,253
Essex	Newark Police Department	\$595,000	Salem	Salem County Prosecutor's Office	\$352,000
Essex	Township of Nutley	\$91,666	Somerset	Somerset County Prosecutor's Office	\$102,858
Essex	Township of West Orange – Police Depa	\$63,513	Sussex	Township of Sparta	\$68,800
Gloucester	Glassboro Police Department	\$23,400	Union	Union County Police Department	\$250,500
Gloucester	Gloucester County Prosecutor's Office	\$349,866	Warren	Greenwich Township Police Department	\$131,190
Gloucester	Paulsboro Police Department	\$87,541	Statewide	New Jersey State Police	\$3,014,329
Hudson	City of Union City	\$222,000		TOTAL STATEWIDE AWARD	\$3,779,221.00
Hudson	Town of West New York	\$125,380			

Source: Office of the Attorney General

- Questions:** To which effort does the department attribute the most credit regarding the 13 percent drop in the car theft rate in the last four months of calendar year 2022 as compared to the last four months of calendar year 2021 and did this trend continue in the last four months of calendar year 2023? Please discuss. If attributed to the automated license plate readers, what is the return on investment on the license plate readers in just a few months? What capabilities do license plate readers lend to the effort to reduce car theft? How has license plate technology, coupled with the changes in the law, leveraged the abilities of the task force and local law enforcement?

Please note: For clarification on the above chart, the revised total amount awarded to locals for automated license plate readers was \$6.735 million. An additional \$5 million was awarded to the State Police for the same purpose.

Attorney General Platkin has prioritized efforts to combat motor vehicle theft by implementing collaborative and innovative law enforcement and prosecutorial strategies to disrupt the criminal environment. This includes the use of law enforcement working groups, such as the Auto Theft Taskforce, and advanced equipment such as automated license plate recognition (ALPR) technology.

Reducing auto thefts remains a focus of our office's efforts, and last year, our office worked with the Governor and Legislature on passing new laws that increased the criminal penalties for auto theft offenders, particularly for persistent, repeat offenders and large-scale automobile trafficking networks. Since then, we have worked with our law enforcement partners to raise awareness of these new statutes and to utilize them in investigations and prosecutions. As a result of these efforts, high-end auto thefts declined by 20 percent last year as compared to 2022. In addition, subtracting out Kia and Hyundai models, which have a known security vulnerability, motor vehicle theft decreased by 10 percent in 2023 compared to 2022.

Several contributing factors influence motor vehicle theft, and percentage fluctuations cannot be attributed to any one effort, such as ALPR technology, to disrupt this crime. However, ALPR technology is an invaluable resource in New Jersey's efforts to combat motor vehicle theft. The

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

technology is crucial in tracking and recovering stolen motor vehicles. ALPRs help law enforcement locate stolen vehicles by tracing their movement, identifying movement patterns of suspected offenders; and finding car thefts in progress by searching vehicle information and indicators of organized auto theft. ALPRs have shown when cars are actively being stolen, that is, when they are traveling “in tandem” with other stolen cars; where certain auto-theft crews target; and how vehicles may be fenced.

In addition to the use of ALPRs to strengthen investigations, the recent changes to auto-theft statutes have allowed the Auto Theft Task Force to detain more offenders while they await resolution of their prior charges. In addition, a deterrence effect may be present regarding the more severe consequences of committing these crimes.

9b. In many instances of auto theft, there are statewide news reports of a trend of juveniles committing crimes, such as auto theft, and adults behind the scenes encouraging juveniles to commit the crimes. Juveniles often receive lighter sentences than adults. Law enforcement officers and county and municipal leaders Statewide are requesting a change to the Statewide juvenile justice system to end repeat offenders.

- **Question: Where does law enforcement need to focus its efforts to deter the recruitment of juveniles into the auto theft and trafficking business and why?**

Law enforcement agencies across New Jersey have been focused, and will continue to focus, on dismantling auto-theft networks, which will in turn drive down juvenile involvement in auto-theft crime. Additionally, efforts will focus on recidivist adult and juvenile offenders through targeted investigations and enhanced prosecution. Agencies will also continue to utilize all information obtained in auto-theft arrests to identify the individuals or networks funding and organizing auto-theft rings. By identifying those responsible and what methods they are using to run their criminal enterprises, law enforcement will be able to cut down the auto-theft networks that are recruiting youth to commit these crimes.

FIFA World Cup

10. The New Jersey MetLife Stadium in East Rutherford will be a host site for the FIFA World Cup 2026 between June 13, 2026 and July 19, 2026, with eight potential matches. New Jersey has hosted large, multi-faceted events in prior years such as the NFL Super Bowl XLVIII on February 2, 2014 also at MetLife Stadium in East Rutherford.

During the FY 2015 budget process, the Department of Law and Public Safety reported through the [FY 2015 discussion points](#) that prior to and during the NFL Super Bowl XLVIII the following measures were taken by the State to ensure the security of people and property:

- The New Jersey Division of State Police: Assigned the operational responsibility for game security and began planning shortly after the announcement of the game in 2010. Over 700 enlisted Division of State Police members and civilian support staff were deployed to support the physical lockdown of the Meadowlands Complex, the staffing of the public safety compound at American Dream, the concert and fireworks display at Liberty State Park, and Media Day at the Prudential Center in Newark;

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

- The Office of Homeland Security and Preparedness: Provided technical support, coordinated pre-game briefings for workers in the hotel, aviation, motor coach, limousine and taxi industries, and monitored intelligence and community situational awareness before and during Super Bowl XLVIII. To support these efforts, a “Private Sector Coordination Desk” was activated, which served as a conduit between State decision makers and owners and operators of critical infrastructure in New Jersey, and staff was assigned to the Super Bowl Securities Operation Center as well as at MetLife Stadium; and
- The Division of Highway Traffic Safety: Provided \$84,000 in funding (\$4,000 each) to the 21 police departments in communities in close proximity to MetLife Stadium to increase patrols and sobriety checkpoints from January 28 to February 4, 2014. Statewide DWI arrests during Super Bowl XLVIII weekend in 2014 were 161 compared to 110 in 2013.

Further, in preparation for the Super Bowl XLVIII, New Jersey hosted a conference in October of 2013 on Human Trafficking Victim and Survivor Awareness. During the FY 2024 Budget process the department indicated that there were a total of 61 human trafficking related arrests during the five day Super Bowl XLVIII operations conducted jointly with federal, county, and local agencies. All of these arrests related directly to Super Bowl XLVIII operations and offenders were charged with promoting or engaging in prostitution.

- **Questions:** What specific security, technical support, and local funding for local efforts are the Department of Law and Public Safety, Office of Homeland Security and Preparedness, and the Division of Highway and Traffic Safety undertaking in preparation of the FIFA World Cup 2026 to ensure it is a success from a public safety perspective and to make people feel safe about attending prior to the event?

New Jersey State Police: State Police is the lead agency for the operational responsibility for World Cup 2026 safety and security, and the agency assigned a planning regiment shortly after the announcement of the FIFA match schedule on February 4, 2024. In collaboration with our federal, county, and state partners, a large portion of State Police will be deployed to multiple sites throughout the state during this event, which will span over 39 days, with the tournament dates commencing on June 11 and ending on July 19. The final game of the World Cup 2026 will be played on July 19, 2026, at MetLife Stadium in East Rutherford, NJ, and is expected to be viewed by over 1.5 billion people worldwide. This large-scale operation will not only focus on the eight matches at MetLife Stadium., and also encompass sites throughout the state, including fanfests, team base camp training sites, team and dignitary hotels, match day minus one training sites, and venue-specific training sites. Traffic Management and safe passage of spectators and patrons throughout the state will be a priority, as well as the safety and security of tournament teams and dignitaries in our region.

Division of Highway Traffic Safety: Subject to available funding, during the FIFA World Cup 2026 the 21 police departments in the surrounding communities are expected to receive \$84,000 in funding (\$4,000 each) for increased patrols and sobriety checkpoints. This funding would be in addition to the annual funding that HTS anticipates providing to those municipalities in 2026.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

The Office of Homeland Security and Preparedness: The New Jersey Office of Homeland Security and Preparedness (NJOHSP) will provide and coordinate in-person and virtual pre-event briefings and educational seminars in preparation for the FIFA World Cup 2026. Topics will include recognizing and reporting suspicious activity, the current threat landscape, active shooter preparedness, and human trafficking for private-sector partners and communities hosting and supporting 2026 FIFA World Cup activities. State critical infrastructure coordinators will assist the State Police Infrastructure Protection Unit to conduct security assessments at primary and secondary facilities utilized in connection with the 2026 FIFA World Cup.

In 2023, in anticipation of New Jersey hosting the 2026 FIFA World Cup, the Domestic Security Preparedness Task Force (DSPTF) prioritized preparation for mass gatherings and large events. In March 2024, the DSPTF prioritized funding projects with the upcoming FEMA 2024 State Homeland Security Program that would develop or build a capability to prevent and protect from terrorism and targeted violence at mass gatherings and large events, such as the 2026 FIFA World Cup. Those projects included enhancements for State Police Bomb Squad equipment, acquisition of a vehicle inspection system, and additional training for members of the New Jersey Render Safe Task Force and hostile surveillance detection and interception teams.

NJOHSP is actively taking part in the NJSP All Hazards Incident Management Team FIFA World Cup planning meetings as well as coordinating with meeting participants and stakeholders. Additionally, NJOHSP will assign its Analysis Bureau intelligence analysts to the Intelligence and Investigations subcommittee to conduct social media overwatch operations along with New Jersey Regional Operations and Intelligence Center Cyber Threat Unit personnel.

In a joint effort with the New Jersey Department of Transportation, NJOHSP, through its Intelligence Management Bureau (IMB), will:

- Encourage the reporting of suspicious activity through the “See Something, Say Something” campaign up to three months prior to the 2026 FIFA World Cup;
- Establish tripwires – an outreach initiative designed to reach private sector merchants and provides awareness of terrorism-related suspicious behaviors – with hotels/motels, gun shops, and in other areas which will be logically impacted and significant; and
- As part of the FIFA intelligence component, drive threat reporting, intelligence assessments, and the monitoring of social media.

Additionally, IMB submitted the eight New Jersey-based soccer matches to the U.S. Department of Homeland Security for special event assessment ratings (SEAR). As the actual matches draw near and ancillary/associated events become clearer, personnel will submit those events for SEAR ratings.

Further, NJOHSP’s Operations Bureau, along with the NJSP Target Hardening Unit and the FBI’s Joint Terrorism Task Force, will:

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

- Coordinate relevant tripwire initiatives;
- Continue to conduct Red Team exercises – a tool used to test security through the real-time use of covert assets and provide recommendations to address security gaps – both independently and collaboratively through the Jersey City - Newark Urban Area Security Initiative;
- Deploy “Shadow” details – covert surveillance teams used to identify suspicious activity – based on intelligence and the target selection matrix; and
- Proactively leverage confidential human sources and other sources of information to initiate investigations which could positively impact efforts to secure FIFA matches and associated events.

Finally, NJOHSP’s New Jersey Cybersecurity and Communications Integration Cell (NJCCIC) participates in the FIFA critical infrastructure subcommittee, where it shares cyber threat and cybersecurity-related information relevant to the upcoming World Cup. As practice facilities, lodging, and other location information become available, the NJCCIC will conduct outreach to those entities, in addition to MetLife stadium, to offer assistance with assessments and deliver presentations on cyber threats and best practices.


In addition, Attorney General Platkin has already dedicated resources towards recognizing, addressing, and combating human trafficking in New Jersey. These efforts include the establishment of a dedicated Human Trafficking Unit within the Division of Criminal Justice and the appointment of a senior attorney to lead that work. And in January 2024, AG Platkin announced the “Sanctuary from Trafficking: Awareness & Linkage to Law Enforcement & Services” or “STALLS” campaign, which seeks to increase reporting of forced labor and commercial sexual exploitation crimes, and to bring victims to safety. These initiatives will continue as the state prepares to host the 2026 World Cup.

Division of State Police: Expungement of Records

11. P.L.2019, c.269 expanded the petition process for “clean slate” expungement eligibility and appropriated \$15 million to the Department of Law and Public Safety for implementation, including reviewing and processing an anticipated higher volume of expungement applications. In addition, the law required the creation of an electronic filing system.

The FY 2025 Governor’s Budget reflects that in FY 2023 there were 7,998 actual expungement cases opened and 7,120 actual expungement cases closed. Recently the percentage of expungements closed to expungements opened has declined from 99 percent before 2023 to 89 percent beginning in 2023. Meanwhile, the ratio of the total expended to the total appropriated increased from 37 percent in FY 2021 to 79 percent in FY 2023.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)



*The State of New Jersey Governor’s Budgets FY 2019 to FY 2025.

Expungement Unit Appropriated Funds

FY 2020		FY 2021		FY 2022		FY 2023		FY 2024 Adjusted	FY 2025 Requested
Appropriated	Expended	Appropriated	Expended	Appropriated	Expended	Appropriated	Expended	Appropriated	Appropriated
\$11,000,000	\$ -	\$17,180,000	\$ 6,349,000	\$13,000,000	\$ 9,995,000	\$13,000,000	\$ 10,334,000	\$13,000,000	\$13,000,000

- Questions:** What is causing the decline in the expungement processing rate and the simultaneous increase in the expenditure rate? Is the e-filing system up and running? If so is it performing as designed? If not, why not? Please discuss. Do different expungement cases take longer to process than others, if so, why?

The NJSP expungement processing rate has not declined since the end of the COVID-19 pandemic, rather it has significantly increased.

Open expungement cases appear to refer to all expungements after a petition is filed or a sua sponte Court order is generated. That figure would include cases where expungement is denied, vacated, or where an order has yet to be granted. In such cases, the State Police would not perform an expungement.

The AOC e-portal system is up and running. While State Police is not in a position to speak on behalf of the AOC, we recognize that it has been working and effective overall, but certain limitations do exist. For example, inaccurate petitions and final orders may be submitted, which results in the Expungement Unit having to provide additional review on these petitions and final orders. Moreover, it is not uncommon for expungement petitioners to act without the assistance of legal counsel, and they may be unfamiliar with the process for requesting expungements, provide inaccurate or incomplete information, or are unsure about the appropriate filing for their matter: “clean slate” or “regular” expungement. Those errors may result in additional delays in processing. Further, state law requires the AOC e-portal system serve the NJSP with all supporting documents, but technical problems may prevent this from occurring, which delays the process.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

There are several types of expungements, which have varying processing times. Expedited expungements (also known as automatic expungements) predominantly involve a single arrest that resulted in a dismissal and are automatically ordered expunged by the Court *sua sponte* after dismissal. These matters are extremely high volume. In 2023, the NJSP received an average of 4,615 expedited monthly expungement orders.

To compare, in 2022, the NJSP received an average of 2,956 a month. Going forward, we expect this process will be fully automated to allow faster processing and reduce the chances of a significant backlog of expedited expungements in the system. Clean Slate, Recovery Court (formally known as Drug Court), and Human Trafficking related expungements usually include an entire record with no limit to the number of arrests. These expungements are much less numerous in volume compared to expedited expungements, but they require the most attention and work.

To adjust to this increased workload and ensure that expungements were performed as timely as possible, the State Police Software Programming Unit implemented a program targeted at Expedited Expungement Orders in early 2024. This program helped reduce the number of pending expungements by over 45,000: from 65,763 at the end of December 2023 to 19,874 of as March 29, 2024. The number of final orders processed completely totaled nearly 110,000 in 2023 and the first three months of 2024 combined, compared with an annual average of nearly 14,000 between 2018 and 2022. In total, this initiative reduced the backlog by almost 70 percent.

Division of Law: Outsourcing of Legal Cases and Assignments

12. The Department of Law and Public Safety annually outsources cases and assignments, retaining outside legal counsel for various reasons. The department also enters into client service agreements with other State departments to handle legal cases through outside counsel. During the FY 2024 budget review process, the department provided documentation that State agencies paid approximately \$27.6 million to outside counsel in calendar year 2022. During the FY 2023 budget review process, the department provided documentation that State agencies paid approximately \$25 million to outside counsel in calendar year 2021.

- **Questions: What are the primary reasons for outsourcing cases and assignments? What was the total cost for outside counsel in calendar year 2023 compared to the total in-house cost?**

The Division of Law (DOL) retains outside counsel for a variety of reasons including:

- the need for highly specialized counsel in areas of the law with respect to which DOL has insufficient in-house expertise;
- conflicts, either under the Rules of Professional Conduct or other conflicts;
- lack of sufficient attorney capacity due to a particularly high volume of matters at a given time or during periods of unusually high DOL attorney attrition;
- in certain complex or large-scale affirmative matters, to provide additional attorney capacity, particularized expertise, and/or to outsource risk where outside counsel agrees to work on a contingency basis; and
- when the State is sued in out-of-state jurisdictions.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Outside counsel spending was \$34 million in 2023, and DOL billed its client agencies \$106 million. Please note that billable rates for outside counsel do not necessarily match those for deputy attorneys general within DOL.

- **Please provide a list of cases and assignments for which outside counsel was retained, including the amount paid per case for calendar years 2023 and 2024 to date. Please include the names of law firms used, the amount paid to each law firm, a description of the primary types of cases handled by each law firm, and department involved. What percentage of outside counsel costs represents legal fees paid on a contingency basis? Which specific cases involve outside counsel retained pursuant to a contingency fee arrangement? Please provide the range of hourly rates charged by outside counsel for general litigation.**

Please see **Attachment 1** for the list of cases and assignments for which outside counsel was retained. Please note the following:

- the relevant department is identifiable by the case name;
- most firms handle a variety of matters, as indicated by the information in Attachment 1;
- no payments were made on a contingency basis; and
- for general litigation, hourly rates during this period ranged from \$150-\$200 per hour.

Office of the Attorney General: Permit to Carry

13. New Jersey's gun safety laws had prohibited a person from carrying a handgun in public unless that person had obtained a permit to carry that handgun based on a demonstration of "justifiable need." However, in June of 2022, the United States Supreme Court decision in *New York State Rifle & Pistol Association Inc. v. Bruen* held significant implications for carrying a handgun in New Jersey and the law governing the issuance of permits to carry a handgun. The *Bruen* decision establishes that handgun permits cannot be denied to otherwise-qualified citizens who fail to show that they have established New Jersey's "proper cause" standard to carry a handgun. New Jersey's "justifiable need" standard was substantively similar to New Jersey's "proper cause" requirement. In response to the United States Supreme Court decision *New York State Rifle & Pistol Association Inc. v. Bruen*, New Jersey enacted P.L.2022, c.131 to remove the "justifiable need" standard from current law, establish an expanded framework concerning the purchase of firearms and the issuance of permits to carry handguns in this State, and codify sensitive locations at which the right to carry a handgun would be restricted.


The sensitive locations in which carrying a handgun is prohibited by P.L.2022, c.131 include: public protests and other gatherings that require government permits; childcare centers, preschools, and summer camps; beaches, parks, playgrounds, and recreation areas; public libraries and museums; zoos; bars and restaurants where alcohol is served; theaters, arenas, racetracks, and other entertainment facilities; casinos; hospitals and health care facilities; public film sets, airport drop off area inside a vehicle passenger area; courthouses; schools including colleges and universities; and legislative assemblies, polling places, and government buildings. The law also provided that firearms may not be carried on private property where the owner has not given express permission. In June of 2023, the list of restrictive places was denied in court. The law is now being

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

challenged and an interim order issued by the Third Circuit Court of Appeals leaves many of the restrictions intact, but allows handguns to be carried on property open to the public without an owner’s express consent, inside a vehicle passenger area, and on public film sets.

As the permit to carry option to New Jersey residents moves forward, the processing and decision to issue permits to carry handguns pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C:58-4 remains the responsibility of the chiefs of police, and the Division of State Police in certain instances. It was determined that an online firearms application database would be established to help process the applications for not only permit to carry applications, but also for all related firearm permits. In addition to clarifying the permit to carry application process, Attorney General Directive 2023-02 required law enforcement agencies to submit to the Office of the Attorney General certain data on applications to carry a handgun until the web portal becomes active. Prior to this request, information on applications for permits to carry were manually reported by law enforcement agencies, thus the data prior to 2019 is not available.

Based on a March 2024 release from the department, data published as of March 11, 2024 indicate that prior to the ruling there were on average, less than 100 permit to carry applications Statewide on a monthly basis. The data reflect that after the ruling, there were upwards of 1,000 to 2,500 applications monthly. The data also show that since the Bruen decision was issued, between June 2022 and February 2024, 33,264 applications were filed and of those applications 217, or 0.006 percent were denied. In comparison, between January 2020 and May 2022 1,585 applications were filed and of those 39 applications, or 2 percent were denied, reflecting a 70 percent reduction in denials.



*Permit to Carry Applications Dashboard issued March 11, 2024 by the Department of Law and Public Safety.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

- **Questions: What does proper cause mean and how has the Bruen decision changed the population of individuals in New Jersey who apply to carry handgun and those who carry handguns. What are the main reasons for the denial of an application for a permit to carry since the Bruen decision as compared to the main reasons for the denial of an application for a permit prior to the Bruen decision?**

Please be aware that the State of New York utilized the term "proper cause" in its statutory framework for firearm permitting, and which was struck down by the Bruen decision. New Jersey law, on the other hand, used the term "justifiable need," which was deemed substantively similar to New York's "proper cause." The definition contained in N.J.S.A. 2C:58-4 prior to legislation effective December 22, 2022 is below.

"Justifiable need" - in the case of a private citizen, one shall specify in detail the urgent necessity for self-protection, as evidenced by specific threats or previous attacks which demonstrate a special danger to the applicant's life that cannot be avoided by means other than by issuance of a permit to carry a handgun. Where possible, the applicant shall corroborate the existence of any specific threats or previous attacks by reference to reports of the incidents to the appropriate law enforcement agencies.

Before the Bruen decision, most of all permit-to-carry denials were due to a "lack of justifiable need." Armored cars and armed security guard permits to carry applicants composed most of the approved applications. Post-Bruen, the number of denials is small because most permit-to-carry applicants have already been approved for Firearms Purchaser Identification Cards and Permits to Purchase Handguns.

- **In addition to the Bruen decision, to what else does the department attribute the sudden increase in the applications and the magnitude of the increase in the applications?**

The Bruen decision would account for the majority, if not all, of the increase in applications. The State Police Firearms Investigations Unit (FIU) has no information that would attribute to a sudden increase in applications.

- **To what does the department attribute its ability to process the 2,000 percent increase in applications in a period of two years? Did the Division of State Police have to increase staffing to accommodate and expedite the application process? If so, please explain. To what extent is this due to the Electronic Permit to Carry System? Please explain. How is the processing of permit to carry applications being handled by the Electronic Permit to Carry System? What was the cost to acquire and implement the Electronic Permit to Carry system?**

During the initial increase of applications, the State Police Firearms Investigative Unit (FIU) allocated appropriate staffing resources towards permit to carry requirements and meeting statutory deadlines. During this time, FIU continued to actively hire personnel and increased overtime due to the increases in permit to carry applications.

In addition, State Police engaged with a vendor to develop an electronic permit to carry system in conjunction with the Firearm Application and Registration System (FARS) and the Retired Police Officer Application Portal. The Electronic Permit to Carry Application Portal was launched August

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

2023. At that time, FIU implemented statewide training for local law enforcement agencies. The system has drastically reduced the time and resources necessary to fulfill the statutorily mandated background checks and required record keeping. The cost of the system is offset by fees that are charged to the applicants.

One FARS came online, FIU reallocated staffing to focus on other unit responsibilities, including regulatory licensed gun dealer inspections, county-level training for all local law enforcement agencies, updating and maintaining previously built applications systems, and developing new application systems required by FIU.

- **Please provide the number of full time employee (FTE) filled positions dedicated to the Firearms Investigations Unit (FIU) before the Bruen decision and now.**

June 2022 – 17 full-time enlisted, civilian, and state investigators

April 2024 – 20 full-time enlisted, civilian, and state investigators

- **With the increasing number of New Jersey residents carrying concealed firearms, what have been the overall repercussions on State and local law enforcement? To what extent has the criminal gun-related activity and the new gun laws changed the nature of State and local law enforcement coordination, collaboration, and mission?**

While there has been a significant increase in permit applications and issuances, the extent to which firearm accidents and firearm-related criminal activity have changed the nature of state and local policing in the context of the state's new firearm laws is not yet clear. While the Bruen decision changed the standard used in New Jersey for issuing permits to carry, the Governor and Legislature took swift action to enact legislation to strengthen gun violence prevention efforts. Prior to and after the Bruen decision, law enforcement agencies prioritize officer safety, and take appropriate steps during interactions with individuals who may be carrying a firearm. Further, State and local law enforcement agencies will continue to utilize all available provisions under state and federal law to ensure that individuals prohibited from possessing or carrying a firearm under state or federal law do not do so.

Office of the Attorney General: Firearm Fee Increases

14. Recent budget proposals under the Murphy Administration have suggested raising firearms related licensing fees and increased taxes on firearms and ammunition. In the FY 2025 Budget in Brief a new proposal briefly mentioned:

“The FY 2025 budget assumes \$10 million of new revenue for this proposal. To avert the need for further spending reductions, the budget assumes the adoption of modest increases to fees charged by the Bureau of Securities, *firearm permit and license fees*, and raises the HMO assessment from 5 percent to 6 percent.”

According to FY 2025 budget related information provided by the New Jersey Office of Management and Budget, a total of \$3.9 million in revenue is estimated to be generated by legislatively increasing the various firearm fees as shown below.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

*FY 2025 New Jersey Office of Management and Budget Released Information

FY 2025 Firearm Fee Proposed Increases*		
	Current Fee	Proposed Fee Increase
Firearms Purchaser Identification Card Application (N.J.S.2C:58-3)	\$50	\$100
Permit to Purchase Application(N.J.S.2C:58-3)	\$25	\$50
Duplicate Firearms Purchaser Identification Card (Proposed)	\$0	\$50
Wholesale/Retail Dealer Employee License Applications (2C:58-2)	\$5	\$40
Retailer Firearms Dealer Licenses Initial and Renewal Applications (2C:58-2)	\$50	\$500
Retailer Firearms Dealer License for Corporations for Partnerships Initial and Renewal Applications (2C:58-2)	\$50	\$500
Manufacturer/ Wholesaler Dealers of Firearms Initial and Renewal Applications (2C:58-1)	\$50	\$500
Manufacturer/ Wholesaler for Corporations or Partnerships Initial and Renewal Applications (2C:58-1)	\$150	\$1,500
Permit to Carry Initial (N.J.S.2C:58-4) and Renewal (Proposed) Application	\$200	\$400
NICS Background Checks (N.J.S.2C:58-3)	\$15	\$45

- **Questions:** Please provide the anticipated revenue associated with each fee increase listed above. Please provide a list of the firearm permit, license, and registration, and the number of applications and renewals in CY 2021, CY 2022, and CY 2023.

Expected Annual Revenue:

- Initial FID's (3,000 x \$100) = \$300,000
- Duplicate FID's (1,700 x \$50) = \$85,000
- Permits to Purchase (10,000 x \$50) = \$500,000
- Licensed Gun Dealer Employees (600 x \$40) = \$24,000
- Retail Firearms Dealer Licenses Initial/Renewal (120 x \$500) = \$60,000
- Wholesale/Manufacturer Firearm Dealer License Initial/Renewal (25 x \$500) = \$12,500
- Firearms Dealer Licenses for Corporations (3 x \$1,500) = \$4,500
- Permit to Carry Initial/Renewal (2,500 x \$400) = \$1,000,000
- NICS Background Checks (115,000 x \$45) = \$5.175 million

Above projected revenues are approximately \$4 million above the current firearm revenue.

CY 2021		YTD
FID (INITIAL)	APPRD	4435
	DENIED	61
	TOTAL	4496
FID (DUPLICATE)	APPRD	1923
	DENIED	3

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

	TOTAL	1926
HANDGUN PURCHASE PERMITS	APPRD	3904
	DENIED	4
	TOTAL	3908
# PERMITS ISSUED		14015
Permit to Carry		307
	APPRD	24
	DENIED	331
	TOTAL	4435

CY 2022		YTD
FID (INITIAL)	APPRD	2596
	DENIED	37
	TOTAL	2633
FID (DUPLICATE)	APPRD	1764
	DENIED	3
	TOTAL	1767
HANDGUN PURCHASE PERMITS	APPRD	4148
	DENIED	3
	TOTAL	4151
# PERMITS ISSUED		12268
Permit to Carry		
	APPRD	537
	DENIED	7
	TOTAL	544

CY 2023		YTD
FID (INITIAL)	APPRD	2306
	DENIED	42
	TOTAL	2348
FID (DUPLICATE)	APPRD	1545
	DENIED	2
	TOTAL	1547
HANDGUN PURCHASE PERMITS	APPRD	3467
	DENIED	5

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

	TOTAL	3472
# PERMITS ISSUED		9100
Permit to Carry		
	APPRD	2803
	DENIED	15
	TOTAL	2818

Division of Consumer Affairs: Professional Boards

15a. The Operation of State Professional Boards in the Division of Consumer Affairs is financed from professional board revenues and licenses and regulates the practices of the respective professions. The FY 2025 Governor’s Budget recommends eliminating language authorizing the appropriation of unexpended balances of the State professional boards, advisory boards, and committees located in the Department of Law and Public Safety. The FY 2025 Office of Management and Budget report indicates reliance on non-recurring resources further reflects that the use of professional board revenue will not be used during FY 2025.

- **Questions: Why will the unexpended balances of the professional board revenues not be used during FY 2025 and is this change in policy intended to continue beyond FY 2025? Is this due to a reorganization of the position count such that there will be no excess revenues to redistribute. Please explain.**

Since FY 2015, \$183 million of Board revenue has been reallocated to offset the costs of State Police salaries. In FY2025, revenue is needed to remain with the Boards to address increased salary and fringe costs and the new National Practitioner Data Bank look up fees. We are not in a position to speculate on the distribution of Board revenues beyond FY2025.

The FY2024 Appropriations Act provided an authorization of up to \$8.5 million that is not otherwise required to be expended for the purposes of such professional boards, advisory boards, and committees to pay for the costs and expenses of the various divisions within the Department of Law and Public Safety.

From FY 2015 to FY 2023, the following professional boards have experienced transfers of totaling \$186 million in revenues. In FY 2024, the budget provided the authority to transfer \$8.5 million lending to average of \$19.459 million per year since FY 2015.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Account Numbers	Division of Consumer Affairs Board Names	Total Amount Transferred From FY 2015 to FY 2023
1320	Board of Accountancy	\$ 1,475,000
1321	Board of Architects	\$ 3,850,000
1341	Board of Audiology and Speech Pathology Advisory Committee	\$ 4,500,000
1338	Board of Chiropractic Examiners	\$ 3,050,000
1332	Board of Cosmetology	\$ 4,500,000
1330	Board of Court Reporting	\$ 300,000
1322	Board of Dentistry	\$ 7,950,000
1317	Board of Elevator, Escalator, Walkways	\$ 500,000
1324	Board of Engineers and Land Surveyors	\$ 600,000
1319	Board of HVAC	\$ 3,120,000
1337	Board of Marriage and Family Therapy Examiners	\$ 5,100,000
1336	Board of Master Plumbers	\$ 1,450,000
1325	Board of Medical Examiners	\$ 78,800,000
1323	Board of Mortuary Science	\$ 300,000
1326	Board of Nursing	\$ 38,600,000
1346	Board of Occupational Therapy	\$ 4,200,000
1331	Board of Ophthalmic Dispensers and Ophthalmic Technicians	\$ 2,200,000
1327	Board of Optometry	\$ 3,800,000
1328	Board of Pharmacy	\$ 4,505,000
1340	Board of Physical Therapy	\$ 2,450,000
1349	Board of Polysomnography	\$ 200,000
1333	Board of Professional Planners	\$ 900,000
1335	Board of Psychological Examiners	\$ 1,550,000
1342	Real Estate Appraisers Board	\$ 4,450,000
1343	Board of Respiratory Care	\$ 1,200,000
1344	Board of Social Work Examiners	\$ 5,050,000
1329	Board of Veterinary Medicine	\$ 1,400,000
	Total Amount Transferred from FY 2015 to FY 2023	\$ 186,000,000

Information provided in June 2023 by the Department of Law Public Safety

- **Question:** Please provide the amount of funds transferred from the Division of Consumer Affairs professional boards since 2023 and the specific amount transferred from each board.

The above chart provided to OLS in June 2023 has been updated below to show the total breakdown of the \$183m (not \$186m) that was reallocated from the Professional Boards since FY 2015.


Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Due to the newly mandated National Practitioner Data Bank look ups and the associated costs for the healthcare related boards, the Boards provided a total of \$27 million of the requested assessment in FY 2023. An additional \$11.5 million was assessed through the revenues and settlements of other funding sources. A total of \$183 million has been transferred from the Professional Boards to State Police from FY2015 to FY2023. No other funds have been transferred since the end of FY2023. The projected transfer of \$8.5 million for FY2024 will be assessed through the revenues and settlements of other funding sources.

Division of Consumer Affairs		
Account Numbers	Board Names	Total Amount Transferred FY2015 to FY2023
1317	Board of Elevator, Escalator	\$ 250,000
1319	Board of HVAC Contractors	\$ 3,170,000
1320	Board of Accountancy	\$ 1,475,000
1321	Board of Architects	\$ 3,650,000
1322	Board of Dentistry	\$ 6,800,000
1324	Board of Engineers & Land Surveyors	\$ 600,000
1325	Board of Medical Examiners	\$ 81,350,000
1326	Board of Nursing	\$ 37,200,000
1327	Board of Optometrists	\$ 3,575,000
1328	Board of Pharmacy	\$ 4,655,000
1329	Board of Veterinary	\$ 1,425,000
1330	Board of Court Reporting	\$ 200,000
1331	Board of Ophthalmic	\$ 1,950,000
1332	Board of Cosmetology	\$ 3,800,000
1333	Board of Professional Planners	\$ 800,000
1335	Board of Psychological Examiners	\$ 1,650,000
1336	Board of Master Plumbers	\$ 1,450,000
1337	Board of Marriage Counselors	\$ 4,650,000
1338	Board of Chiropractic Examiners	\$ 2,950,000
1340	Board of Physical Therapy	\$ 2,050,000
1341	Board of Audiology & Speech Pathology	\$ 4,050,000
1342	Board of Real Estate Appraiser	\$ 4,700,000
1343	Board of Respiratory Care	\$ 1,050,000
1344	Board of Social Work Examiners	\$ 4,500,000
1346	Board of Occupational Therapy	\$ 3,900,000
1349	Board of Polysomnography	\$ 200,000
	TOTAL	\$ 183,000,000

15b. The State Professional Boards in the Division of Consumer Affairs are required to oversee an increasing number of licensees. However, position data show that the number of personnel have decreased by 35 percent since FY 2003.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)



*The State of New Jersey Governor's FY 2003 to FY 2025 Budgets

- Question:** What led to the 35 percent reduction in staff since FY 2003? How has the reduction in staffing (increased vacancies) affected workload? By what percentage has the workload grown or shrunk over the same time frame?

The Professional Board staff reductions noted above can be attributed to various factors, but most significantly, to the challenge of backfilling when employees resigned or retired, as well as a significant increase in the number of employee retirements both during the COVID-19 public health emergency and following a return to in-office work. The Division is constantly and actively hiring to fill all vacant positions to achieve the goal of full staffing, including 31 active postings as of this writing.

Despite staffing reductions since 2002, work assigned to the Division's Professional Board staff has grown as new boards have been created. This growth in work, however, has been met with an improvement in operational efficiency and productivity. This is evidenced by the following data:

Issuance of Initial Licenses, Permits, Certifications, and Other Approvals ("licenses"): The boards have increased the issuance of initial licenses by over 110% since 2002, rising from approximately 35,000 to nearly 83,000 in 2023. It is important to note these figures do not include the more than 50,000 temporary emergency reciprocity licenses the Division issued to increase the access to healthcare and mental health professionals during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Renewal rates: The number of renewals issued by the Boards for all types of licenses, permits, certifications, and other approvals has increased from approximately 500,000 for the 2002-2003 renewal period to approximately 700,000 for the 2022-2023 renewal period.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Note that in order to capture a complete renewal cycle for all professional boards, two consecutive years are combined as almost all of the Division's boards operate on a two-year renewal cycle. These numbers reflect a 40% increase in renewals issued.

In addition, since 2002, there have been 13 new boards and committees created that have begun issuing licenses:

- Elevator, Escalator, Moving Walkway Mechanics Licensing Board
- Genetic Counseling Advisory Committee
- Licensed Master Hearth Specialist Advisory Committee
- Home Inspector Advisory Committee
- State Board of Examiners of HVACR Contractors
- Interior Design Examination and Evaluation Committee
- New Jersey Board of Massage and Bodywork Therapy (previously a committee with the Board of Nursing)
- State Board of Polysomnography
- Pool and Spa Service Contractors and Pool and Spa Builders and Installers Advisory Committee
- Certified Psychoanalysts Advisory Committee
- Board of Dietetics and Nutrition
- State Board of Applied Behavior Analyst Examiners
- Creative Arts and Activities Therapies (previously a committee with the Board of Marriage and Family Therapy Examiners)

Further, a comprehensive data analysis revealed that, as of January 2024, 90% of the boards complete the initial review of submitted applications within a two-week timeframe, with 69% of the boards achieving this result in one week or less. The initial review time is an important metric, as it is the part of the process that is most fully within the board's control with respect to processing times. It involves the board staff receiving the application and conducting the initial review of the application and the supporting documentation, as well as identifying any deficiencies. The initial review is complete when the staff reaches back out to the applicant for supplementation or correction and with instructions on how to schedule fingerprinting if a criminal history background check is required.

Upon completion of the initial review, the application goes into the next phase, the processing timing of which is largely dependent on the applicant and other third parties. The boards have the least amount of control over the time it takes to complete this phase because completion of most of the tasks is dependent on the applicant or third parties, whether that involves setting up an appointment with the fingerprinting vendor, waiting for the criminal history results to come back, or having one's school send the applicant's transcript to the board, etc., as well as the payment of the required licensing fee.

The OLS recognizes that technological efficiencies such as online licensure registration and renewal may have decreased the need for personnel, yet during various legislative committee meetings, there have been complaints regarding professionals experiencing long, unexplained

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

delays on requests to their respective boards. These delays are said to be affecting initial licensure requests, verification of credentials, interstate license transfers, and license renewals.

- Questions:** Please provide the Department of Law and Public Safety’s expenditures for technological enhancements, staff training, and enforcement for the Division of Consumer Affairs State professional boards, advisory boards, and committees. Have these advancements led to reductions in personnel since 2003?

The Professional Board technological enhancements from FY 2015 to FY 2023 were \$6.02 million, which includes license hosting and maintenance of software. SharePoint expenses and maintenance costs shared by the Boards starting in FY 2019 to present are \$14,138,470. In addition to in-house training opportunities made available to Professional Board staff, external training expenditures from FY 2015 through FY 2023 totaled approximately \$14,000.

The Professional Board 2015 to 2022 expenses for enforcement per year:

2015	- \$5,446,081
2016	- \$5,362,039
2017	- \$5,238,512
2018	- \$5,238,512
2019	- \$5,067,482
2020	- \$5,364,240
2021	- \$5,181,324
2022	- \$5,802,169
2023	- \$5,391,103

Details concerning recent technology enhancements are outlined in the chart below. These enhancements have not contributed to the Professional Board staff reductions, in part due to the expansion of Boards and the licensing and other actions associated with them.

Initiative	Cost	Description
Online applications for remaining paper-based business licenses	\$537,400	Implement P.L.2017, c.298, which mandated each of DCA’s professional and occupational licensing boards to provide online licensure.
Spanish language online applications	\$1,480,760	Make available Spanish language application for all DCA Boards.
Demographic data collection	\$300,000	Increase data collection on demographics to bolster enforcement on discrimination complaints to discover trends.
Record scanning/archiving	\$600,000	Transition older paper-based records for increased efficiency.
Online appointment scheduler	\$200,000	Online scheduling for consumer and licensees who need in-person visits to DCA offices.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

National Practitioner Data Bank/Criminal History Lookup Implementation – Initial Cycle	\$1,470,000	Implement/comply with P.L.2021, c.335, which requires health care professional licensing boards to utilize the National Practitioner Data Bank.
--	-------------	---

During the FY 2024 budget process, the department indicated that the division was expecting...

“... to fill approximately 30 staff vacancies in the professional boards in order to help facilitate application processing and complaint handling.”

- **Is the division choosing to backfill vacancies now? If so, why? Where is the division in the process of backfilling vacancies? What is the fiscal impact of backfilling the positions? What was the annual salary savings of the 30 vacant positions?**

The Division has been actively working to fill vacancies in the Professional Board staff since 2018. As of this writing, five new hires are in the process of being on-boarded and another 31 vacancies are posted. Since 2018, the Division has issued dozens of Job Vacancy Announcements in order to fill Professional Board vacancies. The annual salary and fringe cost for the 31 vacancies is \$1,793,762. Since the Professional Boards are divided into 10 Teams, the costs of these positions are spread across the Boards according to the percentage allocated to each board within that Team.

- **Have any positions and the associated funding been deleted from the professional boards? If so, what number of positions have been deleted and what was the total reduction in funding associated with those positions and how is it being accounted for? Please explain. Is there a plan to reorganize the positions? If so, what is the plan? What percentage of positions are budgeted to be backfilled and what percentage are budgeted to be deleted, if any? Which professional boards are affected?**

Recently enacted legislation, P.L.2023, c.106, which established the Drug Affordability Council, requires the Division to oversee the registration of, and collection of data from, prescription drug manufacturers, pharmacy benefits managers, wholesalers, carriers, and pharmacy services administrative organizations. The legislation provided funding to establish this unit within the Division, but did not include additional staffing positions. In order to establish the new unit, seven positions were reallocated from available Professional Board vacancies. No funding was reallocated with these positions. The Professional Boards are currently filled with 149 positions, with an additional five new hires in the process of on-boarding. There are 31 active postings, and no positions are being deleted. The Division intends on filling all vacancies.

- **Have there been reductions in positions and the funding associated with those positions in other organizations in the department? If so, what number of positions were affected and what was the funding associated with those positions? Which organizations were affected?**

There have not been any reductions of positions within the Department.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Juvenile Justice Commission: Facilities

16. In 2018, it was announced that the State would be closing two of its three youth prisons: the New Jersey Training School for Boys in Monroe, also known as Jamesburg, and the Female Secure Care and Intake Facility in Bordentown, also known as Hayes with the anticipation that three smaller centrally located facilities would open. In 2018, the Economic Development Authority issued \$160.3 million in State Lease Revenue Bonds to finance the Juvenile Justice Commission Facilities Project with the intention of building two new facilities. The bonds maturing on or after June 15, 2028 are subject to optional redemption. Total annual debt service on the bonds is approximately \$24.5 million.

During the FY 2024 budget process, the department indicated that the Juvenile Justice Commission anticipated beginning construction on the southern and central New Jersey sites in 2024. In January 2024, Governor Murphy in response to sexual assault allegations, which occurred from 1970's to 2010, vowed to close Jamesburg. According to the FY 2025 Budget in Brief, the Governor recommends appropriating \$60 million out of the off-budget Debt Defeasance and Prevention Fund for an unspecified third new Juvenile Justice Commission facility.

The current Juvenile Justice Commission Institutional facilities operating capacity is 465 juveniles, but as of May 2023 there were only 113 youths living in the facilities. The budget reflects a daily per capita cost of \$1,221 per day in FY 2023.

- **Questions: How will the design of the new the Juvenile Justice Commission facilities ensure the safety of the incarcerated youth while serving sentences in the Juvenile Justice Commission institutional and community facilities in accordance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act guidelines.**

The design of the three new state-of-the-art facilities is based on national best practices as opposed to the New Jersey Training School (NJTS) which was built in 1866 with approximately 45 buildings in use today. The three new facilities were also specifically designed in accordance with the requirements of the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). The new buildings will have clean sight lines, which facilitate the ability to effectively monitor JJC youth and activity throughout the facility. In addition, the new facilities' camera surveillance systems provide coverage of all areas that youth have access to except for sleeping rooms, bathrooms, and showers, in accordance with PREA. This camera coverage, coupled with cleaner sight lines, will essentially eliminate blind spots throughout the facility. Real-time monitoring of these cameras can be conducted from one central location. Finally, living units have been designed to house youth in small groups of eight, consistent with PREA youth to staff ratios.

Notably, since the inception of the PREA audit process in 2013, the JJC has either met or exceeded all standards measured in the audit, indicating that today, the JJC has an effective system in place for preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse.

- **Please provide a time line on the construction of all three facilities. Please provide the intended operational capacity of each of the three facilities? Please provide the final location for each of the three facilities. Please provide a time line of closing the current**

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

Juvenile Justice institutional facilities. Please provide an update on the funds bonded for building of the new Juvenile Justice Commission facilities.

There are three proposed facilities that will house up to 48 youth each:

- South – Winslow, NJ
- Central – Ewing, NJ
- North – Location pending

Below is a timeline for the South and Central facilities. The property for the North, as indicated above, has not yet been finalized.

Activity Name	Date
Design Completion	April 2024
Permit Completion	May 2024
Release Request for Construction Bids	July 2024
Award Contract/Notice to Proceed/Construction Starts	Fall 2024
Substantial Completion of Project	Estimated, 2026
Final Completion/Project Close Out	Estimated, 2026

The JJC expects there will be at least a 6–12-month delay from the completion of the South/Central facilities and the completion of the North facility. The closing of NJTS and Hayes is difficult to predict at this time until a final construction plan is in place for the North facility. The JJC will continue to evaluate the transition of youth to the new facilities based on population count at NJTS and Hayes and the safe and secure operation of the facilities.

Eight percent of the bonds have been committed to date, or \$13,892,000 of the \$169,000,000. To this point, the bonds have funded the prototype design, the final design for the Central and South facilities, design and planning work of the architectural/design firm and the construction management firm, permitting/application fees, and approximately \$2M in fees for the Department of the Treasury’s Division of Property and Construction Management. It is expected that once the construction firms are hired through a competitive bidding process, the drawdowns will escalate considerably.

Restorative and Transformative Justice for Youths and Communities Pilot Program

17. During FY 2022 and FY 2023 the State allocated an estimated \$8.4 million, over two years, to assist the implementation of Restorative and Transformative Justice for Youths and Communities Pilot Program (P.L.2021, c.196) and community-based wrap around services program in four pilot cities: Camden, Newark, Paterson, and Trenton. In February 2024, the first Restorative and Transformative Justice Hub Pilot Program opened in New Jersey in Newark, New Jersey.

According to the Attorney General’s Office, the restorative justice hubs are physical spaces within the community for youth and families with an array of social support services such as employment assistance and mentoring, mental health services, substance abuse treatment and recovery, education and housing support, and financial literacy and life skills. The restorative justice hubs

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

are to serve both at-risk and court-involved youth in the community and those discharged from a juvenile justice facility.

- **Question: What differing levels of support are provided to the various types of participants of the program and what outcomes are hoped to be achieved through the centers? Please discuss the program.**

The two primary outcomes for the Restorative and Transformative Justice Hubs (RTJ Hub) are to 1) create a community-based justice system using restorative practices as a diversion from formal system contact and 2) provide services to young people returning home from JJC facilities. In achieving these outcomes, the RTJ Hubs will help address racial disparities of young people of color becoming involved in the formal youth justice system. Each RTJ Hub has a slightly different design that uplifts its unique community vision while offering common program elements that center intervention on restorative practices to heal individual and community harm. As a result of these practices, young people will also access educational support services, safe spaces for recreation, mental health support, connection to additional community-based services, vocational programming, financial literacy, life skills and employment. Each provider is also working with the JJC's Office of Juvenile Parole and Transitional Services to create a process for welcoming young people home from JJC facilities and supporting them as they transition back home.

As the community-based providers refine their implementation plan, the Juvenile Justice Commission has established a Coordinating Council on Restorative Justice as a space for those implementing the RTJ Hubs to share information, engage in thought partnership, and address cross-cutting implementation issues. The JJC is partnering with Equal Justice USA, a nationally recognized leader in supporting the development of restorative justice diversion practices as a means to mitigate systemic racial disparities, while relying on the wisdom of families and communities to resolve conflict and harm. Equal Justice USA will support the Coordinating Council's work and the JJC's efforts to provide technical assistance to each of the four cities/counties in their implementation efforts.

- **Question: Please provide an update on the opening dates of the three remaining Restorative and Transformative Justice for Youths and Communities Pilot Programs. Please discuss the funding being utilized to support these facilities beyond the \$8.4 million provided initially by the State. Does the Juvenile Justice Commission anticipate to house as many residents in the newly built facilities if these hubs become operational? Please elaborate on the planned coordination between the new facilities.**

The Newark Restorative and Transformative Justice Hub held a "soft opening" on February 5, 2024. Camden and Mercer are planning their opening events for later in 2024, though specific dates have not yet been shared. Paterson continues to work towards a projected opening date.

The RTJ Hubs have yet to begin spending their funding on regular operations. Funding expended to date has generally been for start-up costs (for example, building acquisition/renovation). Once the programs are fully operational, we will have a better idea of the spending rate and will consider how to support these facilities beyond the original investment of funds.

Discussion Points (Cont'd)

The potential impact of the RTJ Hubs on the JJC population is to be determined. The RTJ Hubs focus on diversion from the formal youth justice system, an early decision-making point in the youth legal continuum. Therefore, the impact of the RTJ Hubs on incarceration with the JJC, the last point on the youth legal continuum, is not direct, and may be modes and only be apparent after some time.

The JJC and the RTJ Hubs are committed to working together to identify coordination opportunities as the plans for new facilities progress.

Juvenile Justice Commission Mental Health

18. The FY 2025 budget for the Juvenile Justice Commission reflects a \$3 million increase to support the procurement of a new contract to provide physical and mental health services to the Juvenile Justice Commission residents.

The Juvenile Justice Commission has been providing mental health services to residents as part of their rehabilitation as a high percentage of juvenile residents have incurred trauma. The Juvenile Justice Commission's role has long been to support the residents on the road to recovery. On the commission's website it indicates that currently social workers and substance abuse counselors are trained to integrate trauma-informed practices into their work with adolescents.

- **Questions: Is this \$3 million increase in response to an overall rate increase or will additional services be provided to the residents? Please elaborate on your response. Please provide an overview of the new contract, including how it is different from the services currently provided to Juvenile Justice Commission residents.**

The Juvenile Justice Commission recognizes that by investing in the health and well-being of the young people in our care, a healthier, safer, and more productive individual is returned to the community. We recognize that COVID-19 changed the face of the health care workforce and created significant challenges for health care providers. In 2023, JJC's previous provider, Rutgers University Correctional Healthcare, notified the JJC that they would discontinue providing services at the end of the year. JJC therefore implemented a competitive bidding process, and selected CFG Health Network as the new provider.

Post-COVID, securing quality, cost-effective care for the youth population has been challenging due to factors such as inflation, increased operational costs, and investments in technology and equipment that are essential for maintaining current service levels and preparing for future growth. These are the primary drivers of the escalating costs of physical and mental health care at the JJC. While the overall services under the new contract are expected to remain the same as the old one, the JJC continues to prioritize optical care for the youth population. To that end, the new contractor has pledged to deliver care that is both evidence-based and patient-centered while enhancing service delivery.

Regarding the contract itself, the primary costs are related to staffing. The contract includes psychiatrists, psychologists, mental health clinicians, physicians, and nurses.

Outside Counsel Spend - 1/1/2024 to 4/2/2024

Matter Title	Associated Law Firm Office	Invoice Approved Amount
State v. Newton Case No. 1-2022-047384	ALOIA LAW FIRM, Bloomfield	\$30.00
State of New Jersey vs. State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Blue Acres - Municipal ticket - 15 Esther St Newark Summons No.: S-010373	ALOIA LAW FIRM, Bloomfield	\$480.00
State of New Jersey vs. SCPO Nadia McDaniels Complaint No.: 0714 S 2023 0080:	ALOIA LAW FIRM, Bloomfield	\$3,555.00
Victoria Williams-Faux vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1812-16	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$100.00
Tina Diamond-Ostasz vs. State of New Jersey Department of Education, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-682-17	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$735.00
Felix Mickens v. Shanta Ellis, et a	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$1,052.82
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Bond Program - New Irvine Turner Apartments Projec	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$14,294.50
Dr. Terry Ramnanan v. Colin Keiffer, et al Docket No. BER-L-2146-2:	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$423.88
Brieauna Gibson v. SoNJ et al	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$560.00
Maurice Gordon et al v. Randall Wetze	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$42,932.75
Jarvis Perry v. NJDOC	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$90.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$100.00
Kernan v. State of New Jersey, et al. Court Docket No.: CPM-L-238-22	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$2,250.00
Thomas Marks v. Ocean County Prosecutor's Office Docket No: OCN-L-1071-23	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$5,230.00
Luis Ponte vs. New Jersey State Police, et al. Court Docket No.: MER-L-986-2:	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$3,705.00
Sirakides v. Parenti, et al consolidated with Franco, et al. v. New Jersey State Police, et al	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$1,875.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority \$12,000,000 Facility revenue bonds (Jewish Community Center on the Palisade: Project), Series 2016	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$2,030.00
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Bond Program - Amendment of Forward Lending Agreements for Four (4) Projects 2023	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$585.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Children's Aid and Family Services, Inc. - 2011 Project and Children's Aid and Family Services, Inc. - 2011 Project Modification	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$280.00
Jarvis Perry v. NJDOC (APPEAL)	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$11,457.00
Victoria Williams-Faux vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1812-16 (APPEAL)	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$575.00
New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection - Counseling regarding the attorney-client privileg	Arseneault & Fassett, LLP Chatham	\$56,076.00
Division of Investment - Hitec Vision VII, LP	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$57,456.00
Och-Ziff Energy Partners - (post closing matters)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$1,920.00
Division of Investment -Alternative Investment - Sycamore Partners III, L.P. DOL # 17-64336 (post-closing matters)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$576.00
Lisa R. Easley vs. The New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-94-1:	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$242.00
Melissa Migut vs. State of New Jersey, Administrative Office of the Courts, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-934-14	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$13,753.88
Penelope Maurer vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-197-17	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$8,857.40
Deborah Gross-Quatrone vs. Bonnie Mizdol, et al. Civil Action No.: 2:17-cv-13111-MCA-LDW	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$18,940.80
LaMonica R. Cross vs. State of New Jersey, Office of Attorney General, Division of Criminal Justice, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-242-18	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$3,282.84
Diane Scott, Phillip Dowdell and Denise Hollingsworth vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3425-1	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$15,565.00
Manuel Pontes, Ph.D. vs. Rowan University Docket No.: CAM-L-4324-1:	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$9,068.33
Tracey Warrick v. SoNJ, OAG, et al	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$3,849.00
Deborah Gross-Quatrone v. NJ Judiciary	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$11,350.57
Karen DeSoto vs. New Jersey City University, et als. Docket No. ESX-L-4839-1:	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$5,602.90
[REDACTED]	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$2,940.00
Lyndsay White vs. Superior Court of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-4284-21	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$8,860.16
Jason Witcher v. Administrative Office of the Court, et al.	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$44,910.52
Shelia Young-Golden vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-689-2:	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$420.00
CMS Medicaid Disallowance Matters (Ctrs for Medicare & Medicaid Svs	Brown & Peisch PLLC, Washington	\$6,232.00
SEMI Audits - CMS Disallowance issues	Brown & Peisch PLLC, Washington	\$6,552.00
Moore, Michael v. State of New Jersey	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$432.00
Jonathan Craig vs. State of New Jersey, et al	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$2,930.00
Ernest Perez v. August Licameli, et al	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$8,819.90
Mary McNamara v. SoNJ et al	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$416.00
Danyel R. Barnes v. SoNJ, et al	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$4,060.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$1,320.00
John Doe vs. The County of Atlantic, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-4005-21	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$4,128.75
Representation of DOH employees who were subpoenaed in the matter of: Richard Lipsky and MHA, LLC d/b/a Meadowlands Hospita	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$20.00
v. The New Jersey Association of Health Plans, Inc. et als.	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$800.00
[REDACTED]	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$1,020.00
Burlington County Special Services School District v. State of New Jersey Department of Children and Families Office of Education, e	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$2,970.00
al. Court Docket No.: BUR-L-1693-23	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$2,970.00

Genworth Ltr Rate Filing	CARELLA BYRNE CECCHI OLSTEIN BRODY & AGNELLO	\$19,166.69
New Jersey Transit Corporation vs. Todd Charles Barretta	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$2,860.00
Andrew Poulos vs. State of New Jersey, Office of the Comptroller, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2263-1f	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$10,615.00
Shauntay Watkins vs. New Jersey Transit Authority, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-7207-2f	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$13,973.50
Kumar Mahabir vs. New Jersey Transit Bus Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-2428-2f	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$12,381.50
Elizabeth McNair, Administrator Ad Prosequendum of the Estate of Darrell Smith & Elizabeth McNair v. State of New Jersey, et al	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$2,720.00
State of NJ - General Obligation Bonds (FY 2015) New Money Bond:	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$688.56
Latimer, Jahmel J., et al. v. Kathleen Waldron, et al. (Wm Paterson Univ)	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$1,188.00
A.F. and M.D. vs. State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-0359-17	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$17,311.00
Legal Services Regarding Stabilization and Recovery of Atlantic City (Pilot/Tax Appeals	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$181,807.50
Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes, Series Fiscal 201f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$686.41
The Atlantic City Policeman's Benevolent Association Local 24, the Atlantic City Superior Officers' Association v. City of Atlantic City	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$36,086.32
SONJ, New Jersey Division of Local Government Services in the DCA, Robert Long, et als.	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$3,700.00
Anne Trifiletti vs New Jersey Office of Homeland Security & Preparedness, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2464-1f		
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority - Lease Revenue Bonds (Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospital) Additional DH:		
Projects 2018	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$18,000.00
Josh Vadell, et als v. City of Atlantic City, State of New Jersey & Department of Community Affair:	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$9,065.08
William Anderson, et al, et al v. City of AC, et al	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$280.00
Georgina Sirakides v. Vincent Parenti, et a	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$3,081.00
NJHCFFA - Marlboro Psychiatric Hospital Project	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$13,962.50
William Anderson, et al v. City of Atlantic City, et a	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$3,216.00
Ernest Perez v. August Licameli et al	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$20.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority - New Jersey Schools Development Authority Proposed Disposition of Surplus Property		
Early an Early Childhood Center by the Neptune Township School District	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$2,675.00
NJEDA - School Facilities Construction Bonds Fall 2020 New Money Financin	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$1,006.76
L.W. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al		
Docket No.: MON-L-525-2f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$7,901.00
A.W. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-533-2f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$855.00
A.B. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-583-2f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$29,761.25
Stacy Herrerias v. City of AC et als	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$2,380.00
J.R. vs. Somerset Hills Schools, Inc., et al. Docket No.: SOM-L-521-2f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$26,080.72
Sasha Bell-Durham, et al. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family		
Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1946-2f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$5,674.40
E.R. vs. New Jersey Department of Education, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-3549-2f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$3,756.98
Y.E. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al		
Docket No.: MER-L-2184-2f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$2,045.00
E.P. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al		
Docket No.: MER-L-2252-2f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$1,682.44
J.N. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al		
Docket No.: MER-L-2144-2f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$9,928.02
D.S. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al		
Docket No.: MER-L-2192-2f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$12,069.31
County of Atlantic vs. The State of New Jersey, et al	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$645.88
NJ Building Authority - Bond Allocation Analysis (Taxation Building	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$23,247.50
NJ Building Authority State House Security Center 202f	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$25,000.00
RevolutionNJ Trademark	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$3,350.00
Liberty & Prosperity 1776 Inc, et al vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-0170-22	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$156,448.00
Timmons v. OPD Matter	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$160.00
Peppi Pichette vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1402-22	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$39,437.81
P.L. vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4516-22	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$17,524.00
Mark Benjamin v City of Atlantic City, et als.	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$280.00
Brian Polite and Damon Crawford vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-588-22	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$18,865.60
The Atlantic City Policemen's Benevolent Association Local 24, The Atlantic City Superior Officers' Association v. City Of Atlantic City		
State of New Jersey, et al. Court Docket No.: ATL-L-2790-23	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$4,410.00
	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$251,662.10
Christopher Neuwirth vs. State of New Jersey Case No.: MER-L-1083-2f	Connell Foley LLP, Roseland	\$5,775.00
NJ Transit Corporation - Property Acquisitions Counsel Hudson Tunnel Project	Connell Foley LLP, Roseland	\$9,620.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	COOPER LEVENSON, PA, ATLANTIC CITY	\$5,440.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Altaris Health Partners VI, L.P. (initial transaction	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$48,679.50
Property Acquisition and Condemnation Litigation related to Rebuild by Design - Hudson Project	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Gibling, LLP, Paramus	\$31,093.00
FMERA - Special Counsel for Municipal Law - Nurses Quarter:	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Gibling, LLP, Paramus	\$340.00
Erin Shelton vs. State of New Jersey, New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1587-1f	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Gibling, LLP, Paramus	\$420.00

Estate of Frank Lagano v. State of New Jersey	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$10,860.00
Colt, Jeffrey and Betsy Tsai vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$1,264.00
Property Acquisition & Condemnation Litigation Related to Rebuild by Design - Meadowlands Project	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$122,796.25
Moore, Steven vs. NJ Transit Corp. A/K/A and/or D/B/A "NJ Transit" and/or New Jersey Transit Bus Operations, Inc." and Mario Rioja	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$192.00
Lieutenant Lieutenant Rita Gallo (#5269) (#5269) vs. New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1016-1;	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$8,000.00
Christopher Sperry v. Andria Bridges - N3128, et a	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$6,380.00
State of New Jersey & New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice, FOP 91 (Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$2,134.00
Shah v. State of New Jersey, et al. Court Docket No.: PAS-L-3646-1E	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$18,051.50
Reyes, Leopoldo vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$1,360.00
Alvaran, Miguel vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$0.00
Venita Gladman vs. National Railroad Passenger Corporation d/b/a Amtrak, et al. Docket No.; SCNY County of NY No.: 151698/2021	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$1,264.00
Juan Torres vs. New Jersey Department of Education, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-1378-21	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$820.00
Elizabeth McNair, et al vs. State of New Jersey, et als Docket No. 21-01291	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$3,075.00
McClain, Virginia vs. Metropolitan Transit Authority, et a	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$3,520.00
Darius Heimer Gittens vs. Willie J. Bonds, et al.	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$6,595.00
Taron Hill v. The State of New Jersey Department of Treasury, et al Court Docket No.: 22-0355E	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$25,830.00
Representation of NJ Transit in Municipal Court Proceedings:	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$240.00
Ahmed Abdalla vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-914-22	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$2,294.00
Antonio Manata v. Union County Prosecutor's Office, et al. Court Docket No.: 22-200:	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$3,000.00
Yvonne Goode, et al. vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2564-22	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$10,815.00
Demetrius Minor vs. John Powell, et al. Docket No.: 1:19-cv-18264-RMB-AMC	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$15,396.05
New Jersey Economic Development Authority vs. Turner Constructor	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$6,545.00
New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice PBA 383, 383A and 383B - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$19,962.50
Representation of NJ Transit in the acquisition of property from NYS&W, PSE&G and Conrail for the Gateway Project	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$2,092.50
Cagnina, Anthony vs. Gary Lanigan, et a	Drake Law Firm, P.C., Absecon	\$125.00
Victor Razumov, an incapacitated person, et al vs. State of New Jersey, et al	Drake Law Firm, P.C., Absecon	\$2,332.00
Evan Goddard, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: CPM-L-209-19	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$10,214.00
P.G. vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3054-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$1,125.00
Y.V., et al. vs. The State of New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: 21-cv-1877C	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$7,669.35
Jane Doe2 vs. Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: OCN-L-2469-2'	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$955.00
C.W. vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2117-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$1,230.00
G.D. vs. Monmouth County, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-3572-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$1,835.00
J.B. vs. Monmouth County, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-3457-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$2,660.00
T.B. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al		
Docket No.: MER-L-2344-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$494.00
R.F. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al		
Docket No.: MER-L-2480-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$2,370.00
Jane Doe2 (N.H) vs. Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2493-2'	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$6,810.00
R.F. 3 vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families; New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New		
Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: SLM-L-239-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$2,265.00
Papatheodorou v. Turbin	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$3,403.33
J.S. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al		
Docket No.: MER-L-2102-23	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$4,775.00
NJHMFA - Single Family Mortgage-Backed Securities Program - SEC Rule 15Ga-1 Disclosure Filings:	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$142.50
New Jersey Economic Development Authority -Transportation Project Lease Revenue Bonds (New Jersey Transit Corporation- Porta		
North Bridge Project)	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$34,105.00
[REDACTED]		
[REDACTED]	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$754.50
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Somerset Hills Bond Loan Modificatio	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$390.00
[REDACTED], an infant, et al vs. Owobamoshola Shonowo, MD, et a	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$144.00
Martin, Akintola Hanif vs. University Hospital Newark, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$217.72
Talian, Charles vs. Dr. Gregory Peck, a physician, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$23,942.09
[REDACTED], Infant vs. Joseph Barone, MD, et al.; IMO:	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$7,072.45
Pimentel, Beronica, et al vs. George Stoupakis, MD, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$6,147.28
Martins, Joao, A.A.P., et al vs. Khamis Khamis D.O., et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$3,029.76
[REDACTED] a minor, by his mother Folasade Aremu and Folasade Aremu, individuall	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$40.40
McKoy, Ken M. vs. John Thomas Capo, et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$22,774.20
Elsebai, Ahmad, et al v. State of New Jersey, et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$7,335.88
Awed, Haidy; A/K/A Roberts, Haidy; Dec'd by A.A.P. Christopher D. Roberts, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$3,792.27
[REDACTED], Decker, Dara and Decker, Martin	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$1,115.80

Brief, Lisa vs. Robert Heary, MD, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$382.48
Nakai Coleman vs. Rutgers	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$12,508.40
F.F, Individually and as Guardian ad Litem of R.M. Docket: MID-L-2803-22;	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$26,144.13
Wilfredo Robinson vs. Rutgers	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$4,209.52
RUI BARBOSA, Rutgers File #2021-0036	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$56,358.44
Ashley Rivera v. University Hospital, et. al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$8,940.76
Johanna Claros & Delmar Soriano Vasquez vs Dr. Theodore Barrett et al. Docket#ESX-L-00221-2;	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$16,970.10
Saunders - 20220512	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$7,173.16
Sade Reddick vs. Marc P Roberts, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$2,168.95
Kanq Cutes	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$4,114.41
[REDACTED], et al vs. Bellevue Pediatrics, et al. 2016-028z	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$2,849.56
Estate of Ana P. Robles Quinones by Marion Fabal Penzo, the Administrator of the Estate of Ana P. Robles Quinones & Marion Fabal Penzo, individually	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$141.04
Agha v. Robert Wood Johnson University Hospita	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$620.40
Mayo V. Woldiger - File#//U23-0132	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$602.00
Robert Swensen vs. Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$7,355.33
Anthony DeFazio, et al vs. Eduardo Suri, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$3,513.60
Karissa Bernstein vs. Rutgers	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$1,063.52
Robert Swensen vs. Cooper Medical School of Rowan University, MID-L-4924-2;	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$1,071.38
Wahab, Atiya v. State of New Jersey, et al.	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$80.00
Kevin Ball vs. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Docket No.: HUD-L-4880-1;	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$19,321.52
Erin Shelton vs. State of New Jersey - New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1587-1f	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$100.00
Kevin T. Flood v. Public Defender Joseph Krakora, et a	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$340.00
Sandra Surujballi, MSN, RN vs. State of New Jersey, et al	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$2,280.00
Georgina Sirakides v. Vincent Parenti et al and Kenneth Franco v. NJ State Police et e	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$7,146.00
Jake Stouch and Kristine Bodnar v. DCPD, et a	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$680.00
Melissa Doktor vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: UNN-L-3850-20	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$1,335.00
Green, Jennifer v. NJ Department of Health, et al. Docket No. UNN-L-4087-2C	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$11,413.00
Michele Mossay vs. Kean University Docket No.: UNN-L-032-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$26,138.00
Ruth Jessica Rosado vs. State of New Jersey, Department of Labor & Workforce Development	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$3,338.00
Karen DeSoto v. NJCU et al	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$4,987.38
Kenneth Walden vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-1795-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$8,859.00
John Hayes & Jamie Lascik v. State of New Jersey, et al. Dkt. No. MCN-L-3170-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$1,350.00
Wanda Stojanov, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2619-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$4,794.00
Kathleen Wardell vs. Robsert Asaro-Angelo, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2262-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$1,700.00
Lyndsay White v. Superior Court of New Jersey, et al. Docket No. HUD-L-4284-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$12,523.18
Staci Fleischmann v. Division of Pensions and Benefits, et al Court Docket No.: MER-L-846-2	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$10,340.00
Michelle Paul v. State of New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No. MID-L-2367-2;	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$8,299.00
Jermaine Curry vs. New Jersey State Prison, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-159018 (APPEAL)	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$3,620.00
Atiya Wahab v. State of New Jersey, et al. (APPEAL)	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$140.00
Abena Acheampong vs. New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission, et al. Docket No.: MRS-L-1148-2;	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$4,154.00
Representation of the Port Security Unit of New Jersey State Police in Administrative Hearing;	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$13,191.00
[REDACTED]	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$5,816.00
[REDACTED]	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$4,635.00
[REDACTED]	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$2,080.00
Alan B. Dillion v. SONJ et al APPEAL	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$160.00
Frank Hubbard v. Gary Lanigan, et al (APPEAL)	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$1,245.00
Wendis Rodriguez-Quiles vs. Deon McCall, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-6289-2;	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$910.00
Abdul Alim Amin Abdullah vs. SCO Melvin Merriel Docket No.: 3:21-cv-10810-MAS-JBC	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$7,098.00
Jourdain, Marlande vs. Metropolitan Transportation Authority, et al	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$32.00
Alford, Alonzo vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. (NJT)	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$4,894.00
Bell, Jr., Henry vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc		
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency CHOICE# 13-08 Leewood Villages at Rowand Pond - Foreclosure Action against Renaissance Pond 1, LLC	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$525.00
Santana, Gabriel vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$95.00
Carle, Sr., Michael vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$3,264.00
Logan, Meghan vs. New Jersey Transit, et a	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$1,843.00
Caling, Virgilio M. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$5,221.00
Goebler, Riley, et al vs. New Jersey Transit, et a	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$21,107.00
Asiedu, Shelia vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$16.00
Rivera, Jose vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$2,674.01
Scott Lupia vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: USDC Southern District NY 1:21-cv-1107;	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$10,830.85
Deyanire Granados vs. LTI, Inc, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2661-21	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$4,840.00

Donald Crowder, et al. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al. Docket No.: USDC NJ 2:21-cv-2059f	Florio Perrucci Steinhart Cappelli & Tipton	\$2,439.50
Karen Silvey vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Case No.: 2:22-cv-00145-CCC-LDM	Florio Perrucci Steinhart Cappelli & Tipton	\$960.00
Randy Cruz vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-0284-22	Florio Perrucci Steinhart Cappelli & Tipton	\$6,118.16
Thomas Battaglia vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-533-22	Florio Perrucci Steinhart Cappelli & Tipton	\$22,371.52
Natalia Escobar vs. New Jersey Transit Corp, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-862-22	Florio Perrucci Steinhart Cappelli & Tipton	\$1,192.01
Laura Kyle vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-3921-22	Florio Perrucci Steinhart Cappelli & Tipton	\$1,920.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority - Evergreen Outside Course	Fox Rothschild LLP, Philadelphia, PA	\$6,171.60
New Jersey Economic Development Authority SSBICI and BLSF Investments	Fox Rothschild LLP, Philadelphia, PA	\$117,975.01
Chris Neuwirth v. SoNJ et al	Friedman Kaplan Seiler Adelman & Robbins LLP, New York	\$164,815.00
State of New Jersey & NJ Investigators Association, FOP 174 (Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$5,025.00
IAFF, AFLO-CIO Local 198 v. City of Atlantic City, et a	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$29.00
Angelo DeMaio, et al v. Atlantic City, State of NJ, Department of Community Affairs	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$3,277.00
Rowan University and Teamsters Local Union 97 (Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$100.00
Representation of NJ Transit for General Railroad Labor Matters With the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and Trainme	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$10,240.00
PBA State Law Enforcement Unit (SLEU) - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement for 2019-2022	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$56,453.95
SoNJ Department of Labor and Workforce Development and CWA	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$75.00
CWA continuing negotiations regarding COVID 19 related issues	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$125.00
The International Association of Fire Fighters, (IAFF), et al vs. State of New Jersey Division of Local Government Services, et al	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$112.50
Negotiations of Healthcare Retention Letter Reopener Provisions	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$800.00
Rowan University and Teamsters Local Union 97 - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$3,131.99
IFPTE Local 195 and Local No. 32BJ - International Federation of Professional and Technical Engineers/ NJ State Motor Vehicle		
Employee Union, SEIU - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$255.00
NJ Law Enforcement Supervisor Association - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$2,330.00
NJ Superior Officers Law Enforcement Association - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$3,735.00
New Jersey Law Enforcement Commanding Officers Association - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$765.00
PBA State Law Enforcement Unit (SLEU) - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$1,525.00
NJ Transit Rail Ops., Inc. v. Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and Trainmen, et al	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$58,672.15
IBEW Local 30 - Continuing Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$6,892.35
AFSCME Continuing Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$4,329.96
Communications Workers of America - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$4,210.00
Rowan University School of Osteopathic Medicine - American Association of University Professors (AAUP) - Collective Negotiations		
for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$6,293.36
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency with CWA Local 1032 - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$19,902.95
Division of Investment - Blackstone Tactical Opportunities Fund - A (PE) (post-closing	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$412.50
Division of Investment - Wheelock Street Real Estate Fund V, LP (post-closing matters)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$937.50
NJ Transit Corporation; NJ TransitGrid	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$10,640.00
NJ Transit Corporation; Positive Train Contro	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$174,249.50
DOI - Alternative Investment - Stonepeak Infrastructure Fund III, LP - Post-Closing Matters	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$600.00
Division of Investment -Alternative Investment -Aermont Capital Real Estate Fund IV SCS (post-closing matters	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$2,662.50
DOI - Alternate Investments - Asia Alternatives Management, LLC (initial transaction	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$24,632.50
DOI - Alternate Investments - Asia Alternatives Management, LLC (post-closing)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$900.00
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corporation for the Termination of a Rail Equipment Lease with Handelsbanken Finans, Relate		
to certain multi-level rail cars manufactured by Bombardier Transit Corporation	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$165.67
All aspects of the Transit Oriented Development at Metropark Station at Woodbridge, New Jersey	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$52,317.00
Representation of NJ Transit's interest in the Red Bank Station Transit Oriented Development (TOD	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$9,073.50
State Troopers Fraternal Association (STFA) - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$12,820.00
Council of New Jersey State Colleges Locals, AFT, AFL-CIO - Adjunct Unit - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$1,500.00
CIR - The Committee of Interns and Residents Union Contract - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$540.00
Representation of NJ Transit in the Negotiating, Drafting and Finalizing of a Long Term Lease for NJ Transit's New Headquarters at 2		
Gateway Center, Newark, NJ	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$27,777.73
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment GCM Grosvenor RE merging Manager SMA Fund (initial transaction	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$14,609.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Cerberus Institutional Real Estate Partners, VI, L.P. (initial transaction	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$3,815.00
NJPBA - FCC Matters, State Treasurer and the NJPBA	Gray Miller Persh LLC, Washington	\$592.50
██████████, Estate of, et al. v. State of New Jersey, Division of Child Protection & Permanency, et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$6,540.00
Gonzalez, Zenaida, et al. v. State of New Jersey, et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$22,138.65
Torres, Stephanie, Parent & Legal Guardian of ██████████ v. State of New Jersey; Department of Children & Families, et a	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75.00
Yvonne Goode, et al. vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2445-1f	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$3,860.00
██████████, et al. vs. Kean University, et al. Docket No.: UNN-L-605-19	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$60.00
Felix Mickens v. Shanta Ellis, et a	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$7,100.00
Niema Jones v. DCF	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$26,964.00
Juanita Taylor v. DCPP et a	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,064.00
Heather VanKleef vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2466-1f	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$3,680.00

Blueprint Capital Advisors LLC v. State of NJ, Dept of Treasury, DOI	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$129,297.50
William Sullivan v. SoNJ et a	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,180.00
DMAVA - Enforcement Action re NJ Memorial Home Paramus	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$100.00
Investigation by the Commission of Investigation of Veteran's Memorial Home:	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$135.00
Christopher Basista vs. State of New Jersey, et al Docket No.: MRS-L-077-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$45.00
Judy Gibbs vs. South Woods State Prison, et al. Docket No.: CUM-L-280-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$235.00
Alice Hilliard and Ruth Jordan vs. State of New Jersey, Superior Court Mercer Vicinage, Criminal Division Docket No.: 21-11632-ZNC	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$15,380.00
LHG	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$13,676.00
John Doe1 vs. AME Zion Church, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-2842-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$29,493.00
John Doe2 vs. AME Zion Church, et als Court Docket No.: MON-L-2843-2'	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$525.00
A.F. & J.S. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection & Permanency, et als Court Docket No.: OCN-L-1627-2'	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$225.00
John Hayes, et al vs. State of New Jersey, et als (Docket No. MON-L-3170-21)	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,380.00
Donta McMillan vs. Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,675.00
W. M. vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et als Court Docket No.: MER-L-2214-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$9,525.00
S.O. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection & Permanency, et als Court Docket No.: MER-L-2172-2'	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$150.00
R.H. Vs. Boys & Girls Clubs of America, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8048-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$9,232.30
S. S. vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families and Department of Child Protection & Permanency, et als Court Docket No.: MER-L-2200-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$580.00
John Doe3 vs. Division of Youth and Family Services, et al Court Docket No.: BER-L-7738-2'	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$235.00
Savannah-Jane Hof vs Division of Child Protection and Permanency, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-2353-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$100.00
Dennis Talavera, et als. vs. State of New Jersey, et als. Docket No.: ATL-L-3947-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$2,190.00
Wanda Stojanov, et al vs. State of New Jersey, et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$8,672.00
William D. Jones O/B/D T.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Permanency & Protection, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-2497-2'	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$8,220.00
Christopher Kochman vs. State of New Jersey, et als Court Docket No.: MER-L-2227-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$775.00
Michelle Paul vs. New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Court Docket No.: MID-L-2367-2	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$28,269.00
Brian C. Bisciegliia vs. State of New Jersey Court Docket No.: ATL-L-1913-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$5,018.00
Valerie Jean Barbour vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1393-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$880.00
A.H., et al. vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4285-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$5,371.00
Luis Freites vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: CUM-L-579-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$2,880.00
Pamela Neiper Redo, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of Carl Neiper, Sr. v. State of New Jersey, et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,240.00
Carmen Mohamadi vs. Suaray	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$402.00
Tequila Thompson vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-858-18 (APPEAL)	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Lisa Hutchinson vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: CUM-L-186-18 (APPEAL)	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$100.00
Nelson Ribon and Jackson Rivera vs. New Jersey Department of Military and Veterans Affairs, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-19-2'	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$5,680.00
Marcelious Roberts, Individually, and as Administrator Ad Prosequendum of the Estate of Alfred Roberts v. Menlo Park Veterans Memorial Home, et al. Court Docket No.: MID-L-0006348-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$5,180.00
Joseph Youngblood, II vs. Thomas Edison State University, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-158-22'	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$705.00
Melisa Stubblefield and Dorinda K. Sapp-Nall vs. New Jersey Department of Military and Veterans Affairs, et al Docket No.: MER-L-1648-18	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,520.00
Kathia Alvarez vs. New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-1510-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$145.00
Keion Paris vs. City of East Orange, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8824-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$3,100.00
Mahmoud Eldekki vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-5055-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$5,858.00
Teresa Kuntz vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1685-23	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,180.00
Pamela Neiper, et al. vs. The New Jersey Veterans Home at Menlo Park Docket No.: MID-L-6079-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$7,215.00
The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park DOH Survey Results for MPV'	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,465.00
Jake Stouch and Kristine Bodnar v. DCCP et al (APPEAL)	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$3,615.00
Bettie Norris v. SoNJ et al (APPEAL)	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,480.00
Charles Ken Zisa v. John Haviland, et a	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$8,700.00
David Martinez v. PFRS	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$16,496.00
R.S. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MRS-L-413-20	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$4,055.00
T.W. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-2397-2'	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$2,707.35
J.S. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al Docket No.: BUR-L-412-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$13,541.00
T.D. vs. Episcopal Diocese of Newark, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1958-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$1,180.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$420.00
D.R. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al Docket No.: MER-L-1065-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$300.00
A.S. 2 vs. New Jersey Division of Child Permanency & Protection and Permanency, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-2101-2	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$23,889.00
J.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al Docket No.: MER-L-1872-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$1,307.00
	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$10,922.00

Palmer A. Fowler vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2018-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$900.00
Denzel Suitt vs. Volunteers of America, Inc., et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-4050-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$2,960.00
Donta McMillan vs. Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Corrections, et als. Docket No.: 3:18-cv-1337	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$17,452.00
D.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No. MER-L-2272-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$16,860.00
Reine Liquori vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: OCN-L-2676-2	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$17,558.00
D.L. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al Docket No.: MER-L-2134-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$900.00
R.F. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Permanency & Protection and Permanency, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-3387-2	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$3,067.00
John Doe MD vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-7162-2	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$2,420.00
C.F. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al Docket No.: MID-L-6804-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$40.00
T.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al Docket No.: MER-L-2394-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$900.00
[REDACTED]	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$7,537.50
J.B. (2) vs. Andrew Carr, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2507-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$13,432.00
John Doe vs. The County of Atlantic, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-4005-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$8,132.00
Antonio Manata v. Union County Prosecutor's Office, et al. Court Docket No.: 22-200!	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$11,320.00
Anthony T. Fontanez vs. Marcus O. Hicks, Esq., et al Docket No.: 2:20-cv-20286-KSH-CLW	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$4,760.00
Minor, Demetrius V. Dilks, David SGT.	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$3,820.00
K.R. vs State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-536-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$80.00
S.S vs State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-539-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$80.00
S.P. vs State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-540-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$200.00
A.G. vs State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2503-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$200.00
N.T. vs State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-537-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$180.00
Retention for Lyndsay Ruotolo re: Susan J. Gleason v. Office of the Attorney General, et.. Al., UNN-L-3763-2	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$9,980.00
Representation of NJ Transit in all matters regarding Newport Retail Developers v. NJ Transit; Court Docket Nos: ESX-C-000042-23; ESX-L-002076-23; and ESX-DC-004220-23	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$22,125.00
Martin, Akintola Hanif vs. University Hospital Newark, et al	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$1,940.00
Pingatore, John, et al. v. Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, et al	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$60.00
Perkins, Alazaya; A.A.P. of Aiyon Bell, et al vs. Dmali Campbell, MD, et al	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$10,760.00
Pearl, Lorna vs. Rutgers	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$6,470.00
Zarra, Nicholas vs. Kristen Wilkes, M.D., et al.	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$1,285.00
Hudak, Josephine as Substituted Administratrix Ad Prosequendum of the Estate of Peter Dibello vs. University Hospital, et al	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$8,437.70
Varvarezis v. State of New Jersey, et al., 2022-0185	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$205.00
Carmen Mohamadi vs. Suaray	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$480.00
Eliezer Rodriguez vs Sanchez et al - Docket # CAM-L-000484-2:	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$5,755.00
Marvin A. Sewell vs. Victoria Kuhn, Esq., et al	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$2,965.00
Estate of Ana P. Robles Quinones by Marion Fabal Penzo, the Administrator of the Estate of Ana P. Robles Quinones & Marion Fabal Penzo, individually	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$7,314.00
The Matter of Kevin Dodson	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$420.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Wayne Township Police Athletic League, Inc. 2010 Project	HAWKINS DELAFIELD & WOOD LLP, NEW YORK	\$7,000.00
NJT - Hoboken Terminal Redevelopment	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$20,120.00
Easement Acquisition - Mark & Christine Scott - Block 12, Lot 2 - Elsinboro Township	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$520.00
Easement Acquisition - Jennifer & Hugo Vangeen - Block 12, Lot 12 - Elsinboro Township	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$360.00
Easement Acquisition - Larry R. Sr. & Nancy A. Bechtel - Block 19, Lot 4.13 - Elsinboro Township	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$200.00
Representation of NJ Transit in the Acquisition of Property For the Proposed Northern Bus Facility (Ridgefield Park) and Related Legal Services,	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$1,340.00
Representation of NJ Transit in the negotiation, purchase and any legal action regarding the acquisition of property in Clifton for the Northern Maintenance of Way (MOW) facility	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$80.00
Jimenez, Enrique vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,222.66
Powers, Kevin vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$4,208.00
Thomas Dougan vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$10,940.56
Buttigieg, John vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$16,203.26
Carfi, The Estate of Raffaele, et al v. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$432.00
Raghunandan, Omash vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$160.00
Gabriel, Anthony vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$7,703.95
Ronca, William vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations Inc. (NJT)	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$192.00
Alverio, Melanie, et al v. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$21,448.00
Holmes, Sandra vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$12,685.60
Ali, Rahim vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,915.32

Greaves, Kyle vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$5,294.40
Representation of New Jersey Transit - Jodi Asay vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, et al	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$2,726.24
Tramo, Benjamin vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$48.00
Yarus, Barbara vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,379.36
Attara, Medhat vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,744.28
Burkart, John vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$64.00
Maltez, Ingrid vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,877.96
Diaz, Camilo vs. City of Elizabeth, et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$48.00
Raina Pettway vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: 2:22-cv-02027-JMV-JSA	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$2,484.64
Robert Ferrarelli vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: HUD-L-1319-22	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,812.88
Tracy Fried vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-2818-22	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,525.74
Kariese Rivera vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: 2:22-cv-04641	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$5,673.07
Regina V. Leverette vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: 2:22-cv-05842	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$2,880.92
Michael Carpenter vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-5818-22	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$576.08
Moira Rose Cunningham vs New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6491-22	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$2,432.00
Joseph Boyle vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-1408-22	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$3,758.87
Kara Rowells vs. Port Authority of NY & NJ, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3888-23	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$304.32
Edmona Gomes vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: MRS-L-1121-23	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$2,706.24
Special Counsel Designation - State Retirement Plans and State Health Benefit Plan	Ice Miller LLP, Indianapolis	\$14,577.75
NJ Secure Choice Savings Program Board - Special Counsel for Federal Tax Law and Other Applicable Federal Law Compliance	Ice Miller LLP, Indianapolis	\$40,971.29
Rakesh Mangal, M.D. and Jen-Lih Kou, M.D. vs. Ancora Psychiatric Hospital, et al	Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC	\$16,811.36
Tamame Fonville, et al. vs Ancora Psychiatric Hospital, et al. docket No.: MER-L-1842-20	Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC	\$29,254.58
Suzan Nickleson vs. Robert Asaro-Angelo, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-695-21	Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC	\$6,191.08
Shivon Harris vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1884-21	Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC	\$11,197.12
David Bailey vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Department of Labor and Workforce Development, et al. Docket No.: MER L-2602-21		
Anthony Sottiliare vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-605-22	Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC	\$905.00
NJ Transit Corporation - Gateway Program Financing	Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC	\$13,183.68
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corp. Any and all matters related to the acquisition of Washington Secondary Line, including review and drafting of the Purchase and sale agreements and proceedings before the Surface Transportation Board	Kaplan, Kirsch & Rockwell - Denver	\$10,703.00
Review and Negotiation of the Design Phase Agreement for the Sawtooth Bridge with Amtrak, and associated matter	Kaplan, Kirsch & Rockwell - Denver	\$154.00
Application to the FRA for an extension of its approval of shared use and waiver of relief from certain safety regulations that allow for the operation of the River Line	Kaplan, Kirsch & Rockwell - Denver	\$5,351.50
	Kaplan, Kirsch & Rockwell - Denver	\$385.00
Representation of NJT Corp Hudson Bergen Light Rail Legal assistance with the procurement and negotiations of the new Operations and Maintenance Agreement for the HBLR and the NB extension of passenger light rail service to NHC and BC on the HBLR	Kaplan, Kirsch & Rockwell - Washington DC	\$76,861.50
Marianna Tropeano vs. NJ Transit Police Department, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-1734-17	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$4,400.00
Cynthia Huggins vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1317-15	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$11,037.00
Rev. Dr. Angela M. Battle vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: 2:19 -cv-21247	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$2,660.00
Lyla Wilkins vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6759-20	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$3,125.00
Andrea Robertson vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8451-20	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$160.00
Allegations of Discrimination in Employment Practices of NJ State Police	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$6,940.00
Ophelia M. Adderley vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1679-21	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$400.00
Deelip Mhaske v. SoNJ et als	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$14,924.00
Sonya Dix vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-5269-21	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$70.40
Sarah B. Bernal vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$120.00
Nicholas Picnic and Raissa Picnic, his wife vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-3412-2	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$9,277.90
Sakyibera Ekufia vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2996-21	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$2,780.00
Nicole Lamb, et al. vs. New Jersey Transit Coprp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3073-22	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$12,483.00
Johanna Sanchez vs. New Jersey Transit Corp. Docket No.: ESX-L-881-22	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$6,316.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Nazjahna S. Silvera OAL Docket No.: MVH 11048-22	Kenneth Verammen, Esq.- njlaws, Edison	\$795.00
Brian Keith Smith vs. Dept. of Corrections of New Jersey, et al	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$440.00
Elizabeth McNair, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: 2:21-cv-01291-WJM-CLW	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$500.00
Kofi Bayette vs. State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: 1:22-cv-02975-KMW-EAF	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$320.00
Nestor Milanes vs. John Powell, et al. Docket No.: 1:22-cv-02225-KMW-MJS	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$480.00
Luis Ponte vs. New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-986-23	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$3,780.00
State of New Jersey vs. Syed Maudud	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$5,720.00
State of New Jersey vs. Joshua C. Ross Complaint No. PKS 2023 064432	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$1,360.00
Christopher Neuwirth vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1083-20	King & Spalding LLP, Atlanta	\$140.00
In the Matter of the Metropolitan Transportation Authority Central Business District Tolling Program	King & Spalding LLP, Atlanta	\$441,885.00
Kelاهر, Margaret, by her POA, et al. vs. Sadia M. Chaudhary, MD, et al	Kromprier & Tamn, L.L.C., Parsippany	\$1,140.00
Rutkoski, Stephen vs. Nell Maloney Patel, MD, et al	Kromprier & Tamn, L.L.C., Parsippany	\$13,657.74

Leung, Benjamin v. National Pools & Spas: Triac Industries, Inc., et al	Krompiew & Tamn, L.L.C., Parsippany	\$43,646.75
Karen DeSoto v. NJCU et al	Lindabury, McCormick, Estabrook & Cooper, Westfield	\$3,360.00
Darran Crane v. SoNJ et al	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$2,005.00
Christopher Sperry v. Andria Bridges - N3128, et al	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$2,765.00
Brieuna Gibson v. Anthony Valvano et al	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$1,115.00
McNair vs. State of New Jerseys et al.	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$710.00
Raequan Rollins vs. State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-109-21	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$3,345.00
Marie Leger vs. Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospital, et al. Docket No.: MRS-L-1684-21	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$7,891.55
Wanda Stojanov, et al vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2619-21	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$7,210.00
Margarita Gormus, M.D. vs. Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospital, et al. Docket No.: MRS-L-192-22	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$2,745.00
John Williams vs. Kean University, et al. Docket No.: UNN-L-991-22	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$15,140.00
Roberts, Marcelious v. Menlo Park Veterans Memorial, et al. Court Docket No.: MID-L-006348-22	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$12,484.03
Paul Dreher vs. Colonel Patrick Callahan, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-565-22	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$7,425.00
[REDACTED]	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$3,375.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority -Offshore Wind Port Private Partnership Retention for Legal Services for Administrator of the Department of Law & Public Safety Regarding the Edna Mahan Correctional Facility for Women	Love and Long, L.L.P., Newark	\$1,734.00
DOJ Investigation of NJ Veteran's Memorial Home at Menlo Park and Paramus		
Jeffrey Brindle vs. Philip Murphy, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-507-22		
[REDACTED]		
Nathan J. Johnson vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1401-19	Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Roseland	\$228,133.21
George Delgrosso vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MRS-L-1401-19	Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Roseland	\$7,860.50
RITA OGHOGHOME V. SoNJ, ET AL	Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Roseland	\$67,821.50
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corp. Review of NJ Transit Human Resource Policies: Employment Advice	Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Roseland	\$34,696.50
Preparation of Employee Handbook	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$6,180.00
Shelia Young-Golden vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-689-22	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$140.00
[REDACTED]	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$2,390.00
Communication Workers of America, Local 1040 (Collective Negotiations with CWA for a Successor Agreement)	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$1,740.00
NJEDA - State Lease Revenue Bonds (Liberty State Park) Post Issuance Tax Compliance Procedures	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$880.00
NJHMFA - Multi-Family Revenue Bonds - Forward Starting Interest Rate Swaps / Rate Lock Hedging Program	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$1,140.00
Defeasance of State General Obligation Bonds and Various State Appropriation-Backed Bonds 2021 - Group	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$3,520.00
New Jersey Transit - Revolving Credit Facility Grant Application Note, Series 2022	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$275.00
New Jersey Schools Development Authority Review of 2021 Post-Issuance Tax Compliance Survey	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$5,275.00
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Revenue Notes (Bergenvue Apartments) Series 2022-5/ and Series 2022-5B	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$980.00
Defeasance of Various General Obligation Bonds	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$4,230.00
Defeasance of Various NJEDA School Facilities Construction Bonds, 2016 Series AA/	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$10,462.50
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Review Notes (Baldwin Oaks Apartments Project) - Nc	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$10,463.65
Adverse Effect Opinion 2023	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$11,307.50
Newton, Andowah, et al v. Anthony Yang, MD, et al	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$2,092.50
Figueroa, Orlando vs. Antonios Mammis, MD, et al		
Finnerty, Elizabeth P. vs. University Hospital, et al		
Gwinner, Taryn, et al v. Hackensack Meridian Health a/k/a Hackensack University Medical Center, et al		
Saginer, Hailey vs. Rutgers Biomedical and Health Sciences		
Szemple, Craig Francis v. Rutgers University, et al		
Naedele, Cheryl, et al. vs. Mark J. Zucker, MD, et al.		
Sade Reddick v. Marc Roberts, et al		
G.C. an Infant by his parents and natural guardians Kenia Teixeira Decarvalho v. Danielle Gershon, et al		
Pasaniello, Bonnie J. vs. Saum A. Rahimi, M.D., et al		
Cagno, Aurelio vs. Keisha Scott		
Kumassah, Benedicta, et al vs. RWJ Barnabas Healthcare System, et al.		
McNair, Elizabeth, et al v. State of New Jersey, Department of Corrections, et al		
Aretz, Dale vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al.		
DiLoreto v UCHC U21-00		
Craig Francis Szemple vs. Gary Lanigan, et als		
Anthony Andrew Jackson v. Barrington Lynch, MD, Alejandrina Sumicad, APN; Rutgers DONALD TYSON, Rutgers File#2020-0323		
Carmen Mohamadi vs. Suaray		
[REDACTED] v. Schepel, 2021-0370, MID-L-6386-22		
RUI BARBOSA, Rutgers File #2021-0036		
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$3,127.50
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$258.00
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$180.00
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$7,739.00
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$37,620.00
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$9,799.20
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$15.00
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$11,434.74
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$4,673.25
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$16,855.75
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$6,426.15
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$6,713.00
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$10,968.60
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$7,369.00
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$2,023.10
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$1,892.00
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$408.00
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$8,693.00
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$1,599.75
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$390.00
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$6,693.50
	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$3,201.00

JAMAR MAYERS, Rutgers File #2022-0095	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$4,351.00
Malikah Marrow vs. Mark S. Granick, M.D., et al. Rutgers File#U22-012C	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$3,051.00
RO-2021-033 National Association of Transportation Supervisors Local 354 (BM) - Petition of card check certification	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$400.00
Representation of Mr. Anthony Valvano	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$1,920.00
State of NJ Public Employee Relations Commission brought by ATU Division 880 - unfair practice charge	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$7,800.00
Representation of NJ Transit at PERC Unfair Practice Charge - Lee Willie Ingram ATU Local 540 Docket No. CI-2-2022-002	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$2,575.00
Anthony Palazzo vs. Montclair State University, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-2621-2'	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$8,950.00
Anthony T. Fontanez vs. Marcus O. Hicks, Esq., et al. Docket No.: 2:20-cv-20286-KSH-CLW	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$3,620.00
Jennifer Pitre vs. State of New Jersey C. P. No.: 2022-11658	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$100.00
In re Celsius Network, LLC, et al. Case No. 22-10964-MG United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of New York	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$17,180.00
In re Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc. et al United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of New York Case No. 22-10943-MEW	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$2,155.00
In re FTX Trading, Ltd., et al. Case No. 22-11068 (JTD) United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Delaware	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$8,885.60
In re BlockFi, Inc. United States Bankruptcy Court, District of New Jersey Case No. 22-19631-MBF	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$18,620.00
Representation of NJ Transit in the Bus Arbitration of William F. Wheeler (ATU Local 824) regarding pay discrepancy (contract interpretation)	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$9,737.50
Representation of NJ Transit in the Unfair Practice Charge of Henderson Fleming (PERC CI-2023-009	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$200.00
In re Genesis Global Holdco, LLC., et al.	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$6,150.00
Richard Arjun Kaul, MD vs. Federation State Medical Boards - Florida Board of Medicine Docket No.: 1-23-cv-22325-Bf	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$1,392.00
Courboin v. Passaic County, et al. Docket No.: 23-1606	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$520.00
Lauren Mitchell vs. Victoria Kuhn, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-396-22	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$580.00
Clarence McKenzie (ATU 822) and NJ Transit Bus Operations - Electronic Device (DriveCam	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$7,250.00
Representation of NJ Transit in the Unfair Practice Charge of NJ Transit PBA Local 304 Regarding Utilization of K-9 Vehicles for training or overtime on regular days off/rest days. PERC #: AR 2023-355	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$775.00
IMO P.O. Stephen McGee - On Appeal from Final Agency Determination	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$0.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Labor and Employment Counselin	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$6,475.00
PERC No. RO-2023-041 NJ Transit and Teamsters Local 701 (BM) First case Letter - Petition for certification	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$6,000.00
Belfand, Seman vs. Raymond Petosa and New Jersey Transit Corporation, C-83585-44:	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$7,308.00
Fetahu, Valdona v. New Jersey Transit Corp	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$7,425.00
Elizabeth Shulterbrandt vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1106-2:	McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., Florham City	\$1,336.00
Jamal Alleyne vs. Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: 154383/202:	McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., Florham City	\$12,782.35
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., Florham City	\$287.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., Florham City	\$926.00
Hart, Dylan, et al. vs. RWJ University Children's Hospital, et al	McManimon, Scotland & Baumann, L.L.C., Roseland	\$4,760.00
Yusef Shabazz v. Aziz Merchant, MD, et al, ESX-L-234-2:	Methfessel & Werbel, Edison	\$3,214.00
Grace Zhu v. Aliza L. Leiser	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$1,380.00
The matter of Freddie Richardson, 20220479	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$5,780.00
The Matter of Edna Smith - 20230006	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$1,260.00
Kimberly Marcec vs. Virtua Memorial Hospital d/b/a Viirtua Mt. Holly Hospital, et al. 2023-001!	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$320.00
NINA ADEBAJO. RUTGERS 32023-0055	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$760.00
The Matter of Gjini Rutgers #2023-0086	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$1,400.00
John V. Calvanico vs. Trinitas Regional Medical Center, et al	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$2,700.00
Angela & Edward Abraham - Docket#ESX-L-006932-23	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$1,080.00
Independent Review of the State's response to the COVID-19 Pandemic	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$880.00
Semmon, Ebony; A.A.P., et al vs. University Correctional Health Care, et al	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$620.00
Dianna Banks v. State of New Jersey, Court Docket No.: GLO-L-000682-15	Montgomery, McCracken, Walker and Rhoads, LLP, Philadelphia	\$1,288,020.00
[REDACTED], an infant by his Parents and NaturalGuardians, Nina Swain and Derrick Sansbury vs. Anthony P. Salerno, MD, et al	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$2,950.00
Ferguson, Rashaun vs. Peter Nogan, et a	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$30,500.50
Melvin Stevens vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2170-2C	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$200.00
Suze DiPietro vs. Stockton University, et als. Docket No.: ATL-L-2063-21	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$440.00
Rake, Christine vs. Robert C. Perez, et al	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$6,150.75
Douglas Miller vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2363-21	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$68,657.50
Kayla Gamar Young vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2364-21	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$359.00
Tauriello, Philip vs. Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, et a	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$300.00
RUI BARBOSA, Rutgers File #2021-0036	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$6,276.00
Yolanda Huntley v. NJ Transit, et al Court Docket No.: HUD-L-2881-22	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$44,586.75
Staci Fleischmann vs. New Jersey Department of the Treasury, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-846-21	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$1,340.00
Lisa Hutchinson v. State of New Jersey, et al. Court Docket No.: A-002883-21	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$13,325.00
Aaron Stoler v. Stockton University and John Does 1-5 Court Docket No.: ATL-L-906-2:	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$6,588.50
	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$1,580.00
	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$9,460.00

Division of Investment - Siguler Guff/NJ Developing Markets LP	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$190.00
Special Counsel Designation - State of New Jersey, Department of Treasury, Appointment as Disclosure Counsel	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$535.00
Division of Investment - Environmental, Social and Governance Matters - Shareholder Proposal	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$5,510.00
DOI - Strategic Value Special Situations Fund V, LP (post-closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$475.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment TPG Rise Climate, L.P. (post-closing matter)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$237.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Sixth Street Growth Partners II (post-closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$202.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Eagle Point Defensive Income Fund NJ LP - Capital Vehicle/Revenue Share Investment (post closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$8,977.50
Welch, Kathleen, et al v. Kennedy University Hospital, Inc., et al	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$758.00
Sinnes, Keri, et al vs. Kennedy Health System, et al	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$9,136.51
Nock, Tyrone; Administrator of the Estate of Adrienne Nock; Dec'd vs. Kennedy Memorial Hospital-Washington Twp., et al	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$35,293.67
Ertz, Daniel and Ertz, Sharon Vogel H/W	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$7,705.25
██████████ a minor by and through her mother and natural guardian Benjami Miesha vs. Virtua Our Lady of Lourdes Hospital, Inc d/b/a Virtua Our Lady of Lourdes Hospital et al,	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$43,030.51
Monk, Shenise, et al vs Kennedy University Hospital, Inc., et al	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$400.00
Estate of Anthony Mascioli v. Hope Skibicki, D.O., Rowan University School of Osteopathic Medicine, et al	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$6,665.43
J.S., et al vs. Kennedy University Hospital D/B/A Jefferson Health, et al	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$21,605.24
Griffith v. Bariana	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$1,060.00
Doyle v. Condren	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$729.00
Chico v. Cooper University Hospital	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$1,053.00
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Bond Program Hamilton Square Project	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$53,780.97
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency The Plaza at Springfield Village Project Cell Tower Lease Advice 202	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$720.00
Saginaro, Hailey vs. Rutgers Biomedical and Health Sciences	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$4,910.96
Catalfamo, Darlent T, Estate of (Jean Moran)	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$4,120.00
Andre Acob vs. Uchechi J. Azubuine, MD, et al	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$12,522.78
Shane, Judith Harr, et al v. Steven Meshkov, MD, et al	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$2,270.00
Marques, Maria, et al vs. Martha Ksepka, MD, et al.	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$109.00
Cook, Michael vs. Robert Wood Johnson Hospital, et al	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$1,797.76
██████████ by its Administrator Lauro Morales Gonzalez vs. Arunachaiam Thenappan, MD, et al	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$12,822.05
Rivera v. Harasta, RU #2022-0108	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$2,585.00
Sheryl A. Nalder vs. Rahul Vemula, MD, et al	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$2,056.36
Bartel, Lauren Roxaya vs. Carlos F. Caballero and NJ Transit Corp	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$2,848.00
Burlingame, Robert P. vs. Yoely Santos, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$2,878.35
Kim, Hyunsook, et al v. NJ Transit Corp., et al	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$160.00
John Doe vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-915-16	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,640.00
Londono, Zenaida vs. NJ Transit Corp a/k/a NJ Transit, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,664.00
Bryant, Terry vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$896.00
Sandu, Marinela vs. NJ Transit Corporation, et al	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$4,968.00
Vasquez, Santos, et al. vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,088.00
Bland, Lillian vs. Denisse Sanchez, et al	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$4,048.00
Briauna Gibson v. SoNJ et al	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$638.00
Lisbon, David vs. Hassann L. Williams, et al	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,620.00
Petersen, Edward, et al vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$384.00
Elizabeth McNair v. State of New Jersey, et al .	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$3,874.00
Chung, Jaeeun vs. NJ Transit, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$480.00
Son, Jungsam vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$8,966.00
Tolles, William vs Yannira Sanchez, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,104.00
Schirripa, Jr., Estate of Robert, et al vs. NJ Transit, et al	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$19,622.00
Lilia Bratslavsky vs. Hassann L. Williams, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8095-21	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$619.00
Gabriele Dipierno and Sheila Dipierno vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation Docket No.: SCNY 160391/202	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$4,414.00
Maryana Kozlova vs. NJ Transit, Corp., et al. Docket No.: BER-L-5176-21	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$320.00
In the Matter of Brian Ambrose (Docket # 2021-1014)	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$8,177.18
Boguslaw Plonski, et al vs. Allan J. Amador-Hodgson, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-5212-31	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$10,202.00
Carla Vaxter vs. John Doe, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-978-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$2,330.00
Kareem Harris vs. William a. Davis, Jr., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-992-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$6,790.00
David Ortmann vs. Chanelle Gordon, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2541-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$4,426.00
Patrick R. Whitley vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: 3:21-cv-20243-FLW-TJB	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$460.00
Kenan Kanik vs. Hassann L. Williams, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3896-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$272.00
Mona Wilson vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-7051-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$240.00
Representation of NJ Transit in the matter of 4 East Bidwell Avenue, Jersey City, NJ - Hudson Bergen Light Rail land fill encroachment	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$220.00
Darrin Darby vs. The City of New York, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$304.00

Shanielle McIntosh vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: BER-L-73-23	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$353.00
Latsen Barnett, et al. vs. Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, et al. Index # 152816/202:	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,200.00
Jihyun Kim vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-2050-23	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$498.00
Michael Armstrong vs. Brandon K. Barnes, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3980-23	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$265.00
Yahaira Burgos vs. Charles E. DeLoach, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-3713-23	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$911.00
A.H., et al vs. State of New Jersey Department of Human Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-924-23	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$8,884.00
Stockton University v. Civil Service Commission (David Pederson) Appeal, Docket No. A-1487-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$2,460.00
Georgina Sirakides v. Vincent Parenti, et a	Post, Polak, Goodsell & Strauchler, PA, Roseland	\$6,646.96
Brieauna Gibson vs. Anthony Valvano, et al. Case No.: 3:21-cv-03150-MAS-TJE	Post, Polak, Goodsell & Strauchler, PA, Roseland	\$741.00
Donta McMillan v. NJ Department of Corrections Case No.: 18-13375	Post, Polak, Goodsell & Strauchler, PA, Roseland	\$3,587.00
NJ TRANSIT Corporation - Property Acquisition Counsel Hudson Tunnel Projec	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$128,947.75
NJ Transit Corporation; Property Acquisitions Counsel - County Yar	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$247.50
Robert Sorrell v. NJ DOC, et al	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$240.00
Sherman Abrams vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-8328-18	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$6,180.00
Nicole T. Cruz vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-356-20	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$3,340.00
Ernest Perez v. August J. Licameli, et al	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$607.25
Robert Melendez v. SoNJ, DOC et als	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$160.00
E.G. vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8886-20	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$2,170.00
Jeanne Lazier vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, e	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$2,005.00
al. Docket No.: MER-L-1486-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$5,615.00
A.W. vs. Archdiocese of Newark, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6186-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$3,370.00
Steven Franz vs. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Newark, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6564-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$472.50
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corporation Review, Negotiation and Possible Litigation of NJ Transit's Lease and/or purchase	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$4,449.36
of property known as 302 Commerce Square Boulevard in the City of Burlington, N.J.	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	
E.H. vs. New Jersey Department of Children & Families, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2935-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$3,275.00
G.B. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$1,145.00
Docket No.: ESX-L-8084-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$3,400.00
J.G. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$1,785.00
Docket No.: ESX-L-2255-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$2,985.00
J.J. vs. The State of New Jersey Department of Children and Families and Darrell Banks Docket No.: CUM-L-0059-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$1,595.00
K.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$4,136.00
Docket No.: MRS-L-2115-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$1,440.00
L.S. vs. New Jersey Department of Children & Families, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-4762-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$5,145.00
M.P. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$2,835.00
Docket No.: MER-L-2133-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$1,375.00
M.S. vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: UNN-L-3568-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$1,280.00
S.H. vs. New Jersey Department of Children & Families, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2559-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$2,355.00
S.T. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$2,935.00
Docket No.: SOM-L-1440-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$6,375.00
A.J. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$6,495.00
Docket No.: MER-L-2108-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$12,864.00
C.M. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$1,025.00
Docket No.: MER-L-2198-21	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$12,800.00
D.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$6,020.00
Docket No.: MER-L-2182-21	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$7,550.00
D.S. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$6,520.00
Docket No.: MER-L-2174-21	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$4,185.00
E.B. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency a/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$210.00
Docket No.: ESX-L-8027-21	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$27,210.00
Marcelino Arce vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-3460-22	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$1,242.50
Jane Doe, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2009-22	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$2,810.00
Fort Monmouth Economic Revitalization Authority Mega Parce		
Lee E. Castro, et al. vs. Montclair State University, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1118-23		
Debra Runowicz vs. NJ Division of State Police, et als. Docket No.: MER-L-2509-17		
Harold Damas v. SONJ, et al		
Anthony Biasi v. NJDOT		
Felix Mickens vs. Shanta Ellis, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1533-15		
Elis Consuelo Sosa vs. Kean University Docket No.: UNN-L-3823-19 File No.: 42125.0013		
William Sullivan v. SoNJ et als Docket No.: MER-L-0901-20		
Jonathan Craig v. SoNJ et al		
Tequila Thompson v. DOC et al Docket No.: BUR-L-858-18.		
Danyel R. Barnes v. SoNJ, et al		

Heather Timmons v. SoNJ et als	
Tiffany DeLeon, et al vs. New Jersey Transit Corp, et al	
Karim Saweris vs. State of New Jersey, et al. MER-L-2546-18	
Elijah Deguzman vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No. : CAM-L-2362-21	
Justin Loboda vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2366-21	
Ryan Holmes vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2365-21	
Karen Desoto vs. New Jersey City University, et als. Docket No.: ESX-L-4839-19	
Steven Goldberg vs. New Jersey City University, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-3181-21	
Joseph Davenport vs Rutgers	
Tammy LoBiondo vs. New Jersey Department of Transportation, et al. Court Docket No.: WRN-L-454-21	
Loreen & Douglas Reid-Our File#U22-0027 - ESX-L-007662-2	
Hayes v. Rowan School of Osteopathic Medicine, BUR-L-584-2	
Joseph Nitti v. Fritz Frage Docket No. MER-L-93-23	
SADE REDDICK, RUTGERS FILE #2018-0295	
Estate of Vito W. Galante, et al vs. New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home - Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-5649-2	
Dotto v. Kennedy University Hospital, Rowan SOM # 2020-001	
Christopher M. Blank, Estate of Kristine D. Henle, Deceased vs. Lawrence E. Greenawald, MD, et al. CAM-L-1523-2	
[REDACTED]	
Devon Marshall vs. Glass - Docket #ESX-L-00139	
Hahn, Philip v. UMDNJ et al	
Cabaj, Maria, et al v. New Jersey Transit, et al	
Moncrease, Zenola vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operaitons, Inc	
Maison, Anasia vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al	
Tramontano, Danielle, et al v. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	
Deshong, Shina vs. New Jersey Transit	
Rosa, Junior v. Jenny Villamarin, et al	
Gormley, Keri H. vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	
Wong-Stewart, Bridgette vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et a	
Turner, Ruth vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et a	
Alto, Michelle and Carlos Pagan vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	
Campbell, Demari vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	
Raybon, Khalilah, et al v. NJ Transit Corp., et a	
Daniels-Peters, Robin vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Corporation, Inc	
Stanton, Daniel vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	
Bessim, Metin, et al. vs. NJ Transit Corp. D/B/A NJ Transit	
Cordero, Carmen vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	
Bowes, Felicia and Shomari Griffiths vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et a	
Parham, Denise and Kevin Parham vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et a	
Soto, Antonio vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	
Bonzeca, Josphe vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	
Malchow, Fredrick vs. Central Railroad of New Jersey, et al	
Domenico Magliano vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	
Horace, John vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et a	
Kazanchy, Jr., Thomas vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al	
Hertel, Donald C. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et a	
Nizomov, Vakhobjon, et al v. Michael D. Jones, et a	
Sequeria, Marbelly vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	
Smith, Karen vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	
Beach, Wayne vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	
Rawlins-Williams, Sonia vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	
Kimberly Castro vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2924-19	
Ingrid Nieves vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al Docket No.: UNN-L-1915-19	
Wogisch, Gary T., et al vs. Manes P. Saint Georges, et al.	
Cook, Deborah M. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	
John Doe v. SoNJ et al	
Reeder, Keith L. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al	
Bustamonte, Rasheedah vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	
Pastore, Douglas vs. New Jersey Transit	
Bilenker, Arthur, et al v. Kessler Institute for Rehabilitaion, et al	
John DesLauriers, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-158-2C	
Coleman, Denise vs. City of Newark, et al.	
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$4,535.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$36,893.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$5,575.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$580.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$300.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$300.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$10,315.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$225.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$630.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$7,045.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$140.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$6,462.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$3,776.95
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$1,024.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$8,245.96
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$32,883.35
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$4,189.88
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$1,950.38
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$3,760.00
Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$22,900.38
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,120.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$720.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$160.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,656.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,837.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$752.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,464.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,160.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,104.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$192.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,008.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,752.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,168.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$208.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,888.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,927.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,309.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,136.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,832.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,135.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$992.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$20,825.80
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$9,248.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,536.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$9,328.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,744.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,095.85
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$12,612.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,429.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,576.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,309.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,956.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,845.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$128.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,985.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,492.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,072.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$64.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,040.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$360.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$21,340.00
Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,449.00

Sanchez, Ramona, et al. v. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$10,949.00
Sonnenberg, Thomas vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,242.00
Donald E. Turner, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-1360-20	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$19,769.00
Hester, Valerie vs. Vladisla Bargan, MD, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,655.00
Ruck, Ida vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$320.00
Zhoong, Xuefang et al. vs New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,200.00
Pastor, Renato vs. Erjon Rrosha, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,064.00
James Zimenoff, Merouane Azzane, et al v. NJ Transit Rai	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,936.00
Wills, Selena, et al v New Jersey Transit Corp., et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,520.00
Marshall, Lee & Pamela Fuller vs. Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$16.00
Coughlan, Michael vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,787.24
Togno, James vs. Consolidated Rail Corporations, et a	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,564.00
Torres, Sandra I., vs. Douglas Flannery, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,943.00
Kelly, George John, et al v. Allen & Company, LLC	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$29,135.00
Martinez, Servia, et al v New Jersey Transit Corporator	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,173.00
Juan Carlos Pena vs. New Jersey Transit Corporator	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,261.00
Milan, Manuel G. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,804.16
Boyer, Eddie, et al. vs. Esther Kuffour, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,080.00
Cathy Jones vs. Patrice C. Phillip-O'Neal, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-512-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,112.00
Apadula, Vincent X. vs. New Jersey Transit Operations, Inc	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,822.28
Yarborough, Pamela as the Executrix of the Estate of Glass, Alicia Marie vs. University Hospital, et als	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$9,483.75
Harper, Judy vs. Mohamed Alzaher, et a	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,760.00
T. B. v. N.J. Department of Human Services. Court Docket No.: MON-L-3921-20	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$19,749.00
Obado, Dec'd, IMO Florence vs. Susan Mazurek Dec'c	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,240.00
Thomas, IMO the Estate of Kevin Thomas vs. New Jersey Transit	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,749.00
Request for Pre-Litigation re: COVID-19 related matters.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$64.00
Devon Collins v. NJ Transit et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,928.00
Kristy Kasica et al v. Alvarez-Downing, et a	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$16,037.50
Coleman, Shonda, et al vs. City of Newark, et a	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$112.00
Vicari, Joseph D., Jr. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,905.40
Fraser, Ann vs Automated Elevator Systems LLC, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$0.00
Sonnenberg, Thomas vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,088.00
Christopher Sperry v. Andria Bridges - N3128, et a	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,393.00
Advanis, Deepali vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation a/k/a NJ Transil	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,005.00
Mazurek, et al., Michael J. vs. Florence Obado, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,296.00
C. B. v. State of New Jersey, et al. (Docket No.: MER-L-759-21)	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,535.55
Brickel, Kim vs. New Jersey Transit Rail	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,041.00
Buchannon, Fabian vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,109.48
Maresca, Nicole, et al vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,658.00
Peterman, Kimberly Marie vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,049.52
Grabowski, Richard vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,527.00
Charles Carroll v. NJ Division of Child Protection & Permanency Court Docket No.: MER-L-0692-2'	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,958.00
Mitchell, Terrance vs. Abu Ashan, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$15.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,015.00
Rivera, Cecilio vs. American Premier Underwriters, Inc., et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,088.00
Burke, Aviril vs. National Railroad Passenger Corporation, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$656.00
Koublanou, Foly vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,454.00
Singh, Justin vs. Otis Elevator Company, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,952.00
Bennett-Laboo, Tawana, et al. vs. Ravi Chokshi, M.D., et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$16,995.00
Obado, Fred, et al v. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et a	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,328.00
Pena, Jaime A. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,075.00
White, Dawud vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,560.00
Barrera, Richard vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,247.00
Moncrease, Zenola vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$940.00
pappagallo, Antonella, et al vs. New Jersey Transit, et a	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,371.00
K.R. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. (Docket No.: MER-L-2076-21)	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$230.00
Ritchey, Douglas vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,337.55
Reichman, Simon vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,916.28
Brito, Yoelis vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,684.00
Valerio, Tomas vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,365.00
T.D. v. Division of Child Protection & Permanency, et al Court Docket No.: MER-L-2223-2'	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$645.00
John Doe, a pseudonym vs. Larrick McElroy, eL als. Docket No. : 2:21-cv-1795f	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$465.00

Nancy Dondero vs. City of Paterson, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-3590-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,366.00
Justin Hughes vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations ("NJTRO") Docket No.: USDC NJ 2:21-cv-19354	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,952.00
D.T. vs. New Jersey Department of Human Services, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-3816-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$10,237.00
Lillian Orellana vs. City of Hoboken, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-4703-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,800.00
Adissa Idohou vs. Ajah Shelly, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-7031-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$266.00
Edward A. Grundmann vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-9390-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,934.00
Mhaske Deelip (Linda Allison - Daughter) vs. Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey; Bae, An Na Estate of; IMO	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,175.27
Angel Arroyo, et al vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: 2:22-cv-04147-CCC-JSA	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,947.45
Dorinda Bynum vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-3538-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,424.00
Rollin Smith vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: USDC NJ 2:21-cv-2074z	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,313.90
Thomas M. LaLumia, et al. vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-366-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,138.00
Elbin A. Robles vs. Frank A. Davidson, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-299-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,629.00
Andre Lozier, et al vs. New Jersey Department of Transportation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-4059-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,328.00
Vincent Ciccio vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-334-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$336.00
Cathy Jones vs. Latisha S. Smith Crawfords, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-795-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,112.00
Mary Katherine Schimpf vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: USDC NJ 2:22-cv-0057z	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,296.00
David Rehbein vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: HUD-L-585-2z	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,051.90
Sylvia Widener vs. James O. Dexroy, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1430-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,640.00
Gene Russo vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: USDC NY 1:22-cv-01751	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,120.00
Jane Doe 3 (L.R.) vs. Mercer House, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2445-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,068.00
Marina Gelfand vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-1232-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,083.00
Jessica Brown, et al. vs. Cricket Associates Limited Liability Company, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-766-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,675.00
Rocio Restrepo, et al vs. City of Newark, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1853-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,160.00
K.R., an infant, et al vs. State of New Jersey Department of Human Services, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-1435-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,934.00
Anthony Poradosky vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-1437-2z	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,491.00
Estate of Thalisson Pacheco Carvalho - Docket # ESX-L-006332-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$976.00
Stanley Sellers vs. NJ Transit Bus Operations, Inc. Docket No.: PCCP-00249C	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,802.00
Frederick D. Micili, Administrator and Administrator Ad Prosequendum of the Estate of Anthony Exum vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6302-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$480.00
Elizabeth Burns vs. Bristol Associates, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-6187-222	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,024.00
Maria Burkett, et al. vs. Kenneth Austin, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-3021-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,488.00
Victor Perez vs. Jean M Gravid, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-3254-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,312.00
Karissa Bernstein	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$820.00
RUI BARBOSA. Rutgers File #2021-0036	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,439.00
Stanley Sellers vs. Tony C. Lewis, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-866-2z	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$14,700.00
Joseph A. Weaver vs. Jake Mandler, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-3294-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,008.00
Brian K. Dean vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-843-23	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$85.00
Joseph Nitti v. Fritz Frage Docket No. MER-L-93-23	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$576.00
William Scrowcroft vs. George S. Hall, Inc., et al. Docket No.: SCNY 155733/2023	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,970.00
K.R. vs. State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-536-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$840.00
Jose Nunez, et al vs. The Raritan Bay Medical Center, et al. File# // U23-014z	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$544.00
June-Lori Mears and Michael Mears vs. Elizabeth Connolly, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-386-11	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,280.00
Easement Acquisition - Bathgate, Lawrence - Block 79; Lots 4, 4.01, 5, 5.01 - Bay Heac	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,797.00
Easement Acquisition - Ronan, Frank - Block 40; Lot 2 - Bay Heac	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$47,997.72
Easement Acquisition - Sadrian, Justin - Block 65; Lot 3 - Bay Heac	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$20.00
Easement Acquisition - 1 Howe Street Bay Head LLC - Block 65; Lots 5, 5.01 - Bay Heac	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$4,840.00
Easement Acquisition - Wesson, Bruce - Block 66; Lots 3, 3.01 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$20.00
Easement Acquisition - Cortese, Michael - Block 66; Lots 4, 4.01 - Bay Heac	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$100.00
Easement Acquisition - Laughing Mermaid Production LLC - Block 66; Lots 5,5.01 - Bay Heac	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$20.00
Easement Acquisition - 627 East Avenue Bay Head, NJ, LLC - Block 66; Lots 11, 11.01	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$20.00
Easement Acquisition - Gael & Duke Havernickel Trustees - Block 66; Lot 19 - Bay Heac	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$20.00
Easement Acquisition - Belair, Scott - Block 79; Lots 3, 3.01 - Bay Heac	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$20.00
Easement Acquisition - Fedorick, Mark & Holly - Block 81.00; Lot 9 - Bay Heac	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$60.00
Easement Acquisition - Hein Group LLC - Block 37; Lot 6 - Bay Heac	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$20.00
Easement Acquisition - Burke, Robert F., Jr - Block 39; Lot 6 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$20.00
Cynthia Huggins v. NJ Transit Corp, et al	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$20.00
Jonathan Craig v. SONJ, et al	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$7,045.00
Danyel R. Barnes v. SoNJ, et al	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$555.00
Ruth Jessica Rosado vs. State of New Jersey, Department of Labor & Workforce Development	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$1,700.00
	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$14,940.00

Hafeezah Fitts vs. State of New Jersey, et al Docket No.: MER-L-0157-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$67,261.65
Karim Saweris vs. State of New Jersey- Department of Community Affairs Docket No. : MER-L-2546-18	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$13,023.00
Sarah B. Bernal vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No. : ESX-L-006178-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$295.00
Shivon Harris vs. The New Jersey Department of Labor and Workforce Development, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1884-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$8,955.00
Nicholas Picnic and Raissa Picnic, his wife vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-3412-2	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$8,180.00
Sonya Dix vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-5269-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$3,690.00
Lyndsay White vs. Superior Court of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-4284-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$18,152.00
David Bailey vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2602-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$10,040.00
Holly Gonzales vs. New Jersey Department of Health, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-5995-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$771.00
Brian Polite, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-588-23	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$6,750.00
Kathia Alvarez vs. New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-1510-22	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$10,963.00
Dominick Vozzo, et al. vs. Adult Diagnostic & Treatment Center (ADTC), et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4845-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$1,945.00
Evans, Lee vs. Newark City, et al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$680.00
Newton, Andowah, et al v. Anthony Yang, MD, et al	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$320.00
[REDACTED], an infant, by his guardian ad litem Rachel Prehn and Michael Borga, individually and as his parentws vs. Santiago		
Caasi, M.D., et al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$1,260.00
Martin, Akintola Hanif vs. University Hospital Newark, et al	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$160.00
Lloyd-Jones, Tomas vs. Latimore-Collier, MD, et al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$1,365.00
HOWARD DIXON, e/o	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$4,641.60
Daniela Morales-Jimenez, et al. v. Cristina Miceli, DO, et. al	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$620.00
Hailey Saginor vs Rutgers	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$8,635.00
Ken McKoy, Rutgers File#2019-0552	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$12,420.00
The Matter of Misael Cordero	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$5,050.00
Lovejoy v. Nesbitt	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$1,315.00
Soto Terrero v. Gafer-Ahmed, et als. (PAS-L-000783-23)	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$4,333.00
Lusvi Maldonado - Rutgers File: U22-0244	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$1,770.64
Estate of Frank Lagano v. State of New Jersey (APPEAL)	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$1,320.00
Shelley Pritchett v. SoNJ et al (APPEAL)	Sills Cummis & Gross P.C., Newark	\$26,635.00
C.C., a minor, by his mother and guardian ad lite Jasmine Z. Chiodo, et al vs. Deborah A. White, D.O., et al	Stahl & DeLaurentis, P.C., Runnemede	\$6,533.30
Estate of Charles Garris vs. Justin LaFace, DO et al	Stahl & DeLaurentis, P.C., Runnemede	\$1,468.30
Konefsky v. Lions Gate, Rowan 2022-0099, CAM-L-1766-21	Stahl & DeLaurentis, P.C., Runnemede	\$195.00
Kristine Johnson vs. Cooper University Hospital, et al	Stahl & DeLaurentis, P.C., Runnemede	\$2,125.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Rhasheena S. Richardson OAL Docket No.: MVH 01830-2023 1	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$105.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Stephen A. Zadroga OAL Docket No.: MVH 01945-21	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$165.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Francisco Garcia OAL Docket No.: MVH 04199-2023	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$285.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Paul Frischer OAL Docket No.: MVH 04626-2023	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$15.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. June Reiner OAL Docket No.: MVH 04632-21	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$150.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Rafael Ramos OAL Docket No.: MVH 04629-21	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$510.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Wayne A. Robertson Docket No.: MVH 06305-2023 1	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$300.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Thomas H. Lopatin OAL Docket No.: MVH 06340-2023 1	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,035.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Ryan W. Aspinall OAL Docket No.: MVH 07438-2023 1	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$570.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Ostilia Taylor OAL Docket No.: MVH 06861-2023 1	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$60.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Patricia T. Parsons-Jones OAL Docket No.: MVH 7866-21	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$810.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Linda A. Simmins OAL Docket No.: MVH 7865-21	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$75.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Marquise D. Thomas OAL Docket No.: MVH 9041-21	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$240.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Brandon Loyle OAL Docket No.: MVH 9122-2023 1	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$75.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Tresa A. Duda OAL Docket No.: MVH 10588-2023 1	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$120.00
Galette, Cedric vs. State of New Jersey, et al	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$9,383.00
Shannon, Lanna vs. New Jersey Transi	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$176.00
Lynette Johnson vs. Adalbert Fabian AKA Albert Fabian, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-3139-21	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$3,027.91
Representation of NJ Transit to provide legal advice on all Intellectual Property Matter:	Volpe and Koenig, PC - Philadelphia	\$8,475.00
Representation of P.L. 2017, c. 324, Concerning the Dissolution of the Waterfront Commission of New York Harbo	Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP, New Brunswick	\$6,956.00
TOTAL		\$8,903,173.44

Outside Counsel Spend in 2023

Matter Title	Associated Law Firm Office	Invoice Approved Amount
State of New Jersey v. Anika A. Burrowes	ALOIA LAW FIRM, Bloomfield	\$210.00
State v. Marilyn C. Clark	ALOIA LAW FIRM, Bloomfield	\$2,160.00
State v. Newton Case No. 1-2022-047384	ALOIA LAW FIRM, Bloomfield	\$360.00
State v. Yablonsky	ALOIA LAW FIRM, Bloomfield	\$705.00
State of New Jersey v. Gonzalez Complaint No. 1436-SC-010448	ALOIA LAW FIRM, Bloomfield	\$2,310.00
State of New Jersey v. Sohail Mohammed	ALOIA LAW FIRM, Bloomfield	\$1,560.00
State of New Jersey v. State of New Jersey Complaint No. 0714-S-2023-2653	ALOIA LAW FIRM, Bloomfield	\$435.00
State of New Jersey vs. State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Blue Acres - Municipal ticket - 15 Esther St, Newark Summons No.: S-010373	ALOIA LAW FIRM, Bloomfield	\$740.00
Victoria Williams-Faux vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1812-16	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$27,548.72
Tina Diamond-Ostasz vs. State of New Jersey Department of Education, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-682-17	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$64,908.34
Gerald Hill White #979242 vs. Sco. R. Sorrell	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$200.00
Felix Mickens v. Shanta Ellis, et al	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$16,450.03
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Conduit Bond Program - Tamarack Station Project	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$15,693.74
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Bond Program - New Center City Apartments Project	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$18,253.47
Dr. Terry Ramnanan v. Colin Keiffer, et al Docket No. BER-L-2146-23	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$18,604.08
NJHMFA - Conduit Bond Program - Corinthian Towers Project	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$19,500.95
Brieauna Gibson v. SoNJ et al	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$13,520.00
Maurice Gordon et al v. Randall Wetzel	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$109,024.77
Jarvis Perry v. NJDOC	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$9,250.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$4,085.00
Patricia Abbott & Ryan Abbott v. Experian Information Solutions, Inc., et al	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$344.00
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Arlington Project	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$977.45
Joshua McMillian e/o -Rutgers	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$13,865.00
Kernan v. State of New Jersey, et al. Court Docket No.: CPM-L-238-22	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$26,730.00
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Bond Program Manahan Village Project	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$27,819.50
Luis Ponte vs. New Jersey State Police, et al. Court Docket No.: MER-L-986-23	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$2,830.00
Sirakides v. Parenti, et al consolidated with Franco, et al. v. New Jersey State Police, et al.	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$5,470.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority \$12,000,000 Facility revenue bonds (Jewish Community Center on the Palisades Project), Series 2016	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$16,135.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Beth Medrash Govoha of Lakewood - Series 2008 Bond Modification	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$2,205.00

New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Bond Program - Amendment of Forward Lending Agreements for Four (4) Projects 2023	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$4,719.00
Jarvis Perry v. NJDOC (APPEAL)	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$4,520.00
Victoria Williams-Faux vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1812-16 (APPEAL)	ARCHER & GREINER, VOORHEES	\$300.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority -Offshore Wind Port Private Partnership	Ashurst LLP, New York	\$559,139.00
Division of Investment - Hitec Vision VII, LP	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$2,496.00
Division of Investment - Dyal NJ Investors, LP (2014 Allocation) (post-closing matters)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$2,448.00
Division of Investment - TCW Direct Lending, LLC (post-closing)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$864.00
Och-Ziff Energy Partners - (post closing matters)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$40,272.00
Separate Account Managed by Dyal Capital Partners (Related to Dyal Capital Partners III) (post-closing matters)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$528.00
Division of Investment - Vista Equity Partners Fund VI, LP (post-closing matters)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$1,584.00
Division of Investment - Crayhill Capital Management LP Separate Account (post-closing transaction)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$816.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Ardian Buyout Fund VII (post-closing matters)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$2,880.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment AIMS/NJ Multi-Strategy Portfolio LLC (post- closing matters)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$2,016.00
DOI - Alternate Investment - Stellex Capital Partners II, LP	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$1,296.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Stellex Capital Partners II LP (post-closing matters)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$2,304.00
DOI - Owl Rock Diversified Holdings, LLC (initial transaction)	Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston	\$11,520.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Stephen M. Izzo, Jr. OAL Docket No.: MVH 01251-22	Birchmeier and Powell LLC Tuckahoe	\$450.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Steven Minden	Birchmeier and Powell LLC Tuckahoe	\$450.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Sahil B. Parikh OAL Docket No.: MVH 08923-2022S	Birchmeier and Powell LLC Tuckahoe	\$840.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Samuel Huff	Birchmeier and Powell LLC Tuckahoe	\$1,530.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Tonya M. Bentancourt OAL Docket No.: MVH 10910-22	Birchmeier and Powell LLC Tuckahoe	\$1,275.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Shelly Bozarth OAL Docket No.: MVH 4198-23	Birchmeier and Powell LLC Tuckahoe	\$1,095.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Mason E. Bryszewski OAL Docket No.: MVH 05080-2023 S	Birchmeier and Powell LLC Tuckahoe	\$960.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Suraj Gagneja OAL Docket No.: MVH 04645-23	Birchmeier and Powell LLC Tuckahoe	\$1,545.00
Lisa R. Easley vs. The New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-94-13	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$197,632.13

Melissa Migut vs. State of New Jersey, Administrative Office of the Courts, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-934-14	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$64,039.20
Tina Young vs. State of New Jersey Docket No.: CPM-L-83-17	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$8,173.00
Penelope Mauer vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-197-17	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$79,347.75
Tara Kumor vs. ACRO Service Corporation, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1331-17	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$207,283.45
Deborah Gross-Quatrone vs. Bonnie Mizdol, et al. Civil Action No.: 2:17-cv-13111-MCA-LDW	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$46,139.75
Estate of Frank Lagano v. Bergen County Prosecutor's Office, et al	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$11,616.92
LaMonica R. Cross vs. State of New Jersey, Office of Attorney General, Division of Criminal Justice, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-242-18	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$34,010.36
Diane Scott, Phillip Dowdell and Denise Hollingsworth vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3425-17	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$78,506.94
Manuel Pontes, Ph.D. vs. Rowan University Docket No.: CAM-L-4324-18	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$45,157.58
Jacqueline Smith v. SoNJ et al	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$290.00
Thomas Capps v. Rowan University et al (APPEAL)	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$1,070.56
Tracey Warrick v. SoNJ, OAG, et al	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$72,637.59
Deborah Gross-Quatrone v. NJ Judiciary	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$60,706.20
Karen DeSoto vs. New Jersey City University, et als. Docket No. ESX-L-4839-19	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$25,081.69
In re [REDACTED]	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$54,226.17
Natalie Spence vs. State of New Jersey, et als Docket No.: 1:19-cv-21490-NLH-KMW	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$9,926.27
Lyndsay White vs. Superior Court of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-4284-21	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$29,536.10
Jason Witcher v. Administrative Office of the Court, et al.	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$52,965.71
Shelia Young-Golden vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-689-23	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$33,046.36
CMS Medicaid Disallowance Matters (Ctrs for Medicare & Medicaid Svs)	Brown & Connery, LLP, Westmont	\$7,266.48
QMB Medicaid Compliance	Brown & Peisch PLLC, Washington	\$88,907.00
SEMI Audits - CMS Disallowance issues	Brown & Peisch PLLC, Washington	\$12,623.00
Shanahan, Kathleen v. NJT	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$48,484.00
Moore, Michael v. State of New Jersey	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$22,905.00
Jonathan Craig vs . State of New Jersey, et at	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$1,200.00
Joseph Torres v. SoNJ et al	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$39,376.00
Ernest Perez v. August Licameli, et al	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$1,660.00
Mary McNamara v. SoNJ et al	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$9,180.00
Danyel R. Barnes v. SoNJ, et al	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$1,803.00
		\$13,024.00

Pedro Martins v. Luigi Corino et als	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$40.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$3,560.00
John Doe vs. The County of Atlantic, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-4005-21	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$26,520.00
Heather Timmons vs. State of New Jersey, et al Docket No.: MER-L-379-21 Representation of DOH employees who were subpoenaed in the matter of: Richard Lipsky and MHA, LLC d/b/a Meadowlands Hospital v. The New Jersey Association of Health Plans, Inc. et als.	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$2,420.00
[REDACTED]	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$14,110.00
[REDACTED]	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$6,438.00
[REDACTED]	CAPEHART & SCATCHARD,P.A., MOUNT LAUREL	\$1,380.00
Easement Acquisition - Berkeley Township Representation of the Department of Banking and Insurance and the Commissioner of the Department of Banking and Insurance with respect to the Litigation concerning the Rehabilitation of Senior Health Insurance Company of Pennsylvania (SHIP)	CARELLA BYRNE CECCHI OLSTEIN BRODY & AGNELLO	\$15,969.90
Genworth Ltr Rate Filing	CARELLA BYRNE CECCHI OLSTEIN BRODY & AGNELLO	\$172,889.50
In the Matter of the Application by Horizon Healthcare Services, Inc (A-01121-22)	CARELLA BYRNE CECCHI OLSTEIN BRODY & AGNELLO	\$89,070.94
New Jersey Transit Corporation vs. Todd Charles Barretta	CARELLA BYRNE CECCHI OLSTEIN BRODY & AGNELLO	\$147,299.50
Andrew Poulos vs. State of New Jersey, Office of the Comptroller, et al. Docket No.: MER-L- 2263-18	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$15,340.00
Donnel Shuler vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6567-19	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$31,013.10
Shauntay Watkins vs. New Jersey Transit Authority, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-7207-20	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$10,180.00
Kumar Mahabir vs. New Jersey Transit Bus Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-2428-21	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$7,515.00
Anthony Alleyne vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation (APPEAL)	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$26,636.52
Elizabeth McNair, Administrator Ad Prosequendum of the Estate of Darrell Smith & Elizabeth McNair v. State of New Jersey, et al.	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$1,010.00
[REDACTED]	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$4,355.00
[REDACTED]	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$22,300.00

EEO Matter	Carmagnola & Ritardi, LLC, Morristown	\$16,550.00
Latimer, Jahmel J., et al. v. Kathleen Waldron, et al. (Wm Paterson Univ)	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$24,763.24
Garrett Collick, et al v. William Paterson University, et al	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$380.00
A.F. and M.D. vs. State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-0359-17	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$153,931.00
Legal Services Regarding Stabilization and Recovery of Atlantic City (Pilot/Tax Appeals)	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$654,208.08
Tamasa Nobles, et al vs. State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2644-17	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$0.00
The Atlantic City Policeman's Benevolent Association Local 24, the Atlantic City Superior Officers' Association v. City of Atlantic City, SONJ, New Jersey Division of Local Government Services in the DCA, Robert Long, et als.	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$18,104.56
Retention for Representation Related to Requests for Access to Privileged or Confidential Records of Governor Christopher J. Christie	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$3,220.00
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Revenue Bonds 2018	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$1,246.75
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority - Transportation Program Bonds Fiscal Year 2019	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$1,415.54
Josh Vadell, et als v. City of Atlantic City, State of New Jersey & Department of Community Affairs	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$51,672.08
William Anderson, et al, et al v. City of AC, et al	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$105.00
Georgina Sirakides v. Vincent Parenti, et al	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$1,130.00
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Revenue Bonds 2019	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$77,865.96
William Anderson, et al v. City of Atlantic City, et al	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$986.52
Blueprint Capital Advisors LLC v. SoNJ, DOI, et al	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$1,003.00
Ernest Perez v. August Licameli et al	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$1,473.68
James Pieper v. New Jersey Juvenile Justice Commission	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$9,220.16
NJHMFA - Multi-Family Revenue Bonds - Forward Starting Interest Rate Swaps / Rate Lock Hedging Program	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$340.00
L.W. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-525-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$18,130.00
A.W. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-533-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$8,459.76
A.B. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-583-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$10,889.00
Stacy Herrerias v. City of AC et als	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$126,370.31
G.M. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-638-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$35,032.45
J.R. vs. Somerset Hills Schools, Inc., et al. Docket No.: SOM-L-521-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$240.00
Sasha Bell-Durham, et al. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1946-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$11,786.00
	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$5,873.15

E.R. vs. New Jersey Department of Education, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-3549-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$1,079.50
Y.E. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2184-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$3,497.40
E.P. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2252-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$50,514.57
J.N. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2144-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$18,456.52
D.S. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2192-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$12,198.29
County of Atlantic vs. The State of New Jersey, et al.	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$267,747.96
[REDACTED]	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$560.00
RevoluationNJ Trademark	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$7,755.00
K.B. vs. Monmouth Council, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-4008-21	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$1,585.60
Walter Brown v. The State of New Jersey and The New Jersey Department of Community Affairs Court Docket No.: MER-L-001294-22	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$36,141.00
Timmons v. OPD Matter	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$5,753.12
Peppi Pichette vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1402-22	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$36,633.06
P.L. vs. New jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4516-22	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$3,225.00
Mark Benjamin v City of Atlantic City, et als.	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$5,927.00
[REDACTED]	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$41,955.76
New Jersey Economic Development Authority \$7,000,000 Sewage Facilities Bonds (The Atlantic City Sewerage Company Project) Series 2022	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$9,334.35
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority Higher Education Capital Improvement Fund - New Money Bonds 2022	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$70,000.00
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority Higher Education Equipment Leasing Fund Program Revenue Bonds - New Money Bonds 2022	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$70,000.00
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority Higher Education State-Appropriation Backed Financings - 2022 Grant Programs Advice and Counsel	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$71,050.00
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Revenue Bonds Fall Financing 2022	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$68,776.23
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Amendment for Moorestown Friends School Association Project Bonds	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$6,613.10
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Revenue Bonds - Transfer of Merrill Lynch Capital Services Interest Rate swap Agreements	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$5,862.50
Brian Polite and Damon Crawford vs. State of New Jersey, et el. Docket No.: MER-L-588-23	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$48,266.80
EEO Investigation - [REDACTED].	Chiesa Shahinian & Giantomasi PC	\$113,311.14
Christopher Neuwirth vs. State of New Jersey Case No.: MER-L-1083-20	Connell Foley LLP, Roseland	\$29,785.32

NJ Transit Corporation - Property Acquisitions Counsel Hudson Tunnel Project	Connell Foley LLP, Roseland	\$58,640.00
NJ Transit Corporation - Property Acquisitions Counsel Raritan Bridge Project	Connell Foley LLP, Roseland	\$1,100.00
Independent Review of the State's response to the COVID-19 Pandemic	Connell Foley LLP, Roseland	\$62,160.00
	COOPER LEVENSON, PA, ATLANTIC CITY	\$2,820.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als		
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Goldman Sachs Asset Management BSL Separate Account (initial closing)	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$77,653.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Goldman Sachs Asset Management BSL Separate Account (post-closing)	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$270.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Goldman Sachs Asset Management Private Credit Separate Account (initial closing)	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$31,723.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment American Industrial Partners Capital Fund VIII (initial transaction)	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$112,436.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment American Industrial Partners Capital Fund VIII (post-closing)	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$2,745.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment GCM Grosvenor - NJDI Emerging Opportunities Fund, L.P. (post-closing)	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$4,365.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Vista Equity Partners VIII, L.P. (initial transaction)	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$161,417.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Vista Equity Partners VIII, L.P. (post closing)	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$810.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Vista Equity Partners VIII, L.P. Co-Invest (initial transaction)	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$55,244.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Vista Equity Partners VIII, L.P. Co-Invest (post closing)	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$1,440.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Altaris Health Partners VI, L.P. (initial transaction)	Day Pitney LLP, Hartford	\$56,215.00
FMERA - Special Counsel for Municipal Law - Howard Commons Residential Transaction	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$200.00
Easement Acquisition - Bay Head	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$8,545.00
Property Acquisition and Condemnation Litigation related to Rebuild by Design - Hudson Project	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$132,126.50
FMERA - Special Counsel for Municipal Law - Nurses Quarters	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$360.00
Edwards, Geneithe I. vs. New Jersey Transit Bus Operations, Inc. and Salvatore Vicari, Jr.	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$7,217.10
Erin Shelton vs. State of New Jersey, New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1587- 16	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$32,524.00
Estate of Frank Lagano v. State of New Jersey	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$6,140.00

Colt, Jeffrey and Betsy Tsai vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Property Acquisition & Condemnation Litigation Related to Rebuild by Design - Meadowlands Project	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$16,130.25
	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$128,542.00
Alba, Paul vs. The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, et al.	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$3,038.00
Moore, Steven vs. NJ Transit Corp. A/K/A and/or D/B/A "NJ Transit" and/or New Jersey Transit Bus Operations, Inc." and Mario Rioja	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$18,669.87
Lieutenant Lieutenant Rita Gallo (#5269) (#5269) vs. New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1016-18	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$49,035.75
	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$2,655.00
Christopher Sperry v. Andria Bridges - N3128, et al FMERA - Special Counsel for Municipal Law - Mixed-Use Barker Circle Sale and Redevelopment	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$1,363.20
Fort Monmouth Economic Revitalization Authority Special Counsel for Municipal Law - Conunissary/PX Transaction	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$363.84
Shah v. State of New Jersey, et al. Court Docket No.: PAS-L-3646-18	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$159,024.51
	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$3,875.50
Reyes, Leopoldo vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$1,694.00
Alvaran, Miguel vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$14,704.00
Wallach, Scott vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al.	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$4,529.95
Coln, Korrey vs. Greyhound Lines, Inc., et al	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$1,060.05
Panzer, Susan R. vs. The City of New York, et al.	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$4,720.00
Maurice Gordon, Jr., et al vs. Randall Wetzel, et al. Docket No.: 1:21-cv-04861-KMW-AMD	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$7,136.00
Venita Gladman vs. National Railroad Passenger Corporation d/b/a Amtrak, et al. Docket No.; SCNY County of NY No.: 151698/2021	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$3,165.00
Juan Torres vs. New Jersey Department of Education, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-1378-21	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$3,745.00
Elizabeth McNair, et al vs. State of New Jersey, et als Docket No. 21-01291	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$10,956.70
McClain, Virginia vs. Metropolitan Transit Authority, et al	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$18,962.75
Darius Heimer Gittens vs. Willie J. Bonds, et al.	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	

Representation of NJ Transit in Municipal Court Proceedings	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$9,587.50
Ahmed Abdalla vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-914-22	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$11,221.90
Yasmin E. Hernandez-Manno vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1729-22	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$980.00
Antonio Manata v. Union County Prosecutor's Office, et al. Court Docket No.: 22-2005	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$37,022.50
Yvonne Goode, et al. vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2564-22	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$58,630.00
Rosa Vasquez & Magdalena Diaz vs. New Jersey Transit	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$2,256.00
Bulisa Sanders vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-384-23	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$1,560.00
Demetrius Minor vs. John Powell, et al. Docket No.: 1:19-cv-18264-RMB-AMD	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$340.00
Representation of Mark Foss before the State Real Estate Appraisers	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$1,820.00
New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice PBA 383, 383A and 383B - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$21,925.88
Representation of NJ Transit in the acquisition of property from NYS&W, PSE&G and Conrail for the Gateway Project	DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP, Paramus	\$6,975.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Maternal Infant Health Innovation Center	Dilworth Paxson LLP, Philadelphia	\$44,677.06
Cagnina, Anthony vs. Gary Lanigan, et al	Drake Law Firm, P.C., Absecon	\$9,074.80
Victor Razumov, an incapacitated person, et al vs. State of New Jersey, et al.	Drake Law Firm, P.C., Absecon	\$31,102.51
Lamar, Valarie vs. Jennifer Petrillo, MD, et al.	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$800.00
Evan Goddard, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: CPM-L-209-19	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$6,650.00
Skoudris, Karen	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$2,085.35
P.G. vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3054-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$3,410.00
Y.V., et al. vs. The State of New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: 21-cv-18770	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$3,893.60
Jane Doe2 vs. Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: OCN-L-2469-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$705.00
C.W. vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2117-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$4,615.00
G.D. vs. Monmouth County, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-3572-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$4,830.00

J.B. vs. Monmouth County, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-3457-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$15,420.00
T.B. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2344-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$8,330.00
R.F. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al/ Docket No.: MER-L-2480-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$4,460.00
Representation for [REDACTED]	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$3,520.00
Jane Doe2 (N.H) vs. Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2493-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$1,495.00
R.F. 3 vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families; New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: SLM-L-239-21	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$2,145.00
Mahmoud Alali & Mariam Alali vs. Dr. Isaac Y. Kim	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$2,139.35
DiBello v. Depass, RN	Dughi, Hewit & Domalewski, P.C., Cranford	\$13,302.23
Retention of Counsel for the New Jersey Department of Agriculture for Intellectual Property Matters	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$9,680.00
NJHMFA - Single Family Mortgage-Backed Securities Program - SEC Rule 15Ga-1 Disclosure Filings	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$285.00
General Obligation New Money Bonds 2018	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$1,722.50
New Jersey Economic Development Authority -Transportation Project Lease Revenue Bonds (New Jersey Transit Corporation- Portal North Bridge Project)	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$64,645.00
[REDACTED]	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$3,022.50
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Bond Program - Crestbury Apartments Project	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$16,151.06
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Bond Program - Norman Towers Project	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$15,340.26
NJHMFA - Glen Oaks Apartments Project	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$25,887.57
State General Obligations Bonds 2021	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$5,947.50
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Bond Program Summer Hill Apartments Project	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$15,060.00
[REDACTED]	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$2,550.50
[REDACTED]	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$1,823.50

[REDACTED]	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$812.50
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Yeshiva Shvilay Hatalmud d/b/a Yeshiva Gedola Beer Hatorah Loan Modification	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$292.50
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Wells Fargo Bank Revolving Credit Agreement Extension/ Amendment 2023	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$14,250.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority State Lease Revenue Bonds (State Government Buildings), 2017 Series A - Use of Bond Proceeds Analysis	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$15,535.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority - New Jersey Community Development Corporation Bond Loan Modification	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$10,172.50
New Jersey Economic Development Authority 75 Spruce Street Development, Inc. Bond Loan Modification	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$10,000.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Gill St. Bernard's School - Bond Loan Modification	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$9,815.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Bais Yaakov High School of Lakewood - Bond Loan Modification	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$5,622.50
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Goose Pond Investors, LLC Loan Modification	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$7,475.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Ironbound Community Corporation Modification	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC, Pittsburgh	\$8,612.50
[REDACTED] an infant, et al vs. Owobamoshola Shonowo, MD, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$5,016.88
Martin, Akintola Hanif vs. University Hospital Newark, et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$21,275.45
Talian, Charles vs. Dr. Gregory Peck, a physician, et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$99,200.67
[REDACTED] Infant vs. Joseph Barone, MD, et al.; IMO:	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$110,594.60
Montroy, Sharin L; A.A.P. of the Vincent Montroy; Estate of vs. David A. August, MD, et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$8,816.32
Pimentel, Beronica, et al vs. George Stoupakis, MD, et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$100,013.64
Martins, Joao, A.A.P., et al vs. Khamis Khamis D.O., et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$84,914.12
Farina, Charles, et al vs. Howard Waksman, MD, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$8,971.08
[REDACTED] a minor, by his mother Folasade Aremu and Folasade Aremu, individually	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$14,842.74
McKoy, Ken M. vs. John Thomas Capo, et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$50,577.64
Elsebai, Ahmad, et al v. State of New Jersey, et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$62,648.53
Tauriello, Phillip vs. Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$342.40
Mejia, Alexandra vs. Rutgers, et al; IMO	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$103,123.44
Awed, Haidy; A/K/A Roberts, Haidy; Dec'd by A.A.P. Christopher D. Roberts, et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$49,834.00
[REDACTED] Decker, Dara and Decker, Martin	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$8,134.82
Scharf, Jonathan and Kari Dalaker vs. Procure Treatment Centers, Inc., et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$882.08
Brief, Lisa vs. Robert Heary, MD, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$41,391.41
Leung, Benjamin vs. National Pool and Spas, et al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$3,943.43
Valenzuela, Jorge vs, University Hospital, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$722.72
Nakai Coleman vs. Rutgers	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$45,841.55
F.F, Individually and as Guardian ad Litem of R.M. Docket: MID-L-2803-222	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$62,842.82

Wilfredo Robinson vs. Rutgers	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$1,722.00
RUI BARBOSA, Rutgers File #2021-0036	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$47,637.09
Ashley Rivera v. University Hospital, et. al.	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$10,187.68
Johanna Claros & Delmar Soriano Vasquez vs Dr. Theodore Barrett et al. Docket#ESX-L-00221-23	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$18,522.21
Cynthia Smith - Our File #U19-0434	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$665.84
[REDACTED]	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$400.45
RENATO AGUILAR. RUTGERS FILE #2022-0286	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$3,424.28
Saunders - 20220512	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$12,273.72
Sade Reddick vs. Marc P Roberts, et al	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$6,536.02
Kang Cutes	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$2,353.72
The Matter of Charles Guest	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$3,369.04
[REDACTED] et al vs. Bellevue Pediatrics, et al. 2016-0282	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$10,437.12
Estate of Ana P. Robles Quinones by Marion Fabal Penzo, the Administrator of the Estate of Ana P. Robles Quinones & Marion Fabal Penzo, individually	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$1,350.24
Agha v. Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital	Farkas & Donohue, LLC	\$1,503.12
Dana S. Register v. NJ DOC Docket No.: HNT-L-303-16	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$15,461.00
Willis, Ratarsha v. Carl Walker, et al.	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$4,340.00
Kevin Ball vs. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Docket No.: HUD-L-4880-17	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$30,438.05
Sean Tonner vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections and Jerome Scott Docket No.: MID-L-7507-17	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$26,143.10
Joseph Torres vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1859-16	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$10,243.00
Erin Shelton vs. State of New Jersey - New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1587-16	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$60,935.06
Alan B. Dillion v. SONJ et al	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$12,003.60
Kevin T. Flood v. Public Defender Joseph Krakora, et al	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$17,811.00
Sandra Surujballi, MSN, RN vs. State of New Jersey, et al.	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$20,343.00
Frank Hubbard v. Gary Lanigan, et al	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$8,371.50
Georgina Sirakides v. Vincent Parenti et al and Kenneth Franco v. NJ State Police et al	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$26,681.00
Jake Stouch and Kristine Bodnar v. DCPD, et al	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$7,508.00

Ernest Perez v. August Licameli, et al	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$10,853.00
Melissa Doktor vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: UNN-L-3850-20	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$11,121.25
Michele Mossay vs. Kean University Docket No.: UNN-L-032-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$4,349.00
Ruth Jessica Rosado vs. State of New Jersey, Department of Labor & Workforce Development	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$6,194.00
Audrey Miller v. Chris Neuwirth et al	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$5,060.00
Karen DeSoto v. NJCU et al	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$43,178.17
Deelip Mhaske v. SoNJ, et al	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$17,486.00
Kenneth Walden vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-1795-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$46,301.00
Shivon Harris vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1884-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$2,704.00
John Hayes & Jamie Lascik v. State of New Jersey, et al. Dkt. No. MCN-L-3170-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$10,811.00
Wanda Stojanov, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2619-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$6,308.00
Ian M. Schweizer vs. New Jersey State Police, et al (APPEAL)	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$200.00
David Bailey vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Department of Labor and Workforce Development, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2602-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$1,755.00
Kathleen Wardell vs. Robsert Asaro-Angelo, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2262-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$33,664.40
Natalie Spence vs. State of New Jersey, et als Docket No.: 19-21490 (NLH-KMW)	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$31,865.00
Lyndsay White v. Superior Court of New Jersey, et al. Docket No. HUD--L-4284-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$20,564.00
Christopher Kochman vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2227-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$8,574.00
David Greco vs. Det. Lauren Laielli, et als	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$1,285.00
Staci Fleischmann v. Division of Pensions and Benefits, et al Court Docket No.: MER-L-846-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$43,703.89
Michelle Paul v. State of New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No. MID-L-2367-22	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$28,206.32

Roberta Alexandre vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4049-22	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$3,270.00
Tammy LoBiondo vs. New Jersey Department of Transportation, et al. Docket No.: WRN-L-454-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$32,901.00
Edwin Sheppard v. New Jersey Department of Law & Public Safety, Division of Law GRC Complaint No. 2017-180	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$1,120.00
Jermaine Curry vs. New Jersey State Prison, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-159018 (APPEAL)	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$25,482.00
Atiya Wahab v. State of New Jersey, et al. (APPEAL)	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$5,448.00
Sarah B. Bernal vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6178-21	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$4,743.00
[REDACTED]	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$30,141.00
Alan B. Dillion v. SONJ et al APPEAL	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$11,896.00
Frank Hubbard v. Gary Lanigan, et al (APPEAL)	Flahive Mueller Attorneys at Law, LLC	\$620.00
FMERA Special Counsel for Municipal Law - Allison Hall	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$4,300.00
Jayathunga, et al vs. NJ Transit, et al.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$26,415.71
Garcia, Javier vs. Betty J. Weaver, et al.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$272.00
Jourdain, Marlande vs. Metropolitan Transportation Authority, et al.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$26,629.35
Alford, Alonzo vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. (NJT)	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$3,402.00
Velez, Luis O. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. (NJT)	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$4,890.00
Bell, Jr., Henry vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$22,468.00
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency CHOICE# 13-08 Leewood Villages at Rowand Pond - Foreclosure Action against Renaissance Pond 1, LLC	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$480.00
Santana, Gabriel vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$23,712.40
Ravindra, Pushpa, et al. vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$2,696.00
Wotring, Bruce and Wotring, Judy vs. Port Authority New York/New Jersey, et al.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$18,852.25

Keim, Elaine vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$175.92
Citron, Edward, et al v. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc, et al.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$1,105.00
Grant, Keisha I., vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$20.00
Solomon, Roger vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, et al.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$1,350.15
Ewell, Michael vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$26,484.85
NJHMFA - Foreclosure Action Against Dooley House Inc	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$750.00
Carle, Sr., Michael vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$31,306.00
Suarez, Colin vs. National Railroad Passenger Corp. d/b/a Amtrak	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$464.00
Logan, Meghan vs. New Jersey Transit, et al	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$19,280.00
Caling, Virgilio M. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$24,455.00
Goebler, Riley, et al vs. New Jersey Transit, et al	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$45,599.01
Picinich, Domenick vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$14,054.15
Asiedu, Shelia vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$17,221.50
Rivera, Jose vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$4,557.05
Scott Lupia vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: USDC Southern District NY 1:21-cv-11077	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$113,359.87
Deyanire Granados vs. LTI, Inc, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2661-21	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$10,320.00
Donald Crowder, et al. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al. Docket No.: USDC NJ 2:21-cv-20595	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$42,787.03
Karen Silvey vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Case No.: 2:22-cv-00145-CCC-LDW	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$33,861.28
Randy Cruz vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-0284-22	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$22,680.12
Thomas Battaglia vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-533-22	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$11,123.19

Jack Cantatore vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: USDC NJ 2:22-cv-01016	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$20,817.50
Natalia Escobar vs. New Jersey Transit Corp, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-862-22	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$12,284.52
Laura Kyle vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-3921-22	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton	\$9,306.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority - Evergreen Outside Counsel	Fox Rothschild LLP, Philadelphia, PA	\$4,737.90
Division of Investment - Complex Litigation PGIM Fixed Income Separate Account (initial transaction)	Fox Rothschild LLP, Philadelphia, PA	\$64,964.50
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Federal Securities Issues	Fox Rothschild LLP, Philadelphia, PA	\$4,200.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority SSBCI and BLSF Investments	Fox Rothschild LLP, Philadelphia, PA	\$78,928.63
Chris Neuwirth v. SoNJ et al	Friedman Kaplan Seiler Adelman & Robbins LLP, New York	\$515,419.36
Fort Monmouth Economic Revitalization Authority	Garrity and Knisely, Boston	\$5,022.50
International Association of Fire Fighters, et al v. City of Atlantic City, New Jersey, et al	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$4,816.00
Communications Workers of America -Collectiye Negotiations with CWA for a Successor Agreement 2019-2023)	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$39,850.00
State of New Jersey & NJ Superior Officers Law Enforcement Association (Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement)	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$57,351.30
State of New Jersey & NJ Law Enforcement Supervisor Association (Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement)	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$375.00
State of New Jersey & NJ Investigators Association, FOP 174 (Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement)	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$67,670.83
IAFF, AFLO-CIO Local 198 v. City of Atlantic City, et al	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$1,099.50
Angelo DeMaio, et al v. Atlantic City, State of NJ, Department of Community Affairs	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$10,940.50
State of New Jersey & PBA Local 105 (Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement 2019-2023)	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$1,410.00
State and AFSCME Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement for Contract ending June 30, 2019	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$1,150.00
NJ Law Enforcement Commanding Officers Assoc. Successor Agreement for contract expiring June 30, 2019	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$312.50
Rowan School of Osteopathic Medicine AAUP (Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement)	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$150.00
Representation of NJ Transit for General Railroad Labor Matters With the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and Trainmen	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$65,306.94
PBA State Law Enforcement Unit (SLEU) - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement for 2019-2023	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$46,328.23
SoNJ Department of Labor and Workforce Development and CWA	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$17,925.00
IFPTE continuing negotiations regarding COVID-19 related issues	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$12,650.00
AFSCME continuing negotiations regarding COVID-19 related issues	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$125.00
CWA continuing negotiations regarding COVID 19 related issues	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$47,000.00

IBEW Local 33 continuing negotiations regarding COVID 19 related matters	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$0.00
IBEW Local 30 continuing negotiations regarding COVID 19 related issues	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$2,800.00
PBA State Law Enforcement Unit (SLEU) - Negotiations over COVID 19 related matters	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$2,300.00
The International Association of Fire Fighters, (IAFF), et al vs. State of New Jersey Division of Local Government Services, et als	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$40,667.00
Negotiations of Healthcare Retention Letter Reopener Provisions	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$39,755.00
Rowan University and Teamsters Local Union 97 - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$175.00
IFPTE Local 195 and Local No. 32BJ - International Federation of Professional and Technical Engineers/ NJ State Motor Vehicle Employee Union, SEIU - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$60,202.02
NJ Law Enforcement Supervisor Association - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$11,179.51
NJ Superior Officers Law Enforcement Association - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$20,325.02
New Jersey Law Enforcement Commanding Officers Association - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$17,379.65
NJ Transit Rail Ops., Inc. v. Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and Trainmen, et al.	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$277,759.50
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corporation Appeal by Academy Express LLC of NJ Transit' s Final Agency Decision of Award Contracts 21-0448A and 21-048B to Coach USA Court Docket No. A-002598-21	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$33,747.00
IBEW Local 30 - Continuing Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$18,080.40
AFSCME Continuing Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$21,554.13
Communications Workers of America - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$173,299.85
FOP 174- Fraternal of Police 174 - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$40.00
Rowan University School of Osteopathic Medicine - American Association of University Professors (AAUP) - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$2,175.00
PBA Local 105 - Policemen Benevolent Association - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$34,148.23
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency with CWA Local 1032 - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Genova Burns LLC, Newark	\$19,024.36
[REDACTED]	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$275.00
Heitman Core Property Fund	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$1,231.20
Division of Investment - Northwood Real Estate Partners, LP Fund	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$4,537.50
Division of Investment - GSO Energy Partners - A (LP) (post-closing)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$2,757.50
Division of Investment - Blackstone Tactical Opportunities Fund - A (PE) (post-closing)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$450.00
Division of Investment - Brookfield Capital Partners IV, LP (post-closing matters)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$562.50
Community Care Providers Union	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$5,775.00
DOI - Alternative Investment - Elliot Associates, LP Fund	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$862.50
NJ Transit - Operational Advice	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$4,837.50
NJ Transit Corporation; NJ TransitGrid	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$463,280.50

NJ Transit Corporation; Positive Train Control	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$270,050.00
DOI - Complex Transactions - PIPE Transactions - Andina Acquisition Corp. II - Lazydays Common Stock - Post-closing matters	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$7,012.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment - BCA Cap I L.P. DOL # 17-64309 (post-closing matters)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$7,312.50
Council of State Colleges & Universities, AFT AFL/CIO	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$100.00
State of New Jersey (Kean University) v. Council for New Jersey State Colleges Local AFT Division of Investment -Alternative Investment - Brookfield Capital Partners V, L.P. (post-closing matters)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$7,050.00
Community Care Providers Unions (Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$900.00
New Jersey Division of the State Lottery PICK3, PICK4 and New Jersey Lottery Clover Logo Trademark Registrations	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$1,350.00
Community Care Workers Union Representation for DHS	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$8,155.00
	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$12,670.00
Representation of NJ Transit Property Acquisition - Remaining Floors of One Penn Plaza East	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$38,510.50
DOI - Alternate Investments - Asia Alternatives Management, LLC (initial transaction)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$34,077.50
DOI - Fairview Capital Partners - initial transaction	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$41,640.00
DOI - Alternate Investment - Carlyle Partners VI, LP	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$562.50
State Troopers Non-Commissioned Officers Association (STNCOA) - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$32,372.50
State Troopers Superior Officers Association (STSOA) -Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$7,942.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Stonepeak Infrastructure Fund IV, L.P. Co- Investment Vehicle (initial transaction)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$12,177.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Brookfield Global Transition Fund (post-closing)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$20,731.00
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corporation for the Termination of a Rail Equipment Lease with Handelsbanken Finans, Related to certain multi-level rail cars manufactured by Bombardier Transit Corporation	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$5,457.50
All aspects of the Transit Oriented Development at Metropark Station at Woodbridge, New Jersey	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$111,055.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Barings LLC Separate Account (initial transaction)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$41,238.50
Division of Investment - Complex Transactions Advice on Documents Required to Open Accounts in India Markets	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$553.00
Representation of NJ Transit's interest in the Red Bank Station Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$19,147.50
State Troopers Fraternal Association (STFA) - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$3,480.00
CIR - The Committee of Interns and Residents Union Contract - Collective Negotiations for a Successor Agreement	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$2,317.50

Representation of NJ Transit in the Negotiating, Drafting and Finalizing of a Long Term Lease for NJ Transit's New Headquarters at 2 Gateway Center, Newark, NJ Division of Investment - Alternative Investment GCM Grosvenor RE merging Manager SMA Fund (initial transaction) Roberta Cole and John Cole vs. Johnson and Johnson, Inc., et al. Docket No.: MID-L-07272-18AS	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$955,924.50
The Hansen Foundation, Inc., et al vs City of Atlantic City, et al. NJPBA - FCC Matters, State Treasurer and the NJPBA	Gibbons P.C., Newark	\$26,307.00
Glenn, Christina A/K/A Christiana Rezireksyon, et al. v. DCPD, et al. ██████████ Estate of, et al. v. State of New Jersey, Division of Child Protection & Permanency, et al. Yvette Gibbons vs. The New Jersey Department of Law & Public Safety, et al. Docket No.: UNN-L-2766-14	Gordon & Rees LLP - Oakland	\$0.00
	Gordon & Rees LLP - Oakland	\$40,885.00
	Gray Miller Persh LLC, Washington	\$17,432.50
	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$360.00
	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$12,540.00
	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$840.00
	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$10,250.00
Gonzalez, Zenaida, et al. v. State of New Jersey, et al. Torres, Stephanie, Parent & Legal Guardian of ██████████ v. State of New Jersey; Department of Children & Families, et al.	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$69,422.10
Stephanie Carter-Green vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: CUM-L-580-15	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,415.00
Lieutenant Dawn Shyner vs. State of NJ et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2294-16 Viktoriya Usachenok vs. State of New Jersey Department of the Treasury, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1577-17	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,750.00
	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,340.00
Matthew Mongioi v. Stockton University	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$22,973.00
	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$260.00
Jermaine Curry and Tori Curry vs. New Jersey State Prison, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-937-18 David Nufrio vs. The State of New Jersey, Office of the Public Defender, et al, Docket No.: SSX-L36-19 Yvonne Goode, et al. vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2445-19	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$6,440.00
	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,830.00
Shanta Ellis v. JJC et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,936.00
██████████ et al. vs. Kean University, et al. Docket No.: UNN-L-605-19	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,705.00
Felix Mickens v. Shanta Ellis, et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$42,870.79
Lon C. Taylor vs. Public Defender Joseph Krakora, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1441-19	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$6,954.00


Niema Jones v. DCF	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$73,737.91
Jake Stouch and Kristine Bodnar v. DCPD et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$45,556.25
Juanita Taylor v. DCPD et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$100,092.09
Heather VanKleef vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2466-19	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$58,769.00
Edward Scanlon, IV v. Valerie Lawson, et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,060.00
Hastaba, Gerard, et al. vs. John Walker, MD, et al.	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$17,185.03
Blueprint Capital Advisors LLC v. State of NJ, Dept of Treasury, DOI	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$156,459.50
Bettie Norris v. SoNJ et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$51,346.50
William Sullivan v. SoNJ et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$15,908.23
Estate of Joan Williams by Shari Davis, et al v. DMAVA	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$11,210.00
DMAVA - Enforcement Action re NJ Memorial Home Paramus	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$6,726.55
	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$30.00
Investigation by the Commission of Investigation of Veteran's Memorial Homes	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$525.00
	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$300.00
Galloway Township v. Stockton University	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$150.00
Christopher Basista vs. State of New Jersey, et al Docket No.: MRS-L-077-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$9,580.00
Heather Timmons v. SoNJ et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$40,538.80
Robert Lombardi vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-712-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,580.00
Judy Gibbs vs. South Woods State Prison, et al. Docket No.: CUM-L-280-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$32,330.87
Alice Hilliard and Ruth Jordan vs. State of New Jersey, Superior Court Mercer Vicinage, Criminal Division Docket No.: 21-11632-ZNQ-LHG	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$17,199.90

John Doe1 vs. AME Zion Church, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-2842-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$5,080.00
John Doe2 vs. AME Zion Church, et als Court Docket No.: MON-L-2843-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,325.00
A.F. & J.S. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection & Permanency, et als Court Docket No.: OCN-L-1627-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$21,699.67
John Hayes, et al vs. State of New Jersey, et als (Docket No. MON-L-3170-21)	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$22,193.00
Donta McMillan vs. Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al.	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$15,286.75
W. M. vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et als Court Docket No.: MER-L-2214-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$6,033.00
S.O. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection & Permanency, et als Court Docket No.: MER- L-2172-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$13,903.50
R.H. Vs. Boys & Girls Clubs of America, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8048-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,110.00
S. S. vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families and Department of Child Protection & Permanency, et als Court Docket No.: MER-L-2200-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$15,470.50
Lloyd Garner vs. State of New Jersey Judiciary, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2435-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$5,995.00
John Doe3 vs. Division of Youth and Family Services, et al Court Docket No.: BER-L-7738-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$6,185.00
Savannah-Jane Hof vs Division of Child Protection and Permanency, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L- 2353-20	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$15,143.00
Dennis Talavera, et als. vs. State of New Jersey, et als. Docket No.: ATL-L-3947-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$61,597.00
Wanda Stojanov, et al vs. State of New Jersey, et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$29,663.00
William D. Jones O/B/D T.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Permanency & Protection, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-2497-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$7,435.00
Common Pension Fund E, New Jersey Department of the Treasury, Division of Investment vs. Blueprint Capital Advisors, LLC	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$36,411.00
J.W. v. Pennington Montessori School, et al. Court Docket No. MER-L-337-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$535.00
Christopher Kochman vs. State of New Jersey, et als Court Docket No.: MER-L-2227-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$140,129.50
Michelle Paul vs. New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Court Docket No.: MID-L-2367-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$41,075.00
Brian C. Biscieglija vs. State of New Jersey Court Docket No.: ATL-L-1913-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$6,480.00

Valerie Jean Barbour vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1393-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$2,035.00
A.H., et al. vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4285-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$9,249.00
Roberta Alexandre vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4049-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75.00
Bernabe Estrellas, M.D. and Lorelie C. Estrellas, husband and wife vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4038-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$150.00
Bertha Hernandez and Ruben Hernandez, husband and wife vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4050-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$150.00
Orin Bazilio vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4043-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75.00
Genie Ceus vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4041-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75.00
Ketty Christopher vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4068-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Gelide Constant vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4073-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Estate of Loretta Crews by Administratrix, et al. vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4085-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Darlyn Tecson and Delano Tecson, husband and wife vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4054-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$150.00
Ercilia Pacius-Gelin vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4078-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Germany Exavier vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4076-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Firdos H. Ahmed and Junaid Ahmed, husband and wife vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4056-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$150.00
Yvonne Frazilus vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4061-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75.00
Gertrude Ebere vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4075-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Stephen Serieux, Sr. vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4055-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75.00
Harpa Serieux and Stephen Serieux, Jr., husband and wife vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4062-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$150.00
Bethany Jean Louis vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4092-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Anne Y. Jules vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4066-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$225.00

Weedemark Lindor vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4077-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Karina A. Managad vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4090-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Simone Merzius vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4091-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Audrey M. Muir vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4089-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Robert Murphy vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4079-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$180.00
Nkemjika Ebere vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4072-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Marie Nelson vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4065-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,455.00
Nyrline Nicolas-Paul vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4053-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75.00
Judith Onday vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4084-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Oluchi Onuoha vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4087-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Demetrios G. Panayi vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4052-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75.00
Kimberly Patterson-Wuni vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4064-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75.00
Marie Michelle Pompilus Etienne vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4083-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Katia C. Prudent vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4086-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Estate of Monemise Romelus by Administrator and Administrators Ad Prosequendum Emmanuel Romelus and Smirnov Exilus and Emmanuel Romelus, individually vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al.	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75.00
Tamara Patterson vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4067-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,515.00
Olga Tarasenko vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4082-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$120.00
Luis Freitas vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: CUM-L-579-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$38,455.00
Estate of Andrecie Francois by Administrator, et al. vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-4037-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75.00
AFSCME New Jersey, Council 63, et al. vs. Philip D. Murphy, in his Official Capacity as Governor of the State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1853-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$46,000.00

Pamela Neiper Redo, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of Carl Neiper, Sr. v. State of New Jersey, et al. NJDOC East Jersey State Prison Administrative Order and Notice of Civil Administrative Penalty Assessment (AONOCAPA) EA ID #: PEA220001-17994	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,230.00
Carmen Mohamadi vs. Suaray Tequila Thompson vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-858-18 (APPEAL)	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$1,165.00
Lisa Hutchinson vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: CUM-L-186-18 (APPEAL) NJ Criminal Interdiction LLC, d/b/a Street Cop Training vs. Kevin Walsh, Acting State Comptroller, et al. Docket No.: A-4009-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$12,125.50
Nelson Ribon and Jackson Rivera vs. New Jersey Department of Military and Veterans Affairs, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-19-23	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$25,462.00
Marcelious Roberts, Individually, and as Administrator Ad Prosequendum of the Estate of Alfred Roberts v. Menlo Park Veterans Memorial Home, et al. Court Docket No.: MID-L-0006348-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$16,020.00
[REDACTED]	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$75,982.00
Joseph Youngblood, II vs. Thomas Edison State University, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-158-23	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$9,340.00
Melisa Stubblefield and Dorinda K. Sapp-Nall vs. New Jersey Department of Military and Veterans Affairs, et al Docket No.: MER-L-1648-18	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$17,696.00
Kathia Alvarez vs. New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-1510-22	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$18,525.00
Dennis Benigno, et al. vs. Kevin Walsh, Acting State Comptroller, et al. Docket No.: 3:23-cv-03248	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$8,625.00
Keion Paris vs. City of East Orange, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8824-21	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$26,002.00
Teresa Kuntz vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1685-23	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$4,040.00
The New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park DOH Survey Results for MPVH	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$18,500.00
Jake Stouch and Kristine Bodnar v. DCPD et al (APPEAL)	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$3,349.00
Bettie Norris v. SoNJ et al (APPEAL)	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$8,195.00
Charles Ken Zisa v. John Haviland, et al	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$345.00
R.S. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MRS-L-413-20	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$420.00
	Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP, Woodbridge	\$800.00
	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$71,261.32
	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$126,320.39

T.W. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-2397-20	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$47,146.00
Pedro E. Martins vs Luigi Corino, et al.	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$4,181.52
J.S. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-412-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$27,640.00
T.D. vs. Episcopal Diocese of Newark, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1958-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$13,940.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$10,930.00
D.R. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1065-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$37,875.00
Jeanne Lazier vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1486-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$455.00
J.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1872-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$29,176.00
Palmer A. Fowler vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2018-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$5,115.50
Denzel Suitt vs. Volunteers of America, Inc., et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-4050-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$4,246.50
Donta McMillan vs. Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Corrections, et als. Docket No.: 3:18-cv-13379	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$32,065.25
D.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2272-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$52,602.50
Reine Liquori vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: OCN-L-2676-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$14,575.00
D.L. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2134-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$3,530.00
John Doe MD vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-7162-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$5,353.30
C.F. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-6804-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$23,622.00
T.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2394-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$6,805.00
Representation of NJHMFA with respect the 2021 US Department of Housing and Urban Development Loan Sale and LLC Investment	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$4,520.00
	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$12,590.50
John Doe vs. The County of Atlantic, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-4005-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$80,988.00


Antonio Manata v. Union County Prosecutor's Office, et al. Court Docket No.: 22-2005	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$39,245.00
Anthony T. Fontanez vs. Marcus O. Hicks, Esq., et al Docket No.: 2:20-cv-20286-KSH-CLW In the matter of the Enforcement of an Office of the New Jersey Comptroller Subpoena to the City of Bayonne Docket No.: TBD	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$12,250.00
NJHMFA - HUD/FHA Direct Loan Sale 2022	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$9,860.00
	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$2,880.00
Minor, Demetrius V. Dilks, David SGT.	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$27,728.00
K.R. vs State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-536-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$6,100.00
S.S vs State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-539-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$620.00
S.P. vs State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-540-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$540.00
A.G. vs State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2503-21	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$400.00
N.T. vs State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-537-21 Representation of NJ Transit in all matters regarding Newport Retail Developers v. NJ Transit; Court Docket Nos: ESX-C-000042-23; ESX-L-002076-23; and ESX-DC-004220-23	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$2,960.00
Kolias, Goergia, Executrix, et al vs. Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, et al	Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, Newark	\$320.00
Martin, Akintola Hanif vs. University Hospital Newark, et al.	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$32,650.00
Pingatore, John, et al. v. Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, et al.	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$40.00
Perkins, Alazaya; A.A.P. of Aiyon Bell, et al vs. Dmali Campbell, MD, et al.	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$11,530.00
Mondo, Dominick v. University Hospital, et al	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$2,240.00
Pearl, Lorna vs. Rutgers	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$18,730.00
Rios, David vs. University Hospital, et al,	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$2,520.00
Zarra, Nicholas vs. Kristen Wilkes, M.D., et al.	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$27,520.00
	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$3,240.00
	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$15,108.84

Hudak, Josephine as Substituted Administratrix Ad Prosequendum of the Estate of Peter Dibello vs. University Hospital, et al	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$15,996.00
Estate of Joao Nunes vs. University Hospital, et al.	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$12,755.00
Varvarezis v. State of New Jersey, et. al., 2022-0185	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$13,774.16
Craig O'Riley NOC, 2021	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$24,120.00
Carmen Mohamadi vs. Suaray	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$13,820.26
Eliezer Rodriguez vs Sanchez et al - Docket # CAM-L-000484-23	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$1,815.00
Marvin A. Sewell vs. Victoria Kuhn, Esq., et al.	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$3,432.00
Estate of Ana P. Robles Quinones by Marion Fabal Penzo, the Administrator of the Estate of Ana P. Robles Quinones & Marion Fabal Penzo, individually	Hardin, Kundla, McKeon & Poletto, Springfield (T)	\$2,626.00
NJT - Hoboken Terminal Redevelopment	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$71,340.00
Easement Acquisition - Mark & Christine Scott - Block 12, Lot 2 - Elsinboro Township	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$1,226.96
Easement Acquisition - Jennifer & Hugo Vangeen - Block 12, Lot 12 - Elsinboro Township	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$1,101.36
Easement Acquisition - Larry R. Sr. & Nancy A. Bechtel - Block 19, Lot 4.13 - Elsinboro Township	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$1,361.28
Easement Acquisition - Robert M. & Stephanie Cocchi - Block 23, Lot 3 - Elsinboro Township	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$885.13
Easement Acquisition - Linda L. Ferguson - Block 23, Lot 17 - Elsinboro Township	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$1,095.29
NJ EDA - Disposition of Technology Centre Expansion Site	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$2,640.00
Representation of NJ Transit in the Acquisition of Property For the Proposed Northern Bus Facility (Ridgefield Park) and Related Legal Services,	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$5,492.64
Bound Brook Station Transit Orientation Development Project	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$5,160.24
Representation of NJ Transit in the negotiation, purchase and any legal action regarding the acquisition of property in Clifton for the Northern Maintenance of Way (MOW) facility	HILL WALLACK, Princeton	\$15,175.08
Jimenez, Enrique vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$176.00
Bentivenga, John vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$44,827.19
Nanney, Sandra, et al v. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$2,262.24
Powers, Kevin vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$7,477.76
Thomas Dougan vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$19,211.43
Smith, Stephanie vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$19,868.24
Buttigieg, John vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,849.30
Carfi, The Estate of Raffaele, et al v. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$11,739.77
Raghunandan, Omash vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$34,163.20
Gabriel, Anthony vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$5,817.76
Ronca, William vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations Inc. (NJT)	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$29,981.88

Alverio, Melanie, et al v. New Jersey Transit Corproation, et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$86,348.39
Holmes, Sandra vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$976.16
Rawls, Patricia vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$592.00
Smith, Stephanie vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$7,420.48
Ali, Rahim vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$736.00
Kennedy, Brian vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. (NJT)	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$14,120.07
Ferguson-Butler, Renee B., et al vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$13,284.28
Varela, Shawn vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,515.52
Greaves, Kyle vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$6,708.64
Representation of New Jersey Transit - Jodi Asay vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$16,029.12
Stanberry, Kassia Caprice vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$16.00
Batista, Lydia vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$6,292.45
Bintliff, Keith vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. (NJT)	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$2,419.33
Brown, Brian vs. New Jersey Transit Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$2,012.90
Tramo, Benjamin vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$5,185.07
Yarus, Barbara vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$13,568.56
Attara, Medhat vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$13,689.36
Burkart, John vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$7,464.85
Maltez, Ingrid vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$11,770.67
Diaz, Camilo vs. City of Elizabeth, et al.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,730.00
Ellis, John vs. New Jersey Transit	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$7,192.28
Benson, Ronald vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$3,618.64
Michael LaBelle vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. (NJTRO) Civil Action No: 2:21-cv-04125	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$3,128.75
Raina Pettway vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: 2:22-cv-02027-JMV-JSA	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$31,166.54
Robert Ferrarelli vs. New Jersey Trainsit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: HUD-L-1319-22	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$9,868.06
Representation of New Jersey Board of Nursing Federal Litigation Dckt. No. 2:21-cv-05628-WB		
Maketa Jolly v. Excelsior College, Mary Lee Pollard, Joanne Leone and Laura Baldwin Tuffa	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$467.68
Tracy Fried vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-2818-22	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$8,078.64
Kariese Rivera vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: 2:22-cv-04641	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$13,092.79
Regina V. Leverette vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: 2:22-cv-05842	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$14,859.10
Michael Carpenter vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-5818-22	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$7,868.88
Moira Rose Cunningham vs New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6491-22	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,726.84
Jolly v. Excelsior College, et al. Case No. 2022-2617	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$15.00
Joseph Boyle vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-1408-23	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$3,641.29
Lukasz Skibicki vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-3256-23	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$453.04
Kara Rowells vs. Port Authority of NY & NJ, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3888-23	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC	\$1,264.64

Edmona Gomes vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: MRS-L-1121-23 Special Counsel Designation - State Retirement Plans and State Health Benefit Plans NJ Secure Choice Savings Program Board - Special Counsel for Federal Tax Law and Other Applicable Federal Law Compliance	Hohn & Scheuerle, LLC Ice Miller LLP, Indianapolis	\$1,024.96 \$38,909.70
Tamame Fonville, et al. vs Ancora Psychiatric Hospital, et al. docket No.: MER-L-1842-20	Ice Miller LLP, Indianapolis Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC	\$98,365.00 \$31,796.58
Suzan Nickleson vs. Robert Asaro-Angelo, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-695-21	Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC	\$4,450.44
Shivon Harris vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1884-21	Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC	\$6,163.04
David Bailey vs. The State of New Jersey, The New Jersey Department of Labor and Workforce Development, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2602-21	Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC	\$5,345.00
Anthony Sottolare vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-605-22	Inglesino, Webster, Wyciskala & Taylor, LLC	\$1,900.00
NJ TRANSIT CORPORATION - Property Acquisitions Counsel - Hudson Tunnel Project	John J. Curley LLC, Jersey City	\$3,060.00
NJ Transit Corp - Property Acquisitions Counsel Raritan Bridge Project	John J. Curley LLC, Jersey City	\$3,680.00
NJ Transit Corp; County Yard/Delco Lead/Megal Lead Acquisitions, Surface Transportation Board and Federal Railroad Proceedings and Property Interest Acquisitions	Kaplan, Kirsch & Rockwell - Denver	\$308.00
NJ Transit Corporation - Gateway Program Financing	Kaplan, Kirsch & Rockwell - Denver	\$181,435.00
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corp. Any and all matters related to the acquisition of Washington Secondary Line, including review and drafting of the Purchase and sale agreements and proceedings before the Surface Transportation Board	Kaplan, Kirsch & Rockwell - Denver	\$97,566.14
Review and Negotiation of the Design Phase Agreement for the Sawtooth Bridge with Amtrak, and associated matters	Kaplan, Kirsch & Rockwell - Denver	\$25,333.00
Application to the FRA for an extension of its approval of shared use and waiver of relief from certain safety regulations that allow for the operation of the River Line	Kaplan, Kirsch & Rockwell - Denver	\$38.50
Representation of NJT Corp Hudson Bergen Light Rail Legal assistance with the procurement and negotiations of the new Operations and Maintenance Agreement for the HBLR and the NB extension of passenger light rail service to NHC and BC on the HBLR	Kaplan, Kirsch & Rockwell - Washington DC	\$395,895.50
Marianna Tropeano vs. NJ Transit Police Department, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-1734-17	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$43,715.88
Cynthia Huggins vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1317-19	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$5,677.20
Rev. Dr. Angela M. Battle vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: 2:19 -cv- 21247	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$57,265.40
Lyla Wilkins vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6759-20	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$10,524.00
Andrea Robertson vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8451-20	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$22,571.00
Rosalind White v. NJ Transit	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$18.00
Allegations of Discrimination in Employment Practices of NJ State Police	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$3,080.00
Ophelia M. Adderley vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1679-21	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$14,026.00
Deelip Mhaske v. SoNJ et als	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$29,115.50
Sonya Dix vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-5269-21	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$112,140.50
Sarah B. Bernal vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$81,855.00

Nicholas Picnic and Raissa Picnic, his wife vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-3412-21	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$24,917.00
Sakyibera Ekufia vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2996-21	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$15,038.00
Nicole Lamb, et al. vs. New Jersey Transit Coprp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3073-22	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$64,921.00
Johanna Sanchez vs. New Jersey Transit Corp. Docket No.: ESX-L-881-23	Kaufman Dolowich LLP, Woodbury	\$20,923.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Charles Avella OAL Docket No.: MVH 10539-2022	Kenneth Vercammen, Esq.- njlaws, Edison	\$2,235.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Nazjahna S. Silvera OAL Docket No.: MVH 11048-23	Kenneth Vercammen, Esq.- njlaws, Edison	\$720.00
Frank Hubbard vs. Gary Lanigan, et al. Civil Action No.: 18-2055-AET-DEA	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$12,696.85
Robert Blanchard v. Rowan University et al	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$12,589.37
State of New Jersey v. Cogsville, Rachael	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$4,950.86
State of New Jersey v. Kelly Scott	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$2,090.00
State of New Jersey v. Allen Riley	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$2,680.00
State of New Jersey v. Daniel R. Lindemann	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$1,380.00
State of New Jersey v. Elwell, Marina	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$2,075.00
Township of Marlboro v. Darahakupets, Anna Complaint No. 000151	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$7,620.00
State v. Cusenza, Lucieann M.	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$2,040.00
Darnell Edward Shinka vs. State of New Jersey Docket No.: 2023-270	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$2,204.50
State of NJ v. Silverio	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$1,160.00
Luis Ponte vs. New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-986-23	Kent & McBride, P.C., New Jersey Cherry Hill	\$3,020.00
Christopher Neuwirth vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1083-20	King & Spalding LLP, Atlanta	\$18,585.00
In the Matter of the Metropolitan Transportation Authority Central Business District Tolling Program	King & Spalding LLP, Atlanta	\$108,945.00
Kelagher, Margaret, by her POA, et al. vs. Sadia M. Chaudhary, MD, et al.	Krompiew & Tamn, L.L.C., Parsippany	\$9,429.10
Rutkoski, Stephen vs. Nell Maloney Patel, MD, et al.	Krompiew & Tamn, L.L.C., Parsippany	\$7,771.59

Leung, Benjamin v. National Pools & Spas: Triac Industries, Inc., et al.	Krompier & Tamn, L.L.C., Parsippany Lindabury, McCormick, Estabrook & Cooper, Westfield	\$88,929.85
Karen DeSoto v. NJCU et al	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$17,664.75
Darran Crane v. SoNJ et al	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$29,105.00
Justin DeLorenzo v. SoNJ et al	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$360.00
Krisanda Shane v. SoNJ et al	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$405.00
Gregory Lewis v. SoNJ et al	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$675.00
Matthew McCurry v. SoNJ	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$570.00
Brian Weis v. SoNJ et al	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$645.00
Christopher Sperry v. Andria Bridges - N3128, et al	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$4,570.00
Brieuna Gibson v. Anthony Valvano et al	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$6,295.00
McNair vs. State of New Jerseys et al.	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$2,940.00
Raequan Rollins vs. State of New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: HNT-L-109-21	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$8,425.00
Marie Leger vs. Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospital, et al. Docket No.: MRS-L-1684-21	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$9,725.00
Wanda Stojanov, et al vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2619-21	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$6,860.00
Margarita Gormus, M.D. vs. Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospital, et al. Docket No.: MRS-L-192-22	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$19,260.00
Zeigerson, Henry vs. Kean University Court Docket No.: 2:22-cv-01521-JXN-CLW	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$680.00
Christopher Kochman vs. State of New Jersey, et als. Docket No.: MER-L-2227-21	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$25,970.00
John Williams vs. Kean University, et al. Docket No.: UNN-L-991-22	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$4,005.00
	Lite Depalma Greenberg & Afanador, Newark	\$35,925.00
Division of Investment - Lynx Common (Bermuda) Ltd. (post-closing matters)	Locke Lord LLP (EAPD), Boston	\$1,306.80
DOI - Alternative Investment Chatham Private Debt and strategic Capital Fund - Post-Closing Matters	Locke Lord LLP (EAPD), Boston	\$3,207.60

[REDACTED]	Lori A. Dvorak	\$8,060.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority -Offshore Wind Port Private Partnership Retention for Legal Services for Administrator of the Department of Law & Public Safety Regarding the Edna Mahan Correctional Facility for Women	Love and Long, L.L.P., Newark	\$248,115.00
DOJ Investigation of NJ Veteran's Memorial Home at Menlo Park and Paramus	Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Roseland	\$183,553.41
Department of Military Affairs - Department of Justice Subpoena Duces Tecum	Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Roseland	\$68,979.88
Division of Investment - Advice on Federal Securities Law Matters - Shareholder Proposals	Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Roseland	\$1,027.00
Jeffrey Brindle vs. Philip Murphy, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-507-23	Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Roseland	\$30,855.00
[REDACTED]	Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Roseland	\$49,548.50
[REDACTED]	Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Roseland	\$111,431.50
Division of Investment Advice on Federal Securities Law Matters - Advice on Investment Advisor Agreements Representations	Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Roseland	\$2,465.00
Nathan J. Johnson vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$78,222.26
SoNJ, Banking & Insurance and CWA Local 1033	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$4,500.00
RITA OGHOGHOME V. SoNJ, ET AL	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$42,570.82
[REDACTED]	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$360.00
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corp. Review of NJ Transit Human Resource Policies	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$20,800.00
Employment Advice	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$9,780.00
Preparation of Employee Handbook	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$1,280.00
Shelia Young-Golden vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-689-23	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$9,985.00
[REDACTED]	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$4,120.00
[REDACTED]	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$9,885.00
Communication Workers of America, Local 1040 (Collective Negotiations with CWA for a Successor Agreement)	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$9,425.00
[REDACTED]	Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC, Roseland	\$9,500.00
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency -Multifamily Conduit Bond Programs - Bergenview Apartments Project	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$15,609.80
NJEDA - Offshore Wind Port Financing Project - 2020	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$44,892.00

NJHMFA - Somers Point Village Project	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$35,482.19
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Multi-Family Conduit Bond Program Delsea Village Project	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$13,851.01
New Jersey Economic Development Authority School Facilities Construction Refunding Bonds 2022 (Public Offered Bonds Closing and Initial Forward Delivery Bonds Closing)	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$40,000.00
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Multifamily Conduit Financings - Amendment of Trust Indentures Fall 2022	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$3,890.00
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority Transportation Program Bonds New Money Financing FY 2023	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange	\$21,892.50
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority Transportation Program Bonds Refunding FY 2023	M. Jeremy Ostow, Esq., South Orange MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$50,000.00 \$4,072.00
Newton, Andowah, et al v. Anthony Yang, MD, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$760.00
Neals, Lionel, et al vs. Mark Adams, MD, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$23,864.50
Martin, Akintola Hanif vs. University Hospital Newark, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$43,967.11
Figueroa, Orlando vs. Antonios Mammis, MD, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$2,360.00
Jackson, Rodney and Cynthia Jackson vs New Jersey State Department of Corrections, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$14,357.37
Finnerty, Elizabeth P. vs. University Hospital, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$90,763.03
Lloyd-Jones, Tomas vs. Latimore-Collier, MD, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$49,010.45
Gwinner, Taryn, et al v. Hackensack Meridian Health a/k/a Hackensack University Medical Center, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$61,982.53
Saginer, Hailey vs. Rutgers Biomedical and Health Sciences	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$590.00
Shakur, Malik vs. New Jersey State Prison Medical Dept., et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$9,201.00
Scotti, Angela Boden vs. Rutgers University Healthcare, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$250.00
Ramsammy, Maria as Administratrix of the Estate of Andre Leslie vs. St. Peter's University Hospital, Melissa Harper, Hamza Shaikh, Yaron Lebovitz, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$3,555.00
Szemple, Craig Francis v. Rutgers University, et al	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$46,448.50
Mejia, Alexandra vs. Rutgers, et al; IMO		

Naedele, Cheryl, et al. vs. Mark J. Zucker, MD, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$49,878.75
Miriam Dornelles and Hugo Franco, Sr. v. Rachid Asina, MD, Rutgers NJ Medical School, et al	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$9,748.78
Sade Reddick v. Marc Roberts, et al	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$59,801.47
G.C. an Infant by his parents and natural guardians Kenia Teixeira Decarvalho v. Danielle Gershon, et a.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$90,778.70
Pasaniello, Bonnie J. vs. Saum A. Rahimi, M.D., et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$46,978.00
Cagno, Aurelio vs. Keisha Scott	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$30,605.50
Kumassah, Benedicta, et al vs. RWJ Barnabas Healthcare System, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$24,660.65
Palacio, Silvestre, et al. vs. Mina Le, M.D., et a l.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$14,323.00
McNair, Elizabeth, et al v. State of New Jersey, Department of Corrections, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$13,600.00
Aretz, Dale vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al.	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$13,810.94
Jimenez, Yanalis, et al v. Brian E. Benson, MD, et al	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$11,865.35
DiLoreto v UCHC U21-00	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$10,176.00
Craig Francis Szemple vs. Gary Lanigan, et als	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$8,645.00
Anthony Andrew Jackson v. Barrington Lynch, MD, Alejandrina Sumicad, APN; Rutgers	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$12,935.00
DONALD TYSON, Rutgers File#2020-0323	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$11,013.60
Carmen Mohamadi vs. Suaray	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$31,521.50
PETER CARATINI - Rutgers File#2022-0294	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$6,937.00
█ v. Schepel, 2021-0370, MID-L-6386-22	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$17,968.00
RUI BARBOSA. Rutgers File #2021-0036	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$21,736.00
JAMAR MAYERS, Rutgers File #2022-0099	MacNeill, O'Neill & Riveles, LLC, Cedar Knolls	\$10,365.45

New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority - Revisions to Post-Issuance Tax Compliance Procedures 2018	McCarter & English, LLP - Newark NJ	\$630.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Defeasance of EDA School Facilities Construction Bonds 2022	McCarter & English, LLP - Newark NJ	\$45,078.15
Arbitrations between NJ Transit Bus Operations and Amalgamated Transportation Union - A-Pillar Cases With Various Locals and PERC Matter	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$25,025.00
RO-2021-033 National Association of Transportation Supervisors Local 354 (BM) - Petition of card check certification	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$10,950.00
Amalgamated Transit Union Collective Bargaining Agreement 2021 Negotiations	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$1,400.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$340.00
Walter Kostyk vs. Experian Information Solutions, Inc., et al	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$16,018.50
State of NJ Public Employee Relations Commission brought by ATU Division 880 - unfair practice charges	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$4,100.00
[REDACTED]	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$300.00
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corporation Amalgamated Transit Union State Council v. New Jersey Transit Bus Operations, Inc.	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$2,525.00
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corporation Amalgamated Transit Union State Council v. New Jersey Transit Bus Operations, Inc. Improper Discipline of Jairo J. Mercado re the use of Advanced Surveillance Devices and Software Programs	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$775.00
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corporation. Arbitration Award, Case No 20-0190 between NJTBO and ATU Re: Deborah Lewis	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$32,275.00
Representation of NJ Transit at PERC Unfair Practice Charge - Gregory Brodie ATU Local 540 Docket No. CI-2-2022-037 & 2022-053	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$4,600.00
Representation of NJ Transit at PERC Unfair Practice Charge - Lee Willie Ingram ATU Local 540 Docket No. CI-2-2022-002	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$30,775.00
[REDACTED]	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$900.00
Anthony Palazzo vs. Montclair State University, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-2621-21	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$24,700.00
Anthony T. Fontanez vs. Marcus O. Hicks, Esq., et al. Docket No.: 2:20-cv-20286-KSH-CLW	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$2,320.00
Jennifer Pitre vs. State of New Jersey C. P. No.: 2022-11658	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$1,540.00
Alintoff v. State of New Jersey, et al. Court Docket No.: 22-cv-04498 RPK-RER	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$14,232.00
Robert C. Courboin vs. Heather C. Hauselben, et al	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$2,785.00

Philibert Kongtcheu vs. New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, et al. In re Celsius Network, LLC, et al. Case No. 22-10964-MG United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of New York	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$19,020.00
In re Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc. et al United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of New York Case No. 22-10943-MEW	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$149,785.20
In re FTX Trading, Ltd., et al. Case No. 22-11068 (JTD) United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Delaware	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$125,419.68
In re BlockFi, Inc. United States Bankruptcy Court, District of New Jersey Case No. 22-19631- MBK	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$27,455.00
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corporation Dckt. No. RO-2022-045 ATU Local 819 Petition for Card Check Certification	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$62,943.00
[REDACTED]	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$13,900.00
[REDACTED]	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$18,075.00
[REDACTED]	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$13,000.00
[REDACTED]	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$13,325.00
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corporation Amalgamated Transit Union - Local 880 Allegations of "Pegging" / Mandating Overtime	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$21,650.00
Employment Advice Regarding EEO Issue	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$4,675.00
[REDACTED]	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$15,625.00
[REDACTED]	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$15,425.00
Representation of NJ Transit in the Unfair Practice Charge of Henderson Fleming (PERC CI- 2023-009)	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$10,265.00
[REDACTED]	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$17,975.00
Goldman v. New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$43,391.71
In re Genesis Global Holdco, LLC., et al.	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$17,395.00
Richard Arjun Kaul, MD vs. Federation State Medical Boards - Florida Board of Medicine Docket No.: 1-23-cv-22325-BB	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$31,234.00
Representation of NJ Transit in the Unfair Practice Charge of Sgt Joseph DeMarzo-Lopez (FOP Lodge 37) regarding probationary periods and extensions - PERC CI-2023-	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$2,200.00
Representation of NJ Transit in the Unfair Practice Charge of NJ Transit PBA Local 304 Regarding Utilization of K-9 Vehicles for training or overtime on regular days off/rest days. PERC #: AR 2023-355	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$11,825.00

Monmouth County Bus Service - Labor Agreement	McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP, Morristown NJ	\$5,825.00
Belfand, Seman vs. Raymond Petosa and New Jersey Transit Corporation, C-83585-444	McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., Florham City	\$2,406.00
Fetahu, Valdona v. New Jersey Transit Corp.	McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., Florham City	\$7,538.00
Henry, Kathleen vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., Florham City	\$19,680.00
Morgan, Anthony vs. N.J. Transit Corporation, et al.	McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., Florham City	\$1,578.00
Government Employees Insurance Company as Subrogee of Lucia Adams vs. New Jersey Transit Index No. : cv-015033/21	McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., Florham City	\$37.00
Elizabeth Shulterbrandt vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1106-23	McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., Florham City	\$2,365.00
Jamal Alleyne vs. Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: 154383/2023	McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., Florham City	\$9,763.00
State of NJ Master Energy Efficiency Lease Purchase - FY 2013	McManimon, Scotland & Baumann, L.L.C., Roseland	\$877.50
HESAA 2022 SERIES BONDS	McManimon, Scotland & Baumann, L.L.C., Roseland	\$211.48
HESAA 2023 SERIES BONDS	McManimon, Scotland & Baumann, L.L.C., Roseland	\$51,068.06
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	McManimon, Scotland & Baumann, L.L.C., Roseland	\$7,775.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	Methfessel & Werbel, Edison	\$5,786.81
Sellow, David vs. Ihuoma Nwachukwu	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$200.00
Dondero, Kristen, et al vs. Yaakov Abdelhak, MD, et al.	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$660.00
Hart, Dylan, et al. vs. RWJ University Children's Hospital, et al.	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$2,520.00
Padro, Frank vs. Dr. Ahmar Shakir, et al.	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$2,100.00
Cynthia Smith vs. University Dental Center of Somerdale	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$5,560.00
HOWARD DIXON, e/o	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$10,392.75
Enid Yhap vs Lewis Hwang MD and Rutgers University	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$340.00
Norbert Kiss vs. Rutgers, et al.	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$3,240.00

Yusef Shabazz v. Aziz Merchant, MD, et al, ESX-L-234-23	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$4,900.00
LISA BURO, Rutgers File #2022-0342	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$2,500.00
Maria Marques Matter. Rutgers File#2019-0141	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$2,320.00
Grace Zhu v. Aliza L. Leiser	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park	\$1,660.00
The matter of Freddie Richardson, 20220479 Retention for Legal Services for the Administrator of the Department of Law & Public Safety regarding the Active Counter Measures Program	Michael J. Lunga, Esq., LLC, Florham Park Montgomery, McCracken, Walker and Rhoads, LLP, Philadelphia	\$3,560.00 \$60,875.00
Independent Review of the State's response to the COVID-19 Pandemic	Montgomery, McCracken, Walker and Rhoads, LLP, Philadelphia	\$3,374,359.15
Sinnes, Keri, et al vs. Kennedy Health System, et al	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$3,045.00
Dianna Banks v. State of New Jersey, Court Docket No.: GLO-L-000682-19	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$53,291.35
Hirschhorn, Steven; Estate of, et al v. Rutgers University Hospital, et al.	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$4,197.50
Melvin Stevens vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2170-20	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$13,815.25
LISA HUTCHINSON V. STATE OF NEW JERSEY	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$140.00
Goldberg, Debra , et al vs. Michael J. Nosko, MD, PH.D., et al.	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$600.00
Suze DiPietro vs. Stockton University, et als. Docket No.: ATL-L-2063-21	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$41,851.75
Rake, Christine vs. Robert C. Perez, et al	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$10,141.30
Douglas Miller vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2363-21	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$2,310.00
Kayla Gamar Young vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2364-21	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$5,180.00
Tauriello, Philip vs. Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, et al	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$48,570.90
Kathleen Shanahan vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6985-21	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$280.00
Mohamadi, Carmen vs. Rutgers University, et al.	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$340.00

Eleanor M. McKnight v. Kean University, et al Civil Action No. 2:22-cv-00460-MCA-ESK	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$740.00
RUI BARBOSA, Rutgers File #2021-0036	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$11,138.50
Yolanda Huntley v. NJ Transit, et al Court Docket No.: HUD-L-2881-22	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$21,322.50
Staci Fleischmann vs. New Jersey Department of the Treasury, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-846-21	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$33,033.00
Lisa Hutchinson v. State of New Jersey, et al. Court Docket No.: A-002883-21	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$21,463.20
Aaron Stoler v. Stockton University and John Does 1-5 Court Docket No.: ATL-L-906-23	Mount Laurel- Marshall Dennehey PC	\$6,705.00
Division of Investment - Roark Capital Partners, III, LP	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$142.50
Division of Investment - AnaCap Financial Partners, III, LP (post-closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$997.50
Special Counsel Designation - State of New Jersey, Department of Treasury, Appointment as Disclosure Counsel	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$115,931.67
Division of Investment - RRJ Capital Master Fund III, LP (post-closing matters)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$142.50
Division of Investment - Anacap Credit Opportunities II, LP (post-closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$332.50
Division of Investment - Knight Tao, LP, 2015 Investment - New Series (post-closing matters)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$380.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment - Tenex Capital Partners, II, LP (post-closing matters)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$142.50
Division of Investment - SONJ Private Opportunities II, LP - 2016 Additional Investment (post-closing matters)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$190.00
Division of Investment - Separate Account Managed by BlackRock Alternative Advisors (post-closing matters)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$665.00
DOI - Alternative Investment - Prologis European Properties Fund II	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$190.00
DOI - Alternative Investment - TPG Growth IV, LP - Post-Closing Matters	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$142.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment -KSL Capital Partners V (post-closing matters)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$807.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment - Golden Tree Credit Opportunities, LLP (post-closing matters}	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$142.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment - CVC Capital Partners VIII (Post-Closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$332.50
Division of Investment - Environmental, Social and Governance Matters - Shareholder Proposals	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$15,775.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment - Magenta Fund Ltd. (post-closing matters)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$285.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment - CVC Credit Partners (post-closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$95.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment ICG Europe Fund VIII SCSp (post-closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$332.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment ICG Europe Fund VIII SCSp (initial transaction)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$40,612.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment TPG Rise Climate, L.P. (initial transaction)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$14,962.50

Division of Investment - Alternative Investment TGM Associates Separate Account (initial transaction)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$8,502.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Sixth Street Med-Stage Growth Partners (initial closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$18,022.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Sixth Street Growth Partners II (initial closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$15,120.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment CVC Credit Partners European Direct Lending Fund III Co-Investment Vehicle (post-closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$427.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Onex Partners II, LP (post-closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$337.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Glendon Opportunities Funds III (initial transaction)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$26,392.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Glendon Opportunities Fund III (post-closing)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$742.50
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment Khosla Ventures Opportunity II Fund, Khosla Ventures Seed F Fund, and Khosla Ventures VIII Fund (initial transaction)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$46,035.00
Division of Investment - Alternative Investment CVC Capital Partners IX, L.P. (initial transaction)	Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco	\$18,630.00
Welch, Kathleen, et al v. Kennedy University Hospital, Inc., et al.	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$14,142.42
Sinnes, Keri, et al vs. Kennedy Health System, et al	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$96,143.69
Nock, Tyronne; Administrator of the Estate of Adrienne Nock; Dec'd vs. Kennedy Memorial Hospital-Washington Twp., et al	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$43,108.68
Spadafora, Barbara, et al vs. Douglas B. Tsai, MD, et al	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$180.00
Ertz, Daniel and Ertz, Sharon Vogel H/W	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$19,429.92
██████████, a minor by and through her mother and natural guardian Benjami Miesha vs. Virtua Our Lady of Lourdes Hospital, Inc. d/b/a Virtua Our Lady of Lourdes Hospital et al, Monk, Shenise, et al vs Kennedy University Hospital, Inc., et al.	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$52,124.42
Joanne and Michael Doto vs. Akshay Sridhar, D.O., Sravan Panuganti, D.O., et al Docket No. CAM-L-000156-22	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$22,877.21
Estate of Anthony Mascioli v. Hope Skibicki, D.O., Rowan University School of Osteopathic Medicine, et al.	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$48,374.88
J.S., et al vs. Kennedy University Hospital D/B/A Jefferson Health, et al.	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$7,896.60
Allen v. Cooper Medical School of Rowan University, 2020-0020, MID-L-4537-22	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$28,815.99
Griffith v. Bariana	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$1,154.00
Doyle v. Condren	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$2,133.00
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency - Multi-Family Conduit Bond Program - Baltic Plaza Project	O'Brien & Ryan, LLP	\$6,025.78
NJHMFA - Argus Ellison Development Project	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$9,324.40
NJHMFA - Prepayment Opinion & Advice - Hopewell Senior (#1290) Project	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$9,120.40
	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$2,112.00

New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency Conduit Bonds - Follow-Up Work on 4 Citibank Transactions 2022	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$1,225.69
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Single Family Housing Revenue Bonds - Spring 2022 Financing	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$76,964.67
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency The Plaza at Springfield Village Project Cell Tower Lease Advice 2022	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$5,424.00
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency 540 Broad Street Project - No Adverse Effect Opinion 2023	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$3,500.00
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Multifamily Conduit Revenue Bonds, Series 2015 Q-1, Q-2 & Q-3 (Paragon Senior Living Campus Project) - Extension of Bond Maturity 2023	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$4,000.00
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Telephone Heights Project (#1107TWO) - Cell Tower Lease Review	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$4,320.00
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Multifamily Conduit Revenue Note (540 Broad Street Project) - Replacement Amended and Restated Note 2023	OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP, PHILADELPHIA	\$576.00
Mohamadi, Carmen; Dec'd, et al v. Rutgers, et al.	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$905.00
Martin, Akintola Hanif vs. University Hospital Newark, et al.	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$11,535.24
Saginer, Hailey vs. Rutgers Biomedical and Health Sciences	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$67,751.23
Catalfamo, Darlent T, Estate of (Jean Moran)	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$36,763.76
Nancy Sheridan et al v. University Hospital et al	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$5,252.50
Andre Acob vs. Uchechi J. Azubuine, MD, et al	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$54,015.65
Shane, Judith Harr, et al v. Steven Meshkov, MD, et al	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$5,617.61
Marques, Maria, et al vs. Martha Ksepka, MD, et al.	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$10,776.18
Cook, Michael vs. Robert Wood Johnson Hospital, et al	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$57,583.96
██████████ by its Administrator Lauro Morales Gonzalez vs. Arunachaiam Thenappan, MD, et al.	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$30,763.95
██████████ vs. Shonowo & Divinio - APPEAL	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$2,009.49
Rivera v. Harasta, RU #2022-0108	Orlovsky, Moody, Schaaff & Conlon, LLC, West Long Branch	\$7,129.56
A.M., a minor by her Guardian Jennifer Morales v. State of New Jersey, Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Claim# 09-12778	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$15,042.00

Fortunato, Jenny vs. Luis Ortiz, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,296.00
Bartel, Lauren Roxaya vs. Carlos F. Caballero and NJ Transit Corp.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$3,948.85
Burlingame, Robert P. vs. Yoely Santos, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$3,648.00
Kim, Hyunsook, et al v. NJ Transit Corp., et al	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$4,941.50
John Doe vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-915-16	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$57,108.00
Hernandez, Vanesa vs. Anwarul H. Chowdhury, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,920.00
Londono, Zenaida vs. NJ Transit Corp a/k/a NJ Transit, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$10,240.00
Trenard, Christopher vs. Anwarul H. Chowdhury, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,264.00
Zenfi Networks LLC v. NJ Transit	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,380.00
Reis, Israel Leonidas vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$3,552.00
Hot, Hajro vs. Anwarul H. Chowdhury, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,952.00
Bryant, Terry vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$6,851.00
Sandu, Marinela vs. NJ Transit Corporation, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$5,831.00
Vasquez, Santos, et al. vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$9,642.50
Bland, Lillian vs. Denisse Sanchez, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$4,712.25
Brieauna Gibson v. SoNJ et al	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$18,160.00
Lisbon, David vs. Hassann L. Williams, et al	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$7,093.50
Petersen, Edward, et al vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$6,429.50
Elizabeth McNair v. State of New Jersey, et al .	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$3,854.00
Chung, Jaeun vs. NJ Transit, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$26,209.50
Son, Jungsam vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$8,925.70
Tolles, William vs Yannira Sanchez, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$10,276.80
Schirripa, Jr., Estate of Robert, et al vs. NJ Transit, et al	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$23,846.75
Carney, Benjamin vs. Willie A. Noel, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$67,433.94
Lilia Bratslavsky vs. Hassann L. Williams, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8095-21	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$9,350.25
Gabriele Dipierno and Sheila Dipierno vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation Docket No.: SCNY 160391/2021	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$15,644.45
Maryana Kozlova vs. NJ Transit, Corp., et al. Docket No.: BER-L-5176-21	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$8,172.80
In the Matter of Brian Ambroise (Docket # 2021-1014)	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$4,105.00
Boguslaw Plonski, et al vs. Allan J. Amador-Hodgson, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-5212-21	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,152.00
Carla Vaxter vs. John Doe, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-978-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$18,492.55
Kareem Harris vs. William a. Davis, Jr., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-992-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$12,428.90
David Ortmann vs. Chanelle Gordon, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2541-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$17,271.25
Patrick R. Whitley vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: 3:21-cv-20243-FLW-TJB	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$2,980.00
Kenan Kanik vs. Hassann L. Williams, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3896-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$9,255.95
Mona Wilson vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-7051-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$8,977.00
Representation of NJ Transit in the matter of 4 East Bidwell Avenue, Jersey City, NJ - Hudson Bergen Light Rail land fill encroachment	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$50,827.61
Darrin Darby vs. The City of New York, et al.	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$6,672.00
Shanielle McIntosh vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: BER-L-73-23	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$551.00

Latsen Barnett, et al. vs. Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, et al. Index # 152816/2023	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$5,808.00
Jihyun Kim vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-2050-23	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,139.00
Michael Armstrong vs. Brandon K. Barnes, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-3980-23	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$1,100.00
Yahaira Burgos vs. Charles E. DeLoach, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-3713-23	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$48.00
Stockton University v. Civil Service Commission (David Pederson) Appeal, Docket No. A-1487-22	Pashman Stein, Hackensack	\$9,640.00
Defense Distributed vs. Grewal	Pillsbury Winthrop LLP - New York Post, Polak, Goodsell & Strauchler, PA, Roseland	\$2,375.00
Georgina Sirakides v. Vincent Parenti, et al	Post, Polak, Goodsell & Strauchler, PA, Roseland	\$18,853.00
Brieauna Gibson vs. Anthony Valvano, et al. Case No.: 3:21-cv-03150-MAS-TJB	Post, Polak, Goodsell & Strauchler, PA, Roseland	\$5,034.00
Elizabeth McNair v. State of New Jersey, et al.	Post, Polak, Goodsell & Strauchler, PA, Roseland	\$400.00
Donta McMillan v. NJ Department of Corrections Case No.: 18-13379	Post, Polak, Goodsell & Strauchler, PA, Roseland	\$8,695.00
FMERA - Special Counsel for Municipal Law	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$30,381.00
FMERA - Special Counsel for Municipal Law - Pinebrook Road Commerce Center	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$12,259.00
NJ TRANSIT Corporation - Property Acquisition Counsel Hudson Tunnel Project	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$120,479.00
NJ Transit Corporation; Property Acquisitions Counsel - County Yard	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$12,406.25
FMERA Special Counsel for Municipal Law - Eatontown DPW	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$758.50
Robert Sorrell v. NJ DOC, et al	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$4,505.00
Shaulic Brown vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-339-18	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$14,920.15
Sherman Abrams vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-8328-18	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$167,244.30
Fort Monmouth Economic Revitalization Authority Special Counsel for Municipal Law - F-1 Parcel	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$18,122.00
In the Matter of Reallocation of Judiciary Clerk 1, Judiciary Clerk 2, Judiciary Account Clerk 1, Court Services Representatives and Judiciary Clerk Driver from the Competitive to the Non-competitive Division of the Career Service	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$58,461.00
Nicole T. Cruz vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-356-20	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$27,540.00
Ernest Perez v. August J. Licameli, et al	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$37,835.00

Robert Melendez v. SoNJ, DOC et als	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$35,220.00
E.G. vs. New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8886-20	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$18,555.00
Jeanne Lazier vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1486-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$13,929.00
A.W. vs. Archdiocese of Newark, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6186-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$3,560.00
Steven Franz vs. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Newark, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6564-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$6,525.00
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corporation Review, Negotiation and Possible Litigation of NJ Transit's Lease and/or purchase of property known as 302 Commerce Square Boulevard in the City of Burlington, N.J.	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$17,520.00
E.H. vs. New Jersey Department of Children & Families, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2935-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$9,595.00
G.B. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8084-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$11,525.00
J.G. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2255-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$21,749.00
J.J. vs. The State of New Jersey Department of Children and Families and Darrell Banks Docket No.: CUM-L-0059-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$48,098.00
K.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MRS-L-2115-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$8,925.00
L.S. vs. New Jersey Department of Children & Families, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-4762-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$22,989.00
M.P. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2133-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$27,941.00
M.S. vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: UNN-L-3568-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$47,009.00
S.H. vs. New Jersey Department of Children & Families, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2559-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$24,626.62
S.T. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: SOM-L-1440-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$19,254.00
A.J. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2108-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$8,248.00
C.M. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2198-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$3,330.00
D.D. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2182-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$7,040.00
D.S. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency f/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2174-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$24,313.00

E.B. vs. New Jersey Division of Child Protection and Permanency a/k/a New Jersey Division of Youth and Family Services, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8027-21	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$17,834.00
Marcelino Arce vs. New Jersey Department of Corrections, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-3460-22	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$23,905.00
Michelle Paul vs. State of New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home at Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-2367-22	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$56,000.00
Jane Doe, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2009-22	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$12,690.00
Representation of New Jersey Transit Corporation Review, Negotiation and Possible Litigation involving the Taking by eminent domain of 180 Old Hook Road, Westwood, NJ Formerly a bus depot for Coach, USA	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$13,747.50
Fort Monmouth Economic Revitalization Authority Mega Parcel	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP, Morristown	\$57,692.50
Shanahan, Kathleen v. NJT, et al.	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$15,895.00
Vanessa Penn vs. Thomas McMahon, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-100-17	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$6,080.23
Debra Runowicz vs. NJ Division of State Police, et als. Docket No.: MER-L-2509-17	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$3,760.00
Edward Thompson v. SONJ, et al	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$2,476.13
Harold Damas v. SONJ, et al	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$7,585.00
Anthony Biasi v. NJDOT	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$16,516.00
Lisa Marshall vs. New Jersey City University Docket No.: PAS-L-1972-19	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$23,527.82
Felix Mickens vs. Shanta Ellis, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1533-19	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$22,676.00
Elis Consuelo Sosa vs. Kean University Docket No.: UNN-L-3823-19 File No.: 42125.00133	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$22,813.15
Ashshakir J. Campfield vs. New Jersey Transit Docket No.: 1:19-cv-19794 (NIQA-JS)	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$36,144.78
Captain Sherri Schuster (Badge # 5787) v. SoNJ et al	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$4,900.00
Leroy H. Gould vs. New Jersey Department of Transportation Docket No.: MER-L-236-20	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$13,204.00
Neuwirth v. State of New Jersey, et al Court Docket No.: MER-L-1083-20	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$2,160.00
William Sullivan v. SoNJ et als Docket No.: MER-L-0901-20	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$4,215.00
Jonathan Craig v. SoNJ et al	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$46,800.00
Joseph Torres v. SONJ et al	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$23,068.91
Kimberly Allen-Daly v. DOC Docket No.: MER-L-1904-20	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$9,819.46
Tequila Thompson v. DOC et al Docket No.: BUR-L-858-18.	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$4,980.00
Danyel R. Barnes v. SoNJ, et al	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$25,557.29
Heather Timmons v. SoNJ et als	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$15,454.00
Tiffany DeLeon, et al vs. New Jersey Transit Corp, et al	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$285,527.00
Karim Saweris vs. State of New Jersey, et al. MER-L-2546-18	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$16,408.00
Asia Boatwright vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-3144-21	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$0.00
Elijah Deguzman vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No. : CAM-L-2362-21	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$2,250.00
Justin Loboda vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2366-21	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$2,110.00
Ryan Holmes vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2365-21	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$2,510.00
Karen Desoto vs. New Jersey City University, et als. Docket No.: ESX-L-4839-19	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$48,315.50
Steven Goldberg vs. New Jersey City University, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-3181-21	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$18,150.47

Kevin Gonzalez vs. New Jersey Transit Docket No.: ATL-L-3558-21	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$7,255.00
Jeremy Hart vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al Docket No.: ESX-L-1344-22	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$10,898.40
Lescure v. Wheeler, 2021-0134	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$10,538.67
Joseph Davenport vs Rutgers	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$9,727.64
Tammy LoBiondo vs. New Jersey Department of Transportation, et al. Court Docket No.: WRN-L-454-21	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$15,763.45
Charles Russell, Jr. vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6061-22	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$760.00
Loreen & Douglas Reid-Our File#U22-0027 - ESX-L-007662-22	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$5,552.50
Discrimination Complaint - [REDACTED]	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$4,435.00
Melissa Stubblefield and Dorinda K. Sapp-Nall vs. New Jersey Department of Military and Veterans Affairs, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1648-18	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$9,985.00
Centamore v. Nanda, Rutgers File. No. 2022-310	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$2,070.00
Hayes v. Rowan School of Osteopathic Medicine, BUR-L-584-22	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$1,363.00
SADE REDDICK, RUTGERS FILE #2018-0295	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$4,232.00
Estate of Vito W. Galante, et al vs. New Jersey Veterans Memorial Home - Menlo Park, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-5649-22	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$1,360.00
Doto v. Kennedy University Hospital, Rowan SOM # 2020-0013	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$1,241.00
Smith, Psalm 2021-0370	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$240.00
Discrimination Complaint - [REDACTED]	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$4,408.82
Discrimination Complaint - [REDACTED]	Roseland - Marshall Dennehey PC	\$425.00
Cabaj, Maria, et al v. New Jersey Transit, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,432.00
Moncrease, Zenola vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operaitons, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,391.99
Linton, Susan vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$16,728.00
Maison, Anasia vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$27,640.05
Tramontano, Danielle, et al v. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$10,474.00
Cehade Pierre vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,242.00
Deshong, Shina vs. New Jersey Transit	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$10,009.00
D'Angiolillo, Robert vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,045.00
Guererra, Michael vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,819.00
Culkin, Frank vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$15,678.00

Rosa, Junior v. Jenny Villamarin, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$17,598.00
Anthony, Kilieq Dashawn vs. Timothy W. Baptist, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$528.00
Gormley, Keri H. vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$30,927.00
Bhalla, Deepti Chanana and Varun Bhalla vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation and Thomas Gallagher	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,090.15
Guevara-Rodriguez, Franklin vs. James Roberts, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,197.00
Wong-Stewart, Bridgette vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$15,001.00
Turner, Ruth vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,668.00
Kest, Sheldon vs. New Jersey Transit Corp. d/b/a NJ Transit, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,178.00
Alto, Michelle and Carlos Pagan vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$13,447.00
Henricus, Adrianus Rudolfus vs. NJ Transit Corp. d/b/a NJ Transit, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,976.00
Ward, Karl and Jaclyn Strassberg (a/k/a Jaclyn Ward) v. NJ Transit Corp. d/b/a NJ Transit, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,333.50
Campbell, Demari vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,807.00
Crespo, Savannah, et al v. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,211.00
Raybon, Khalilah, et al v. NJ Transit Corp., et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$35,452.00
Biba-Nesimi, Resmie vs. New Jersey Transit Corportation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$0.00
Simmons, Robert vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,712.00
Daniels-Peters, Robin vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Corporation, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$9,417.00
Genovese, Gene vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,166.28
Stanton, Daniel vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$12,056.00
Perkins, Rahman vs. Thomas Galagher, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,188.00

Aparviz, Gholam vs. NJ Transit Corp., et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,772.00
Aguilar, Gerald, et al v. NJ Transit Corp. D/B/A NJ Transit	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,579.00
Bessim, Metin, et al. vs. NJ Transit Corp. D/B/A NJ Transit	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$29,785.24
Fan, Hanchang, et al v. NJ Transit Corp. D/B/A NJ Transit	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,446.00
Grassi, Thomas, et al v. NJ Transit Corp. D/B/A NJ Transit	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,358.00
Scelzo, Michael vs. NJ Transit Corp. D/B/A NJ Transit	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,495.00
Williams, Emogene v. NJ Transit Corp. D/B/A NJ Transit	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,297.00
Cordero, Carmen vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$26,886.00
Cheong, Amy, et al. vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation a/k/a NJ Transit Corp. d/b/a NJ Transit, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$432.00
Bowes, Felicia and Shomari Griffiths vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,378.00
Parham, Denise and Kevin Parham vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$18,543.00
Soto, Antonio vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$30,128.00
Bonzeca, Josphe vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,807.00
Malchow, Fredrick vs. Central Railroad of New Jersey, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$41,036.00
Insalaco, John vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$15,134.40
Domenico Magliano vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$90,032.00
Paraison, Marie vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$10,040.32
Horace, John vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$22,701.95
Kazan chy, Jr., Thomas vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$12,987.00
Del Toro, Eulises vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,408.00

Hertel, Donald C. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,258.00
Holloway, Cassandra vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$9,200.00
Hill, Michelle, et al vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,424.00
Bailey, Keith, et al v. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,544.00
Nizomov, Vakhobjon, et al v. Michael D. Jones, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,240.00
Sequeria, Marbelly vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$32,194.00
Baez, Irving vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$16,074.00
Smith, Karen vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,528.00
Beach, Wayne vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$19,689.00
Rawlins-Williams, Sonia vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$29,249.20
Taylor, Robin vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,164.05
Kimberly Castro vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-2924-19	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,028.74
Kah, Saihou vs. NJ Transit Rail Ops.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,494.00
Kavouras, Maria, et al. vs. Darre Carpizo, MD, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$79,293.15
Ivory, Debra vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$325.00
Wogisch, Gary T., et al vs. Manes P. Saint Georges, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$25,901.00
Dossantos, Jonathan and Sandra vs. John Langenfeld, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,670.00
Cook, Deborah M. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$15,542.00
John Doe v. SoNJ et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$20,275.84
Reeder, Keith L. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$14,220.00

Bustamonte, Rasheedah vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$13,264.00
Pastore, Douglas vs. New Jersey Transit	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$36,083.32
Bilenker, Arthur, et al v. Kessler Institute for Rehabilitaion, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$34,589.86
John DesLauriers, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-158-20	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$56,861.00
Little, Maria, et al. vs. Keith Carpenter, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$12,510.00
Flocco, Dominick vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,296.00
Coleman, Denise vs. City of Newark, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$17,798.82
Sanchez, Ramona, et al. v. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$38,167.00
Roth, Sandra vs. Lawrence J. Jordan, MD, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$226.00
Coulahan, Michael vs. New Jersey Transit, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,161.00
Sonnenberg, Thomas vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$33,187.60
Donald E. Turner, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-1360-20	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$96,050.53
Hester, Valerie vs. Vladisla Bargan, MD, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$16,109.35
Ruck, Ida vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,696.00
Zhoong, Xuefang et al. vs New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$37,943.00
Pastor, Renato vs. Erjon Rrosha, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$49,050.32
Jimenez, Lillian, et al vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$15,928.00
Martinez, Carlos vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. (NJT), et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,394.00
James Zimenoff, Merouane Azzane, et al v. NJ Transit Rail	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$9,022.00
Wills, Selena, et al v New Jersey Transit Corp., et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,179.00

Marshall, Lee & Pamela Fuller vs. Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, et als.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,217.72
Coughlan, Michael vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc., et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$13,747.00
Togno, James vs. Consolidated Rail Corporations, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$15,943.00
Markisello, Maria vs. St. Peter's Healthcare System, Inc., et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,521.00
Torres, Sandra I., vs. Douglas Flannery, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$19,604.78
Hansen, Denise, et al. vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$26,239.35
Kelly, George John, et al v. Allen & Company, LLC	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$19,490.00
Martinez, Servia, et al v New Jersey Transit Corporation	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$14,019.10
Farina, Charles, et al vs. Howard Waksman, MD, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$77,044.32
Duffy, Lisa vs. Emre Kayaalp, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$12,261.31
Juan Carlos Pena vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,866.95
Doe PC-48 v. State of New Jersey, Court Docket No.: MON-L-2928-20	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$36,060.31
Milan, Manuel G. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$42,469.68
Boyer, Eddie, et al. vs. Esther Kuffour, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$18,540.80
Cathy Jones vs. Patrice C. Phillip-O'Neal, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-512-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,377.75
Apadula, Vincent X. vs. New Jersey Transit Operations, Inc,	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,764.00
Yarborough, Pamela as the Executrix of the Estate of Glass, Alicia Marie vs. University Hospital, et als.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$58,809.65
Harper, Judy vs. Mohamed Alzaher, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,187.15
T. B. v. N.J. Department of Human Services. Court Docket No.: MON-L-3921-20	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$87,836.36
Obado, Dec'd, IMO Florence vs. Susan Mazurek Dec'd	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$16,672.00

Thomas, IMO the Estate of Kevin Thomas vs. New Jersey Transit	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$28,171.00
Request for Pre-Litigation re: COVID-19 related matters.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$13,824.00
Awed, Haidy; A/K/A Roberts, Haidy; Dec'd by A.A.P. Christopher D. Roberts, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$36,022.07
Waly Florimon-Paulino vs. New Jersey Transit Corp, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-8597-20	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,281.85
Devon Collins v. NJ Transit et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$47,514.10
Kristy Kasica et al v. Alvarez-Downing, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$34,525.95
Coleman, Shonda, et al vs. City of Newark, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,016.00
Vicari, Joseph D., Jr. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,261.75
Fraser, Ann vs Automated Elevator Systems LLC, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,687.16
Vazquez, Manuela Cortes, et al vs. Dwayne E. Crook, Jr., et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,176.00
Sonnenberg, Thomas vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$24,947.00
Christopher Sperry v. Andria Bridges - N3128, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$33,111.08
Caratur, Angelo vs. Amtrack, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,732.00
Cesar Arce vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1025-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,000.00
Genna Luciano, et al vs. Otis Elevator Company, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$40,367.64
Advanis, Deepali vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation a/k/a NJ Transit	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$14,121.14
Mazurek, et al., Michael J. vs. Florence Obado, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,114.00
C. B. v. State of New Jersey, et al. (Docket No.: MER-L-759-21)	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$41,154.69
Brickel, Kim vs. New Jersey Transit Rail	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$17,090.26
Buchannon, Fabian vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$54,014.90

Saffold, Ruth, et al vs. Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation Orange, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,931.25
D'Elia, Kaylin, et al vs. AFC Urgent Care Lyndhurst, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$10,368.25
Maresca, Nicole, et al vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,700.67
Peterman, Kimberly Marie vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,464.00
Grabowski, Richard vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$21,706.77
Charles Carroll v. NJ Division of Child Protection & Permanency Court Docket No.: MER-L-0692-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$37,861.33
Mitchell, Terrance vs. Abu Ashan, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$525.00
Elizabeth McNair, et als v. State of NJ, et als	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$9,260.00
Rivera, Cecilio vs. American Premier Underwriters, Inc., et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$15,027.00
Burke, Aviril vs. National Railroad Passenger Corporation, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,352.00
Koublanou, Foly vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$14,685.28
Singh, Justin vs. Otis Elevator Company, et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,773.64
Bennett-Laboo, Tawana, et al. vs. Ravi Chokshi, M.D., et al.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$25,656.73
Obado, Fred, et al v. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,384.00
Pena, Jaime A. vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$9,898.00
White, Dawud vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,079.00
Barrera, Richard vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,079.00
Moncrease, Zenola vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,720.95
pappagallo, Antonella, et al vs. New Jersey Transit, et al	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,246.00
K.R. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. (Docket No.: MER-L-2076-21)	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$22,032.88

Ritchey, Douglas vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$11,043.06
Reichman, Simon vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,876.00
Brito, Yoelis vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,760.00
Valerio, Tomas vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc.	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,738.00
T.D. v. Division of Child Protection & Permanency, et al Court Docket No.: MER-L-2223-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$20,842.00
John Doe, a pseudonym vs. Larrick McElroy, eL als. Docket No. : 2:21-cv-17956	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$14,886.00
Nancy Dondero vs. City of Paterson, et al. Docket No.: PAS-L-3590-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,403.00
Justin Hughes vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations ("NJTRO") Docket No.: USDC NJ 2:21-cv-19354	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$13,811.00
Lillian Orellana vs. City of Hoboken, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-4703-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$3,563.00
Adissa Idohou vs. Ajah Shelly, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-7031-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,137.00
Edward A. Grundmann vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-9390-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,420.27
Mhaske Deelip (Linda Allison - Daughter) vs. Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$472.00
Bae, An Na Estate of; IMO	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$10,346.45
Dorinda Bynum vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-3538-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$21,434.95
Thomas M. LaLumia, et al. vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-366-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,341.00
Elbin A. Robles vs. Frank A. Davidson, et al. Docket No.: MON-L-299-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$989.00
Andre Lozier, et al vs. New Jersey Department of Transportation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-4059-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$19,142.00
Vincent Ciccia vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: ESX-L-334-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,263.94
Cathy Jones vs. Latisha S. Smith Crawfors, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-795-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$432.00
David Rehbein vs. New Jersey Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: HUD-L-585-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,884.68

Sylvia Widener vs. James O. Dexroy, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-1430-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,150.00
Gene Russo vs. NJ Transit Rail Operations, Inc. Docket No.: USDC NY 1:22-cv-01751	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,825.80
Marina Gelfand vs. New Jersey Transit, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-1232-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,838.75
Jessica Brown, et al. vs. Cricket Associates Limited Liability Company, et al. Docket No.: BUR-L-766-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$10,505.94
Anthony Poradosky vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-1437-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,816.77
Patricia Tecza vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: MON-L-4119-21	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$48.00
Normalina Jarquin vs. National Railroad Passenger Corporation d/b/a Amtrak, et al. Index No.: 158852/2022	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,450.40
Estate of Thalisson Pacheco Carvalho - Docket # ESX-L-006332-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$19,209.66
Stanley Sellers vs. NJ Transit Bus Operations, Inc. Docket No.: PCCP-002490	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,127.54
Frederick D. Micili, Administrator and Administrator Ad Prosequendum of the Estate of Anthony Exum vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-6302-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,095.00
Elizabeth Burns vs. Bristol Associates, et al. Docket No.: BER-L-6187-222	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$9,160.71
Ethan Fuller, et al vs. Yi-Horng Lee, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-5928-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$13,439.59
Maria Burkett, et al. vs. Kenneth Austin, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-3021-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$8,752.00
Samuel Perez - Rutgers File #2021-0164	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$44,242.10
Victor Perez vs. Jean M Gravil, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-3254-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,940.50
Blanca Montano, et al vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation Docket No.: ESX-L-7137-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$960.00
Karissa Bernstein	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$9,032.45
RUI BARBOSA. Rutgers File #2021-0036	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,135.00
Stanley Sellers vs. Tony C. Lewis, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-866-23	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,232.00
M■■■■ P■■■■ vs. New Jersey Transit (Worker's Comp) Pre Litigation	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$5,534.00

Joseph A. Weaver vs. Jake Mandler, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-3294-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$7,184.00
The Estate of Amy F. Henderson, by and through Administratrix Katherine A. Nofziger vs. Jake F. Mandler, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-2523-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$2,723.82
Martinez v. Lee, 2022-0270, MID-L-0532-22	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$10,653.30
Joseph Nitti v. Fritz Frage Docket No. MER-L-93-23	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$6,945.00
William Scrowcroft vs. George S. Hall, Inc., et al. Docket No.: SCNY 155733/2023	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$4,336.19
Natasha Shelton vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation Docket No.: Phila/Common Pleas June 2023-230601045	Ruprecht, Hart Weeks & Ricciardulli, LLP	\$1,264.00
Easement Acquisition - Bathgate, Lawrence - Block 79; Lots 4, 4.01, 5, 5.01 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$720.00
Easement Acquisition - Point Pleasant Beach Surf Club - Block 28.02; Lot 2 - Point Pleasant Beach	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$8,652.48
Easement Acquisition - Risdens Beach Corp. - Block 46.02; Lots 1, 3 - Point Pleasant Beach	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$36,212.51
EASEMENT- Beach Condo Association - Point Pleasant Beach Codemnation	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$1,086.00
Easement Acquisition - Bayhead Point Homeowners Assn - Block 179.03; Lot 9 - Point Pleasant Beach	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$45,358.70
Easement Acquisition - Bay Pointe Dune Homeowners Assoc - Block 179.04; Lots 2, 3, 4 - Point Pleasant Beach	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$27,389.00
Easement Acquisition - Ronan, Frank - Block 40; Lot 2 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$2,025.00
Easement Acquisition - Sadrian, Justin - Block 65; Lot 3 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$260.00
Easement Acquisition - 1 Howe Street Bay Head LLC - Block 65; Lots 5, 5.01 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$766.00
Easement Acquisition - Wesson, Bruce - Block 66; Lots 3, 3.01 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$358.00
Easement Acquisition - Cortese, Michael - Block 66; Lots 4, 4.01 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$977.00
Easement Acquisition - Laughing Mermaid Production LLC - Block 66; Lots 5,5.01 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$927.52
Easement Acquisition - 627 East Avenue Bay Head, NJ, LLC - Block 66; Lots 11, 11.01	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$402.00
Easement Acquisition - Gael & Duke Havernickel Trustees - Block 66; Lot 19 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$294.00
Easement Acquisition - Belair, Scott - Block 79; Lots 3, 3.01 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$749.00
Easement Acquisition - Fedorick, Mark & Holly - Block 81.00; Lot 9 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$276.00
Easement Acquisition - Falls LP % Dr. C. Rossakis - Block 4; Lots 8. 8.01 - Mantoloking Boro	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$3,250.00
Easement Acquisition - Donna Walsh - Block 41; Lots 3, 3.01, 4, 4.01 - Mantoloking Boro	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$4,728.17
Easement Acquisition - Richard Malouf - Block 52.01; Lot 8 - Brick Township	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$1,270.00
Easement Acquisition - Raymond Braun - Block 57; Lot 2 - Brick Township	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$1,788.00
Easement Acquisition - Hein Group LLC - Block 37; Lot 6 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$335.00
Easement Acquisition - Burke, Robert F., Jr - Block 39; Lot 6 - Bay Head	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$336.00

Easement Acquisition - Bayhead Point Homeowners Assn - Block 179.03; Lot 9 - Point Pleasant Beach (APPEAL)	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$1,957.50
Special Counsel for Property Acquisition and Condemnation Litigation related to the Hereford Inlet Project	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$5,040.00
Easement Acquisition - Point Pleasant Beach Surf Club - Block 28.02; Lot 2 - Point Pleasant Beach APPEAL	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$4,785.00
Easement Acquisition - Bay Pointe Dune Homeowners Assoc - Block 179.04; Lots 2, 3, 4 - Point Pleasant Beach APPEAL	Rutter & Roy, LLP, Freehold	\$8,926.75
Cynthia Huggins v. NJ Transit Corp, et al	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$5,520.00
Straubel Cetoute, et al v. Kean University, et al.	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$96,300.96
M [REDACTED] C [REDACTED] by her power of attorney Bernice Webster v. Kean University, et al	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$3,440.00
Jonathan Craig v. SONJ, et al	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$81,749.10
Joseph Torres v. SoNJ et al	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$35,512.00
Captain Sherri Schuster (Badge # 5787) v. SoNJ et al (APPEAL)	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$10,085.00
Rachael Goldman vs. Kean University, et al Docket No.: UNN-L-4333-20	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$66,344.64
Denise Rizzolo vs. Kean University, et al. Docket No.: UNN-L-3881-20	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$5,540.00
Danyel R. Barnes v. SoNJ, et al	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$80,003.00
Ruth Jessica Rosado vs. State of New Jersey, Department of Labor & Workforce Development	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$10,040.00
Lori Sanders vs. State of New Jersey Department of Children and Families, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2209-20	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$72,313.66
Hafeezah Fitts vs. State of New Jersey, et al Docket No.: MER-L-0157-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$141,792.00
Karim Saweris vs. State of New Jersey- Department of Community Affairs Docket No. : MER-L-2546-18	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$81,167.62
Sarah B. Bernal vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No. : ESX-L-006178-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$46,974.70
Kathleen Shanahan vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et als. Docket No. ESX-L-6985-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$820.00
Shivon Harris vs. The New Jersey Department of Labor and Workforce Development, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1884-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$22,558.85
Yvette Cruz vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No. : 16-cv-0703-ES-CLW	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$63,835.96
EEO Investigation [REDACTED]	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$11,830.00
Tammy LoBiondo vs. New Jersey Department of Transportation, et al. Docket No.: WRN-L-454-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$3,762.50
Nicholas Picnic and Raissa Picnic, his wife vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-3412-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$28,298.00
Sonya Dix vs. New Jersey Transit Corp., et al. Docket No.: ESX-L-5269-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$30,275.00
Lyndsay White vs. Superior Court of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: HUD-L-4284-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$57,678.76
David Bailey vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-2602-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$19,666.15
Douglas Miller vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-236.3-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$30.00
Ryan Holmes vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2365-27	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$30.00
Kayla Gamar Young vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-236{-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$30.00
Justin Loboda vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-2366-21	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$30.00

Elijah Deguzman vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al Docket No.: C AM-L-2362-27 [REDACTED]	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$14,547.00
Brian Polite, et al. vs. State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-588-23	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$33,287.00
Kathia Alvarez vs. New Jersey State Police, et al. Docket No.: ATL-L-1510-22	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$46,205.00
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency - New York Avenue Apartments Project	Saiber, LLC, Florham Park	\$4,230.00
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency Essex Plaza 2 and 3 Project	Saul Ewing, LLP Harrisburg	\$16,727.70
New Jersey Economic Development Authority 2017 Duke Farms Foundations Modifications	Saul Ewing, LLP Harrisburg	\$32,598.27
Estate of Frank Lagano v. State of New Jersey	Saul Ewing, LLP Harrisburg	\$17,656.50
Evans, Lee vs. Newark City, et al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$935.00
Newton, Andowah, et al v. Anthony Yang, MD, et al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$8,522.52
[REDACTED] an infant by her guardian ad litem, Nicole Williams, et al vs. Karen Koscica, D.O., et al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$8,290.00
[REDACTED] an infant, by his guardian ad litem Rachel Prehn and Michael Borga, individually and as his parentws vs. Santiago Caasi, M.D., et al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$700.00
Martin, Akintola Hanif vs. University Hospital Newark, et al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$14,275.10
Hastaba, Gerard, et al. vs. John Walker, MD, et al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$14,076.24
Lloyd-Jones, Tomas vs. Latimore-Collier, MD, et al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$29,476.67
HOWARD DIXON, e/o	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$8,300.00
Daniela Morales-Jimenez, et al. v. Cristina Miceli, DO, et. al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$7,311.22
Lisa Duffy v. Dr. Kayaalp / Rutgers File No. U17-0376	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$19,627.34
Kathleen Hoffman vs. Rutgers, et al.	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$3,380.00
Hailey Saginor vs Rutgers	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$1,458.00
Carmen Mohamadi vs. Suaray	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$25,652.91
Ken McKoy. Rutgers File#2019-0552	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$6,556.50
The Matter of Misael Cordero	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$7,778.48
Lovejoy v. Nesbitt	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$75.00
	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$2,098.36

Estate of Frank Lagano v. State of New Jersey (APPEAL)	Schenck, Price, Smith & King, LLP, Florham Park	\$40,470.00
Shelley Pritchett v. SoNJ et al (APPEAL)	Sills Cummis & Gross P.C., Newark	\$210.00
Representation of the New Jersey Department of Health with respect to the Woodland Behavioral and Nursing Center	Sills Cummis & Gross P.C., Newark	\$74,935.00
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Federal Funding Compliance Counsel	Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP, Washington DC	\$71,127.50
[REDACTED]	Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP, Washington DC	\$502,510.06
[REDACTED]	Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP, Washington DC	\$26,669.50
C.C., a minor, by his mother and guardian ad lite Jasmine Z. Chiodo, et al vs. Deborah A. White, D.O., et al	Stahl & DeLaurentis, P.C., Runnemede	\$27,441.10
Smith, John, et al. vs. Jefferson Washington Township Hospital, et al.	Stahl & DeLaurentis, P.C., Runnemede	\$9,687.60
Gandy, Edward (Estate of)	Stahl & DeLaurentis, P.C., Runnemede	\$6,024.25
Estate of Charles Garris vs. Justin LaFace, DO et al	Stahl & DeLaurentis, P.C., Runnemede	\$2,877.00
Richard Belline, Sr. vs. James Espinosa, MD et al.	Stahl & DeLaurentis, P.C., Runnemede	\$6,563.00
Konefsky v. Lions Gate, Rowan 2022-0099, CAM-L-1766-22	Stahl & DeLaurentis, P.C., Runnemede	\$5,038.00
Levy v. Khan	Stahl & DeLaurentis, P.C., Runnemede	\$2,429.00
Kristine Johnson vs. Cooper University Hospital, et al. Calpine Corp, et al v. PJM Interconnection LLC	Runnemede Stinson LLP - Kansas City	\$140.00 \$2,450.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Pablo Garcia OAL Docket No. : MVH 00300-21	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,875.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Emily C. Dengler OAL Docket No.: MVH 5340-21	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,230.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v . Michael Aminoff, OAL Docket No.: MVH 06676-21	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$2,325.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Thiruvank Srinivasan OAL Docket No.: MVH 01092-2022N	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$735.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. William R. Espinal-Mejia OAL Docket No.: MVH 02329-22	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$165.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Charles R. Jordan 4th OAL Docket No.: MVH FE 3328-22	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$765.00

Motor Vehicle Commission v. Ammara Chaudhry OAL Docket No.: MVH 03394-22	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$3,165.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Dennis Ruffin OAL Docket No.: MVH 03345-2022	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$465.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Angel Hernandez Docket No.: MVH 03343-22	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,290.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Jaquita Crawford Docket No.: MVH 04058-2022N	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$810.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Carlotta Smock. Docket No.: MVH 05571-22	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$810.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Arthur Jacobs. Docket No.: MVH 7106-22	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,140.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Julia M. Fortunato OAL Docket No.: MVH 09448-2022	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$2,175.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Sienna V. Villa OAL Docket No. : MVH 10676-22	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$2,460.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Christos D. Athanasopoulo OAL Docket No.: MVH 10949-22	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,395.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Menachem M. Spitzer OAL Docket No.: MVH FE 950-2023	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$3,675.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Rhasheena S. Richardson OAL Docket No.: MVH 01830-2023 S	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,065.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Stephen A. Zadroga OAL Docket No.: MVH 01945-23	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,620.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Richard Garbrah OAL Docket No.: MVH 03075-23	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$2,760.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Ralph A. Allen OAL Docket No.: MVH 02111-2023	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$3,285.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Francisco Garcia OAL Docket No.: MVH 04199-2023	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$975.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Paul Frischer OAL Docket No.: MVH 04626-2023	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,695.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. June Reiner OAL Docket No.: MVH 04632-23	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,395.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Rafael Ramos OAL Docket No.: MVH 04629-23	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$765.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Wayne A. Robertson Docket No.: MVH 06305-2023 N	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$270.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Thomas H. Lopatin OAL Docket No.: MVH 06340-2023 N	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,755.00

Motor Vehicle Commission v. Ryan W. Aspinall OAL Docket No.: MVH 07438-2023 S	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,620.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Ostilia Taylor OAL Docket No.: MVH 06861-2023 N	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$750.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Luis S. Delgado-Lopez OAL Docket No.: MVH 7864-23	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$1,185.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Patricia T. Parsons-Jones OAL Docket No.: MVH 7866-23	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$780.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Linda A. Simmins OAL Docket No.: MVH 7865-23	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$540.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Marquise D. Thomas OAL Docket No.: MVH 9041-23	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$915.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Brandon Loyle OAL Docket No.: MVH 9122-2023 N	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$990.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Steven J. Doran OAL Docket No.: MVH 10458-2023 S	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$465.00
Motor Vehicle Commission v. Tresa A. Duda OAL Docket No.: MVH 10588-2023 N	Strasser & Associates, P.C., Paramus	\$420.00
Finnemen, Nassir vs. NJ Transit Corporation	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$816.00
Johnston, Purification vs. New Jersey Transit Corporation, et al.	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$0.00
[REDACTED]	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$691.68
Galette, Cedric vs. State of New Jersey, et al	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$14,570.00
Shannon, Lanna vs. New Jersey Transit	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$4,177.60
Busby, Jordan vs. New Jersey Transit Bus Operations, Inc., et al.	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$2,943.00
Champion, Evelina vs. John Doe, et al.	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$2,595.76
Lynette Johnson vs. Adalbert Fabian AKA Albert Fabian, et al. Docket No.: CAM-L-3139-22	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$8,425.24
Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company a/s/o/ Helna Aktar and Mohammed S. Ullah vs. New Jersey Transit Bus Operations, Inc. Docket No.: SC-23-04-13-3720	Swartz Campbell LLC Phila PA	\$2,160.00
Representation of NJ Transit to provide legal advice on all Intellectual Property Matters	Volpe and Koenig, PC - Philadelphia	\$44,370.00
Audrey Miller v. Chris Neuwirth, et al	Wiley Malehorn Sirota & Raynes, Morristown	\$6,978.52
Jermaine Curry vs. New Jersey State Prison and State of New Jersey DOC, et al. Docket No.: MER-L-1590-18	Wiley Malehorn Sirota & Raynes, Morristown	\$130.00
Anthony Sottolare vs. The State of New Jersey, et al. Docket No.: MID-L-605-22	Wiley Malehorn Sirota & Raynes, Morristown	\$60.00
Tammy LoBiondo vs. New Jersey Department of Transportation, et al. Docket No.: WRN-L-0454-21	Wiley Malehorn Sirota & Raynes, Morristown	\$20,760.00
First Response D/B/A Americare Ambulance, Fabrizio Bivona v. The State of New Jersey, Christopher Neuwirth	Wiley Malehorn Sirota & Raynes, Morristown	\$4,835.00
Implementation of P.L. 2017, c. 324, Concerning the Dissolution of the Waterfront Commission of New York Harbor	Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP, New Brunswick	\$216,441.26

TOTAL

\$34,159,534.56