

SENATE COMMUNITY AND URBAN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE, No. 50

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JANUARY 25, 2024

The Senate Community and Urban Affairs Committee reports favorably and with committee amendments Senate Bill No. 50.

As amended, this bill would abolish the Council on Affordable Housing (COAH), initially established by the "Fair Housing Act," and would establish a process to enable a municipality to determine its own present and prospective fair share affordable housing obligation based on the formulas established in the bill, as calculated the Department of Community Affairs (DCA). In advance of the fourth, 10-year round of affordable housing obligations, beginning on July 1, 2025, the bill requires DCA to complete these calculations, and provide for their publication, within the earlier of seven months of the effective date of the bill or December 1, 2024.

The bill permits a municipality to diverge from DCA's calculations in determining its obligation as long as it adheres to the methodology established by the bill. In advance of the fourth round, the bill requires a municipality to adopt its obligation by binding resolution, on or before January 31, 2025, in order to be assured of protection from a builder's remedy lawsuit, as defined in the bill, through which a municipality may otherwise be compelled to permit development, when the fourth round begins. If the municipality meets this deadline, then the municipality's determination of its obligation would be established by default, beginning on March 1, 2025, as the municipality's obligation for the fourth round. However, if a challenge is filed with the "Affordable Housing Dispute Resolution Program" ("program"), established in the bill, on or before February 28, 2025, the program would be required to facilitate a resolution of the dispute prior to April 1, 2025.

The bill requires a municipality to establish a "housing element" to encompass its obligation, and a fair share plan to meet its obligation, in advance of the fourth round, and propose necessary changes to associated ordinances, on or before June 30, 2025, in order to be assured of protection from a builder's remedy lawsuit.

A municipality would be required to submit its adopted fair share plan and housing element to the program. The bill permits an interested party to initiate a challenge to a municipal fair share plan and housing element, if submitted through the program on or before

August 31, 2025. The program would facilitate communication over the challenge, and provide the municipality until December 31, 2025 to commit to revising its fair share plan and housing element in response to the challenge, or provide an explanation as to why it will not make all or the requested changes, or both. The bill requires municipalities to adopt associated changes to municipal ordinances on or before March 15, 2026. If a municipality fails to meet these deadlines, then the immunity of the municipality from builder's remedy litigation would end unless the program determines that the municipality's immunity shall be extended. If a municipality fails to adhere to any of these deadlines due to circumstances beyond the municipality's control, the bill directs the program to permit a grace period for the municipality to come into compliance with the timeline, the length of which, and effect of which on later deadlines, would be determined on a case-by-case basis.

After providing immunity, the bill also authorizes the program to subsequently terminate immunity under certain circumstances if it becomes apparent that the municipality is not determined to come into constitutional compliance. The municipality would still be permitted to seek immunity from a builder's remedy by initiating an action in Superior Court. A court would not grant a builder's remedy to a plaintiff in exclusionary zoning litigation during certain timeframes. The deadlines for subsequent 10-year rounds of affordable housing obligations would conform to the dates established in the bill for the fourth round.

In any challenge to a municipality's determination of its affordable housing obligation, or to its fair share plan and housing element, the bill requires the program to apply an objective assessment standard to determine whether or not the municipality's obligation determination, or its fair share plan and housing element, fails to comply with the requirements of the bill. Further, the challenger would be required to provide the basis for its challenge based on applicable law, and the program would have the power to dismiss challenges that do not provide such a basis.

All parties would be required to bear their own fees and costs for proceedings within the program. A determination by the program as to municipal obligations or compliance certification would be considered a final decision, subject to appellate review.

The Administrative Director of the Courts would appoint an odd number of at least three and no more than seven members to serve as program leaders for the program established by the bill, consisting of retired and on recall judges, or other qualified experts. The members and employees of the program would be considered State officers and employees for the purposes of the "New Jersey Conflicts of Interest Law," P.L.1971, c.182 (C.52:13D-12 et seq.). The Administrative Director of the Courts would also establish procedures for the purpose of efficiently resolving circumstances in which the program is unable

to address a dispute over compliance certification within the time limitations established in the bill. As a part of these procedures, in order to facilitate an appropriate level of localized control of affordable housing decisions, for each vicinage, the bill directs the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court to designate a Superior Court judge who sits within the vicinage, or a retired judge who, during his or her tenure as a judge, served within the vicinage, to serve as county level housing judge to resolve disputes over the compliance, of fair share plans and housing elements of municipalities within their county, with the "Fair Housing Act," when those disputes are not be resolved within the deadlines established in the bill. The Administrative Director of the Courts would adopt and apply a Code of Ethics for the program and county level housing judges modeled on the Code of Judicial Conduct of the American Bar Association, adopted by the State Supreme Court, and may establish additional more restrictive ethical standards in order to meet the specific needs of the program and of county level housing judges.

Each municipality's determination of its fair share obligation would be made through the guidance of preliminary calculations made by DCA. No later than August 1 of the year prior to the year when a new round of housing obligations begins, or, for the fourth round, within seven months of the effective date of the bill or December 1, 2024, whichever is earlier, the bill requires DCA to calculate regional need and municipal present and prospective obligations in accordance with formulas established in the bill. DCA's calculations would be made publicly available, and provided to each municipality for use in determining their present and prospective obligations.

Municipal fair share obligations would be determined by applying the methods provided in the bill, along with the methods used by the Superior Court for the third round, to the extent that applicable methodologies are not explicitly articulated in the bill. Municipal present need obligations would be determined by estimating the existing deficient housing currently occupied by low- and moderate-income households within the municipality.

Regional prospective need would next be determined, upon which to base the municipal obligation, by estimating the regional growth of low- and moderate-income households during the housing round at issue. The bill would simplify the regional need estimation from the processes used in previous rounds in order to ease the administrative burden that has been associated with this process. First, projected household change for a 10-year round in a region would be estimated by establishing the household change experienced in the region between the most recent federal decennial census, the second-most recent federal decennial census. Although this relies on historical data, recent household change in a region is relevant to estimating future household change and associated housing need. This household change would be divided by 2.5 to estimate the number of low- and

moderate-income homes needed to address population change in the region, thereby determining the regional prospective need for the 10-year round. If household change is zero or negative, the number of low- and moderate-income homes needed to address low- and moderate-income household change in the region and the regional prospective would be zero.

After determining regional prospective need, each municipality's fair share prospective obligation of that regional prospective need would be determined. To do this, DCA would first determine whether a municipality is a qualified urban aid municipality, and if so, the municipality would not have a prospective need obligation.

If the municipality is not a qualified urban aid municipality, three factors necessary for the prospective fair share determination would be calculated. First, the equalized nonresidential valuation factor, representing the municipality's share of the regional change in the value of nonresidential property, would be calculated. In prior rounds, this calculation, concerning nonresidential (commercial and industrial) property values, has been adopted as a representation of a municipality's employment potential. Data available from the Division of Local Government Services in DCA would be used for this calculation. Next, an income capacity factor would be determined, using a formula comparable to one used in prior rounds to estimate the municipality's ability to absorb low- and moderate-income households. The municipality's land capacity factor would then be determined, representing the municipality's relative share of developable and redevelopable land, available to accommodate development, using data made available by the Department of Environmental Protection or the Division of Taxation in the Department of Treasury. The average of these three factors would be determined and multiplied by the regional prospective need to determine the municipality's gross prospective need.

Finally, the bill would require, where appropriate, adjustments for secondary sources of housing supply and demand by first calculating demolitions of low- and moderate-income housing, and housing creation through residential conversions. To do this, a municipality's share of conversions would be subtracted from the sum of each municipality's allocated share of gross prospective need and demolitions of low- and moderate-income housing. After applying these secondary sources, as appropriate, the municipality's prospective fair share obligation for the 10-year round would be established.

A municipality would be permitted to make adjustments for a lack of available land resources as part of the determination of a municipality's fair share of affordable housing when, for example certain municipal lands are devoted for conservation purposes. However, the bill would require a municipality that receives such a vacant land adjustment to its fair share obligation to identify parcels for redevelopment to address at least 25 percent of the prospective

need obligation that has been adjusted, and adopt zoning that allows for the adjusted obligation, or demonstrate why this is not possible.

A municipality would ultimately be permitted to reduce its prospective need if necessary to prevent establishing a prospective need obligation that exceeds 1,000 units in total or 20 percent of the estimated occupied housing stock at the beginning of the 10-year round, whichever limitation results in a lower number.

The bill requires that a municipality is required to satisfy a minimum of 50 percent of the actual affordable housing units, exclusive of any bonus credits, created to address its prospective need affordable housing obligation through the creation of housing available to families with children. The bill amends existing statutory language to ensure that affordable housing is constructed that is accessible to persons with disabilities.

The bill permits a municipality to be credited for as much as 10 percent of its affordable housing obligation through transitional housing, and defines "transitional housing" as temporary housing, including but not limited to, single room occupancy housing or shared living and supportive living arrangements, that provides access to on-site or off-site supportive services for very low-income households who have recently been homeless or lack stable housing.

The bill would establish limitations on the use of municipal affordable housing trust fund moneys for administrative costs, attorney fees, court costs to obtain immunity from a builder's remedy, to contest the municipality's fair share obligation, or use of the trust fund moneys while a municipality does not have immunity from builder's remedy litigation. The bill would authorize a municipality to expend a portion of its affordable housing trust fund on actions and efforts reasonably related to or necessary for certain processes of the program, as provided in the bill. The bill would require each municipality authorized to retain and expend non-residential development fees to periodically provide DCA with an accounting of all such fees that have been collected and expended. The bill would prohibit a municipality from receiving bonus credit for any particular type of low- or moderate-income housing, unless authority to obtain bonus credit is expressly provided by the "Fair Housing Act," as amended and supplemented by the bill. The bill expressly prohibits a municipality from receiving more than one type of bonus credit for any unit, or from satisfying more than 25 percent of its prospective need obligation through the use of bonus credits. The bill expressly authorizes bonus credits in the amounts provided in the bill for: (1) housing for individuals with special needs or permanent supportive housing; (2) ownership units created in partnership sponsorship with a non-profit housing developer; (3) housing located in a Garden State Growth Zone or certain transit-oriented locations; (4) certain age-

restricted housing units; (5) family housing with at least three bedrooms above the minimum number required by the bedroom distribution in a given development; (6) housing constructed on certain land previously used for retail, office, or commercial space; (7) certain existing rental housing for which affordability controls are extended through municipal contributions; (8) certain 100 percent affordable developments built through municipal contributions of land or funding; and (9) certain housing for very low-income households. The bill also clarifies that all parties would be entitled to rely upon regulations on municipal credits, adjustments, and compliance mechanisms previously adopted by COAH unless those regulations are contradicted by statute, including but not limited to this bill, or binding court decisions.

The bill would require DCA to maintain certain affordable housing-related information on its website, including: (1) the start and expiration dates of deed restrictions; (2) residential and non-residential development fees collected and expended, including purposes and amounts of such expenditures; and (3) the current balance in the municipality's affordable housing trust funds. The bill would also direct municipalities to provide the information to DCA necessary to comply with this requirement.

The bill would amend various parts of the statutory law to remove references to COAH, and to transfer rulemaking authority, to the extent necessary, from COAH to DCA and the New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency (HMFA). The bill directs HMFA to update the Uniform Housing Affordability Controls within nine months following the effective date of the bill. With certain exceptions, for the purpose of affordable rental units, a 40-year minimum deed restriction would be required, and in the case of for-sale units, a 20-year minimum deed restriction would be required.

The bill would appropriate \$12 million to the program, and \$4 million to DCA, from the General Fund, for the purposes of carrying out their respective responsibilities for the fourth round of affordable housing obligations.

The bill would take effect immediately, and would apply to each new round of affordable housing obligations beginning after enactment of the bill.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS:

The committee amended the bill to:

(1) require that DCA submit its report on the calculations of regional need and municipal obligations for the fourth round, within seven months following the effective date of the bill or December 1, 2024, whichever is earlier, instead of August 1, 2024; and

(2) require that the Administrative Director of the Courts, instead of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court: update the assignment of designated Mount Laurel judges within 60, instead of 40, days of the

effective date of the bill, to indicate which current or retired and on recall judges of the Superior Court are to serve as members; take certain factors into consideration when making appointments; designate a member of the Affordable Housing Dispute Resolution Program to serve as chair, and make new appointments to the program as needs arise.